

Exponential Model for Adsorption Kinetics

V. E. Amah ^{a*} and J. C. Agunwamba ^b

^a *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.*

^b *Department of Civil Engineering, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2022/v23i8741

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92474>

Original Research Article

Received 05 August 2022

Accepted 09 October 2022

Published 15 October 2022

ABSTRACT

Adsorption is one of the most widely applied techniques for the removal of contaminants from the environment. The effectiveness of an adsorbent is dependent on its kinetics. There are lots of studies on adsorption kinetics, and several mathematical models have been developed to describe this process based on certain perceived underlying mechanism. However, most models which have been used to fit the kinetic data have shown a moderate level of correlation or no fit at all. This is mainly because of error in assuming the governing equation and erroneous assumptions when finding solutions to the governing equations. In this research an exponential model is proposed. It is believed that adsorption of an adsorbate onto an adsorbent follows essentially two stages. There is a rapid stage that tends towards a first phase pseudo-equilibrium ($Q_{r(0)}$) at a rate of k_r and transits at a time ' t_r ' and rate k_{tr} to a slow stage which tends towards a second phase pseudo-equilibrium ($Q_{s(0)}$) at a rate of k_a which marks the climax of the process. Mathematical equations were used to describe this process and solved analytically to obtain the new exponential model. The model was used to estimate kinetic data and compared with the first and second order equations with an R^2 of 0.994, 0.999 and 0.998 respectively. The new adsorption parameters $Q_{r(0)}$, $Q_{s(0)}$, k_r , k_s , k_{tr} and t_r were also extracted from the calibrated model.

Keywords: *Models; adsorption; kinetics; pseudo-equilibrium.*

1. INTRODUCTION

“One technique widely applied for the removal of pollutants from a contaminated media is Adsorption. The common adsorbents include activated carbon, molecular sieves, polymeric adsorbents, and some other low-cost materials. When adsorption is concerned, thermodynamic and kinetic aspects should be involved to know more details about its performance and mechanisms. Except for adsorption capacity, kinetic performance of a given adsorbent is also of great significance for the pilot application. From the kinetic analysis, the solute uptake rate, which determines the residence time required for completion of adsorption reaction, may be established. Also, one can know the scale of an adsorption apparatus based on the kinetic information. Generally speaking, adsorption kinetics is the base to determine the performance of fixed-bed or any other flow-through systems” (Hui et al. 2009).

At present, adsorption reaction models have been widely developed or employed to describe the kinetic process of adsorption [1-15]. Adsorption kinetics are required for selecting optimum operational conditions of water and wastewater treatment facilities for full-scale processes. “Numerous adsorbents (carbon, clay, polymer, activates sludge, and zeolite) have been developed to remove solute form wastewater” [16-18]. These adsorbents have large surface area and pore volume, thermal stability, with low acid/base reactivity. This makes them suitable to remove a wide range of organic and inorganic dissolved pollutants from wastewater and air.

“The utmost parameter to consider while designing the adsorption system is adsorption kinetics; kinetics determines the rate at which the adsorption occurs. Kinetics are influenced by the surface complexity of the adsorbent, solute concentration and flow. Pseudo-First-order (PFO), Pseudo-Second-order (PSO), Elovich, and Intra-particle (IP) model are some of the kinetics that foretells the adsorbent-adsorbate interaction. The first two models have been widely applied in almost every sorption process. The suitability of any model depends on the error level—correlation coefficient (R^2) or Sum of Squared Errors (SSE). To study adsorption kinetics, the linear forms have been applied; a linear form of PSO has been favoured over PFO model for the last 2 decades” [19].

It is thought that instead of assuming order of the reaction as 1 or 2, the direct calculation of rate constant and order of the adsorption reaction is a more appropriate method [20]. Thus, n th-order kinetic model can be used. The model is expressed as Equation (1) [21]:

$$Q_t = Q_e \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{1}{\beta_n + k_n (n-1)t} \right]^{1/(n-1)} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where Q_e is the amount of solute adsorbed on the surface of the adsorbent at equilibrium, (mg/g); Q_t is the amount of solute at any contact time, (mg/g); k_n is the rate constant and its unit depends on the order of the reaction, (1/min) (mg/g) $^{1-n}$, β_n is related to impurities pre-adsorbed on the surface ($\beta_n = 1/(1 - \theta_0)^{n-1}$), θ_0 is surface coverage at pre-adsorbed stage ($\theta_0 = Q_0/Q_e$), dimensionless.

