



Do International Financial Reporting Standards Influence Malaysian and Nigerian Banks Earnings Management Goals?

Onalo Ugbede^{1*}, Mohd Lizam¹ and Ahmad Kaseri¹

¹Faculty of Technology Management, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJEMT/2016/20575

Editor(s):

- (1) Alfredo Jimenez Palmero, Kedge Business School, France.
(2) John M. Polimeni, Associate Professor of Economics, Albany College of Pharmacy & Health Sciences, New York, USA.

Reviewers:

- (1) Tsung-Yu Hsieh, MingDao University, Taiwan.
(2) Maman Abdurachman Djauhari, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
(3) D. P. Schutte, North-West University, South Africa.

Complete Peer review History: <http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/13414>

Original Research Article

Received 31st July 2015
Accepted 11th January 2016
Published 24th February 2016

ABSTRACT

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) was made compulsory for European firms beginning from 1 January, 2005. This has attracted several studies on the impact of IFRS adoption on European manufacturing/sales-based firms' earnings management and its goals. However, Malaysia and Nigeria mandatorily adopted IFRS effective from 1 January, 2012. Thus, this study is one of the limited studies that have investigated the impact of the recent Malaysia and Nigeria adoption of IFRS on earnings management goals of Malaysian and Nigerian banks. This study used the whole Malaysian and Nigerian banks and data were collected for a period of 6 (2008-2013) years. Logit regression analyses were performed to investigate both earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits and the speed by which losses are recognized. For Malaysian banks both results are in agreement with expectations. First findings suggest that under MFRS, banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the Malaysia GAAP. Also, results demonstrate that under MFRS banks tend to recognize large losses readily than under FRS. However, for Nigerian banks, results establish that under SAS banks tend to manage their profits figures less

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ugbesonas@yahoo.com;

frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts, as opposed to the IFRS. Yet, under IFRS, banks tend to recognize large losses readily than under SAS. Except for Nigerian banks' earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits result, overall, findings confirm the superiority of IFRS over Malaysia and Nigeria GAAPs in reducing earnings management. Given this results, this study recommends that IFRS should be globally adopted by banks.

Keywords: IFRS; MFRS; FRS; SAS; GAAP; earnings management; earnings management goals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Prior studies have established that earnings are managed in response to several goals [1]. However, earnings management results from both distortion of the application of inherently faulty Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (hereafter referred to as GAAP) though not proportional [1]. [2] specifically established that flexibilities available in domestic GAAP create an ambiguity among the practitioners in the implementation of a specific standard, thus, leads to more earnings management practices. According to [3], different amount of accounting choices and flexibilities embedded in different accounting standards influence the levels of earnings management. Thus, [4] and [5] posit that both tightening accounting standards and flexible accounting standards create opportunities for earnings management through transaction structure and judgements respectively. By implication, changes in accounting standards or IFRS adoption are expected to influence reporting practices and outcomes [6]. The motivation of this study relates to whether the Malaysia and Nigeria adoption of IFRS has real impact, such that managerial behaviour and goals would need to be altered. A crucial question is how the adoption of IFRS by Malaysian and Nigerian banks would affect earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits or the speed by which losses are recognized. The study is exploratory in nature and tests for empirical differences in earnings management goals of Malaysian and Nigerian banks in the light of IFRS adoption. [7], [8] on one hand and [9] and [10] on the other hand have respectively established that IFRS is substantially different from Malaysia Financial Reporting Standards (hereafter referred to as FRS) and Nigeria Statement of Accounting Standards (hereafter referred to as SAS). Thus, differences in IFRS and domestic GAAP provides empirical but fruitful setting to investigate the impact of IFRS adoption on earnings management goals of Malaysian and Nigerian banks. The remaining sections of this study are

as follows: Section 2 presents the literature on earnings management goals. Section 3 shows the research issues. Section 4 describes the research methodology. Sections 5 and 6 respectively discuss Malaysian and Nigerian banks empirical findings, Section 7 offers Malaysian and Nigerian banks comparative findings and Section 8 presents the conclusions and recommendations of the study.