Chiron, et al., [22] proposed a double exponential model that describes the adsorption process with respect to both chemical and mathematical points of view, correlating the two-step mechanism as rapidly and slowly adsorbed fractions [23]. The model is expressed by Equation (2):

$$Q_t = Q_e - \left(\frac{D_1}{m_{ads}} \right) e^{-K_{D1}t} - \left(\frac{D_2}{m_{ads}} \right) e^{-K_{D2}t} \quad (2)$$

Where D_1 and D_2 are the amount of rapidly and slowly adsorbed fraction of solute (mg/l), respectively, and K_{D1} and K_{D2} are rapid and slow rate constants (min^{-1}). It should be noted that the sum of D_1/m_{ads} and D_2/m_{ads} has the same physical meaning as the calculated value of Q_e , and K_{D1} is greater than K_{D2} .

To further understand the chemisorption nature of adsorption, Elovich model developed by Zeldowitsch [24] is applied. This model helps to predict the mass and surface diffusion, activation and deactivation energy of a system. Although the model was initially applied in gaseous systems, its applicability in wastewater processes has been redeemed meaningful. The model assumes that the rate of adsorption of solute decreases exponentially as the amount of adsorbed solute increase. This is shown as Equation 3

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = ae^{-\alpha q} \quad (3)$$

where ‘q’ represents the amount of gas adsorbed at time ‘t’, ‘a’ the desorption constant, and ‘ α ’ the initial adsorption rate [25]. Integrating and

applying the limits for t (0, t) and $q_t(0, q_t)$, the Elovich model can be linearized:

$$q = \left(\frac{2.3}{\alpha}\right) \log(t + t_0) - \left(\frac{2.3}{\alpha}\right) \log t_0 \quad (4)$$

To examine the rate limiting step during adsorption, intra-particle diffusion model has been widely applied. The adsorption of solute in a solution involves mass transfer of adsorbate (film diffusion), surface diffusion, and pore diffusion. Film diffusion is an independent step, whereas surface and pore diffusion may occur simultaneously. Crank [26] gave an exact solution for the "infinite bath" case where the sphere is initially free of solute and the concentration of the solute at the surface remains constant [19]. External film resistance can be neglected according to the constant surface concentration [27]. Then, Crank's solution is written as Equation (5).

$$\frac{q}{q_s} = 1 + \frac{2R}{\pi r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \sin \frac{n\pi r}{R} \exp\left(\frac{-D_s n^2 \pi^2 t}{R^2}\right) \quad (5)$$

where R is the total particle radius. D_s is intraparticle diffusion coefficient, r radial position, and q the adsorption quantity of solute in the solid varying with radial position at time t . Dumwald-Wagner proposed another intraparticle diffusion model as [28]

$$F = \frac{q_t}{q_e} = 1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp(-n^2 Kt) \quad (6)$$

Where K (min^{-1}) is the rate constant of adsorption.

If the system is characterised by poor mixing, small solute size, and low concentration, film diffusion becomes the rate controlling step; otherwise, IP diffusion controls the process [29].

In this research, the adsorption of an adsorbate onto an adsorbent is assumed to take place in two stages. The first stage is an initial rapid stage during which the adsorbate rapidly fills the adsorption sites because of availability of such sites. This process continues until a first stage pseudo-equilibrium is reached. The rapid adsorption rate constant is denoted as K_r . However, as contact time increases, the available site diminishes and most of the energy is used up filling these sites so the adsorption speed gradually reduces. The rapid adsorption gradually transits into slow adsorption until a second stage pseudo-equilibrium is reached. This is the second adsorption stage. The slow

adsorption stage proceeds with a rate constant K_s . It is assumed that there is a transition phase during which the rapid adsorption becomes slow adsorption. The rate at which the rapid adsorption transits to slow adsorption is denoted as K_{tr} .

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Proposed Exponential Model

The two stage phenomenon earlier described is represented mathematically as Equations (7) and (8)

$$\frac{dQ_s}{dt} = k_{tr} Q_r - k_s(Q_s) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dQ_r}{dt} = -k_r(Q_r) \quad (8)$$

Where Q_s and Q_r are the slow and rapid stages of the adsorption respectively. K_s , K_r and K_{tr} are slow, rapid and transition rate constants respectively.

Integrating Equations (7) and (8) gives:

$$Q_r = Q_{r(0)} e^{-k_r t} \quad (9)$$

$$Q_s e^{k_s t} = \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{-k_r t + k_s t} + G \quad (10)$$

Where G is the constant of integration

At $t = t_r$ (Start of transition)

$$Q_s = Q_{s(0)} \quad (11)$$

$$G = Q_{s(0)} e^{k_s t_r} - \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{(k_s - k_r) t_r} \quad (12)$$

Then

$$Q_{s(t)} = \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{-k_r t} + \left[Q_{s(0)} e^{k_s t_r} - \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{(k_s - k_r) t_r} \right] e^{-k_s t} \quad (13)$$

where $Q_{s(t)}$ is the available adsorbent capacity for the slow phase at any time t (mg/g), $Q_{s(0)}$ is the max adsorbent capacity available for slow phase (mg/g) and $Q_{r(0)}$ is the maximum adsorbent capacity available for the rapid phase (mg/g).

Since

$$q_t = Q_{max} - Q \quad (14)$$

where q_t is the amount adsorbed at any time t (mg/g), Q_{max} and Q is the available adsorbent capacity at maximum time and any time t (mg/g), respectively.

$$Q_{(0)} = Q_{max} \tag{15}$$

$$q_{s(t)} = Q_{s(0)} - \left\{ \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{-k_r t} + \left[Q_{s(0)} e^{k_s t_r} - \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{(k_s - k_r)t} \right] e^{-k_s t} \right\} \tag{16}$$

$$q_{r(t)} = Q_{r(0)} - Q_{r(0)} e^{-k_r t}$$

Therefore

$$q_t = q_{s(t)} + q_{r(t)} \tag{17}$$

$$q_t = Q_{s(0)} - \left\{ \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{-k_r t} + \left[Q_{s(0)} e^{k_s t_r} - \frac{k_{tr} Q_{r(0)}}{k_s - k_r} e^{(k_s - k_r)t} \right] e^{-k_s t} \right\} + Q_{r(0)} - Q_{r(0)} e^{-k_r t} \tag{18}$$

$$Q_{max} = Q_{s(0)} + Q_{r(0)} \tag{19}$$

Equation (18) can be rearranged to the form

$$q_t = Q_{max} - \left\{ \frac{k_{tr} Q_{er}}{k_s - k_r} (e^{-k_r t} - e^{k_s(t_r - t) - k_r t_r}) + Q_{s(0)} e^{k_s(t_r - t)} + Q_{r(0)} e^{-k_r t} \right\} \tag{20}$$

Thus Equation (20) can be regarded as the exponential model for adsorption kinetics.

2.2 Experimental Setup

Udeh and Amah [30], investigated the adsorption potential of ZnCl₂ activated unripe musa paradisiaca peels for adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution. Unripe musa paradisiaca peels were cut into smaller pieces and thoroughly washed with distilled water to remove impurities and then sun-dried until it was very dry. The samples were chemically activated with zinc chloride (ZnCl₂) at impregnation ratio of 1:2 and then carbonized in a muffle furnace at 400°C for

2 hrs and then ground into powder after cooling in a desiccator. This was labelled as UPPAC.

At varying time intervals of 15 to 210 mins, the adsorption kinetics was conducted using initial phenol concentration of 22 mg/l at pH of 2. Fixed dosage (5 g) of the adsorbent was added to 50 ml of phenol in different 100 ml containers and they were shaken at 200 rpm using the temperature-controlled incubator shaker. The samples were withdrawn at specific interval of time (t) and then filtered using Whatman paper. The filtrates were analyzed for phenol residual concentration (C_t) using HACH method. The adsorption capacity (q_t) of the adsorbent at specific time intervals was calculated from Equation (21).

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{w} \times V \tag{21}$$

where C_0 is the initial adsorbate conc. (mg/l), C_t is the final adsorbate conc. (mg/l), V is the volume of solution used (L) and W is the weight of adsorbent used (g).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presents the phenol adsorption rate unto the adsorbent at the various time intervals. With the aid of Excel solver, the result of the adsorption rate was modelled and shown as Figure 1 using Pseudo first order equation shown as Equation (22), second order equation shown as Equation (23) and the new model (Equation 20).

$$\log(q_e - q_t) = \log(q_e) - \frac{k_{p1}}{2.303} t \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_{p2} q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t \tag{23}$$

Where q_e is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg/g), q_t is the adsorption capacity at time t (mg/g), k_{p1} , is the rate constant of first order adsorption (mg/min), k_{p2} is the rate constant of pseudo second order adsorption (mg/min).

Table 1. Adsorption rate of phenol unto UPPAC

| t (mins) | qt (mg/g) |
|----------|-----------|
| 15 | 2.29 |
| 30 | 2.30 |
| 45 | 2.30 |
| 60 | 2.30 |
| 90 | 2.30 |
| 120 | 2.31 |
| 150 | 2.31 |
| 180 | 2.31 |
| 210 | 2.32 |

Source: Udeh and Amah [30]




Fig. 1. Modelled adsorption rate of phenol onto activated unripe musa paradisiaca peels

The adsorption parameters of Equation (20) from the modelled data were extracted and shown as Table 2.