2. LITERATURE ON EARNINGS MANAGEMENT GOALS

Rahman et al. [1] define earnings management as the accounting policies or the accruals control chosen by the management of enterprises to make the earnings reach the expected level under the pressure from the relevant stakeholders. [1] established that earnings are managed in response to some targets. Capital market motivations, management compensation contract motivations, lending contracts motivations, regulatory motivations and political cost motivations are different managerial incentives for earnings management in corporate firms, including, banks [11]. Thus, motivations and opportunities for income manipulation vary with circumstances that reflect managers' motivations and incentives.

2.1 Capital Market Motivations

Earnings as reported in financial reports have been a strong signal of direct resource allocation in the capital market. This is because, a firm's stock is valued by the present value of its future earnings and investors, including analysts employ earnings information to determine the viability of a particular stock. Obviously, firms with poor earnings prospects will be associated with low share prices compared to firms with better prospects. This is an affirmation that a firm's ability to generate profit in the future is a crucial determinant of its worth in terms of share prices in the capital market [1]. Thus, the use of accounting information contained in financial reports majorly by investors has led many extant

researchers to establish an association between accounting information and capital market reactions and performances.

A number of studies have been undertaken to demonstrate different specific views of earnings management motivations in relation to capital markets. Essentially, some academics have hypothesized that managers engage in earnings management in order to influence the firm's short term stock price and to encourage investment in their firm through offerings of stocks [11]. [12-17] among others investigated the association between earnings management and security offerings in the form of either seasoned equity offerings or initial public offerings. These studies commonly conjectured that firms are more likely to report positive unexpected accruals prior to public offers. This is because income-increasing accruals typically increase income before initial public offers, seasoned equity offers and stock-financed acquisitions.

Another vital capital market related motivation for earnings management is to present a firm's earnings or income as meeting the expectations of financial analysts or management [11]. A number of extant studies have demonstrated the existence of unusual large number of cases where analysts forecasts are met either accurately or exceeded including the existence of unusual low rate of near misses. For instance, [18] examined whether managers with the fear of legal actions by investors including loss of reputation manage reported earnings to meeting their forecasts. [18] demonstrated that managers use unexpected accruals to manage earnings upward, particularly, when earnings fall short of management forecast in order to meet the forecast.

2.2 Management Compensation Contract Motivations

The management compensation contract theory, also known as the bonus plan hypothesis, suggests that managers are generally motivated to manipulate earnings in order to improve their compensation because management bonuses are often tied to the firm's performance in terms of earnings [11]. Accordingly, [19] affirmed that managers may have motivation to manage earnings to maximize their bonuses or to maintain target income levels. This is because earnings-based bonus schemes are a popular means of rewarding corporate executives [20]. [21] and [22] also demonstrated that bonus

schemes create an incentive for managers to select accounting procedures and accruals to increase the present value of their awards. [20] studied the effect of bonus schemes on accounting decisions and found that accrual policies of managers are associated to income-reporting incentives of their bonus contracts including evidences that changes in accounting procedures by managers are associated with adoption or modification of their bonus plan.

Balsam [23] investigated the manner in which earnings constituents including discretionary accruals affect Chief Executive Officer (hereafter referred to as CEO) cash compensation and provide evidence that discretionary accruals are associated with CEO cash compensation. [24] studied executive incentives and the horizon problem. They investigated the hypothesis that CEOs in their final years of office manage discretionary investment expenditures to improve short-term earnings performance. Having examined the behaviour of research and development (hereafter referred to as R & D) expenditures for a sample of firms in industries that have significant on-going R & D activities, their results proved that CEOs spend less on R & D during their final years in office in order to increase earnings and ultimately, their payout upon leaving the company.

2.3 Lending Contracts Motivations

The lending contracts theory is also known as the debt covenant hypothesis. This theory is premised on the facts that creditors in order to ensure the repayment of a firm's borrowings, do often exercise their rights to impose restrictions on the payment of dividends, share buy backs and the issuance of additional debt in the context of reported accounting figures and ratios [11]. Consistent with this hypothesis, [1] affirmed that firms that have high debt burden ordinarily have incentives to manage earnings so that they do not breach or violate their debt covenants. [25] in their study entitled "debt covenant effects and the manipulation of accruals" submitted that firms that violates debt covenant use accruals to increase income the year prior to the violation and concluded that firms use earnings management to defer violation of debt covenants for as long as possible.