Table 2. Adsorption parameters

| $k_{tr} (t^{-1})$ | $k_s (t^{-1})$ | $k_r (t^{-1})$ | $t_r (mins)$ | $Q_{s(0)} (mg/g)$ | $Q_{r(0)} (mg/g)$ |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0.623 | 0.0139 | 0.636 | 1.702 | 2.300 | 0.0158 |

As observed in Fig. 1, the experimental result is seen to follow the assumption of a two phase process. The first and second order models follow the curve closely with a coefficient of determination ' R^2 ' calculated to be 0.999 and 0.998, respectively. The new exponential model is observed to be a smooth parabolic curve, with a steep initial phase (rapid phase) and it becomes less steep as it progresses indicating the slow adsorption phase. The coefficient of determination ' R^2 ' was determined to be 0.994. This is significantly different from zero at 5 % level of significance for a two tailed test, an indication that the model estimates the experimental data closely. The rate of rapid adsorption is observed to be greater than the rate of slow and transition phases, as observed in Table 2. The time of transition ' t_r ' is observed to begin 1.702 mins from the start of the adsorption process. The slow phase pseudo-equilibrium $Q_{s(0)}$ is further observed to be greater than the rapid phase pseudo-equilibrium $Q_{r(0)}$, which is expected because at the onset of the

adsorption process, the available sites are rapidly being filled but not completely. As time progresses, energy in filling those sites diminishes and so the process occurs much more slowly. Hence the available sites for slow adsorption are much greater than the rapid phase.

It is the opinion of the authors that at the beginning of the transition phase, the rapid adsorption dominates the slow phase adsorption. However as the transition phase progresses, the rapid adsorption slows down and is dominated by the slow phase which becomes the general description of the adsorption process. However, the processes occurring within the transition phase requires further investigation. It is also opined that for the duration of the adsorption process to be optimized, the rapid phase will have to be optimized too. This will push the transition time farther into the entire adsorption process, thereby reducing the time for the slow phase adsorption. This might be accomplished

by optimized carbonization, chemical activation, increased adsorption area and so on.

4. CONCLUSION

The exponential model developed (Equation 20) is observed to compare favourably with the first and second order models in predicting the reaction kinetics of experimental data. The coefficient of determination 'R²' of the first order, second order and the new models were determined to be 0.999, 0.998 and 0.994, respectively. The new model clearly reveals the suggested two phases in the adsorption process, as can be observed in the smooth parabolic curve it produces. The model also reveals new adsorption parameters such as the rapid, slow and transition rate constants, the transition start time and the rapid and slow phase adsorption capacities. From the relationship between the rapid and slow phase adsorption, it can be deduced that for any adsorption process to take place within a shorter period of time, the rapid phase must be optimized, pushing the start of transition farther up the adsorption process. However, further studies should be done to investigate the transition stage and a possible lag phase due to the presence of impurities or other deterrents at the adsorption sites.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Banat F, Al-Asheh S, Makhadmeh L. Preparation and examination of activated carbons from date pits impregnated with potassium hydroxide for the removal of methylene blue from aqueous solutions. *Adsorption Science and Technology*. 2003;21(6):597-606. DOI: 10.1260/026361703771953613
- Sun QY, Yang LZ. The adsorption of basic dyes from aqueous solution on modified peat-resin particle. *Water Research*. 2003;37(7):1535-1544. DOI: 10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00520-1
- Aksu Z, Kabasakal E. Batch adsorption of 2,4-dichlorophenoxy-acetic acid (2,4-D) from aqueous solution by granular activated carbon. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2004;35(3):223-240. DOI:10.1016/S1383-5866(03)00144-8
- Hamadi NK, Swaminathan S, Chen XD. Adsorption of Paraquat dichloride from aqueous solution by activated carbon derived from used tires. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2004;112(1-2):133-141. DOI:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.04.011
- Jain AK, Gupta VK, Jain S, Suhas. Removal of chlorophenols using industrial wastes. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2004;38(4):1195-1200. DOI: 10.1021/es034412u
- Min SH, Han JS, Shin EW, Park JK. Improvement of cadmium ion removal by base treatment of juniper fiber. *Water Research*. 2004;38(5):1289-1295. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2003.11.016
- Shin EW, Han JS, Jang M, Min SH, Park JK, Rowell RM. Phosphate adsorption on aluminium impregnated mesoporous silicates: surface structure and behavior of adsorbents. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2004;38(3):912-917. DOI: 10.1021/es030488e]
- Namasivayam C, Kavitha D. Adsorptive removal of 2, 4-dichlorophenol from aqueous solution by low-cost carbon from an agricultural solid waste: coconut coir pith. *Separation Science and Technology*. 2005;39(6):1407-1425. DOI: 10.1081/SS-120030490
- Chen Z, Ma W, Han M. Biosorption of nickel and copper onto treated alga (*Undaria pinnatifida*): Application of isotherm and kinetic models. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2008;155(1-2):327-333. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.11.064]
- Cheng W, Wang SG, Lu L, Gong WX, Liu XW, Gao BY, Zhang HY. Removal of malachite green (MG) from aqueous solutions by native and heat-treated anaerobic granular sludge. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 2008;39(3):538-546. DOI: 10.1016/j.bej.2007.10.016
- Hameed BH, Mahmoud DK, Ahmad AL. Equilibrium modeling and kinetic studies on the adsorption of basic dye by a low-cost adsorbent: Coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) bunch waste. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2008;158(1):65-72. DOI:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.01.034
- Huang WW, Wang SB, Zhu ZH, Li L, Yao XD, Rudolph V, Haghseresht F. Phosphate removal from wastewater using red mud. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2008;158(1):35-42.

- DOI:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.01.061
13. Wan Ngah WS, Hanafiah MAKM. Adsorption of copper on rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) leaf powder: Kinetic, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 2008; 39(3):521-530.
DOI:10.1016/j.bej.2007.11.006
 14. Rosa S, Laranjeira MCM, Riela HG, Fávere VT. Cross-linked quaternary chitosan as an adsorbent for the removal of the reactive dye from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2008; 155(1-2):253-260.
DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.11.059
 15. Tan IAW, Ahmad AL, Hameed BH. Adsorption of basic dye on high-surface-area activated carbon prepared from coconut husk: Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic studies. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2008;154(1-3):337-346.
DOI:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.10.031
 16. Emik S. Preparation and characterization of an IPN type chelating resin containing amino and carboxyl groups for removal of Cu (II) from aqueous solutions. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*. 2014;75(1):63-74.
 17. Anirudhan TS, Rauf TA. Adsorption performance of amine functionalized cellulose grafted epichlorohydrin for the removal of nitrate from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*. 2013;19(5):1659-1667.
 18. Boyd GE, Schubert J, Adamson AW. The exchange adsorption of ions from aqueous solutions by organic zeolites. Ion-exchange equilibria. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1947;69(11):2818-2829.
 19. George WK, Serkan E, Önge A, Özcan HK, Serdar A. Modelling of adsorption kinetic processes—errors, theory and application, advanced sorption process applications, serpil edebali, intech open; 2018.
DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.80495.
 20. Özer A. Removal of Pb (II) ions from aqueous solutions by sulphuric acid-treated wheat bran. *J. Hazard. Mater*. 2007;141:753–761.
 21. Cheung CW, Porter JF, McKay G. Sorption kinetic analysis for the removal of cadmium ions from effluents using bone char. *Water Res*. 2001;35:605–612.
 22. Chiron N, Guilet R, Deydier E. Adsorption of Cu (II) and Pb (II) onto a grafted silica: Isotherms and kinetic models. *Water Res*. 2003;37:3079–3086.
 23. Wilczak A, Keinath TM. Kinetics of sorption and desorption of copper (II) and lead (II) on activated carbon. *Water Environ. Res*. 1993;65:238–244.
 24. Zeldowitsch J. Über den mechanismus der katalytischen oxydation von CO and MnO₂. *Acta Physicochemical URSS*. 1934;1:364-449.
 25. Ho YS, McKay G. A comparison of chemisorption kinetic models applied to pollutant removal on various sorbents. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*. 1998a;76(4):332-340.
DOI: 10.1205/095758298529696
 26. Crank J. *Mathematics of diffusion*. Oxford at the clarendon press, London, England; 1956.
 27. Cooney DO. *Adsorption design for wastewater treatment*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton; 1999.
 28. Wang HL, Chen JL, Zhai ZC. Study on thermodynamics and kinetics of adsorption of p-toluidine from aqueous solution by hypercrosslinked polymeric adsorbents. *Environmental Chemistry*. 2004;23(2):188-192.
 29. Tran HN, You SJ, Hosseini-Bandegharaei A, Chao HP. Mistakes and inconsistencies regarding adsorption of contaminants from aqueous solutions: A critical review. *Water Research*. 2017;120:88-116.
 30. Udeh NU, Amah VE. Assessment of ZnCl₂ activated unripe musa paradisiaca peels for adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution. *Uniport Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research (UJESR)*. 2022;6(2):172–181.

© 2022 Amah and Agunwamba; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92474>