2.4 Regulatory Motivations

Specific and highly regulated industries such as banking, insurance and utility firms are

stringently monitored in order to ensure compliance with laid down regulations touching majorly accounting numbers and ratios [11]. Particularly, regulated firms, such as banks and insurance firms are often required to have adequate capital or assets to meeting their liabilities. Such regulatory requirements often provide managers the incentives or motivations to manipulate earnings. Results of extant studies have suggested that banks that are closer to meeting minimum capital requirements engage in earnings management practices such as overstatement of loan loss provisions, understatement of loan write-offs, recognition of irregular realised gains on investment portfolios to mention but a few, in order to avoid violating regulatory requirement. More specifically, [26] investigated the impact of individual banks' changing levels of capital, earnings and taxes on decisions to engage in seven capital raising options such as security gains and losses, loan loss provisions, loan charge-offs, capital notes, common stock, preferred stock and dividends. Their findings evidenced high frequency of earnings management by banks as half of the study sample banks employed minimum of five out of the aforementioned approaches of managing regulatory capital.

2.5 Political Cost Motivations

Political cost motivations otherwise known as political cost hypothesis was first proposed by [22]. This theory is similar to the anti-trust and other regulatory motivations propounded by [27]. According to [11], the political cost theory states that political pressure to reduce prices or face penalties resulting from investigation of firms suspected to violate anti-trust rules or found taking advantage of the general public may likely create a robust opportunity for managers to manage earnings. In other words, where firms make use of financial reports in compliance with government regulations including tax laws, such situation is an ample possible source of earnings management [1]. More precisely, where accounting figures are the criteria for subjecting firms to tax, there may be possible tax avoidance incentives through earnings management. [28] also presents evidence that firm in industries demanding for import tariffs and restrictions were identified to defer income-increasing accruals. In general, firms manage their earnings so as to seem less profitable in order to lower their political risk or lower political "heat" [11].

Overall, motivations of earnings management include increasing the proceeds of initial public offerings, meeting investors' earnings expectations, communicating blocked inside information to investors, avoidance of consequences of violation of debt covenants, maximization of bonuses, reducing political visibility and influencing government policy [11]. Whatever, motivations induce either upward or downward earnings management. Thus, depending on the direction, managers could be motivated to report small positive profit or alter the timing of recognizing large losses. [29] and [30] evidenced that firms seldom report small losses; however, firms do regularly but abnormally report small positive profits. They also established that firms' small declines in reported earnings are unusually rare while firms' small increases in reported earnings are unusually common. Consistent with prior studies, this study investigates earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits and the speed by which losses are recognized for Malaysian and Nigerian banks in the context of different accounting eras.

3. RESEARCH ISSUES

The compulsory adoption of IFRS by European listed firms beginning from 1 January, 2005 has attracted several studies to investigate the impact of IFRS implementation on accounting quality of European/developed countries manufacturing/sales-based listed firms. Commonly and in specifics, prior studies have investigated the impact of IFRS adoption on accounting quality from the perspectives of earnings management, timely loss recognition and value relevance of European/developed countries manufacturing/sales-based listed firms [31-34]. However, there are limited studies that provide answers to the question of the impact of IFRS adoption on accounting quality of banks despite empirical evidences that banks are core in economic development of any nation [35,36]. Also, IFRS adoption is expected to impact most on banks financial reporting compared to other corporate firms [37,38]. More precisely, [39] and [37] are the few studies that investigated value relevance as an aspect of accounting quality of European and US banks in the context of IFRS adoption. This is revealing that most of existing studies on banks were not conducted within the setting of changes in accounting standards or IFRS adoption. Few studies have also evaluated banks' discretionary loan loss provisions (hereafter referred to as DLLP) and loan loss

provisions (hereafter referred to as LLP) in managing earnings. [40] investigated DLLP as alternative underlying motives of bank to smooth reported income. Additionally, [41] examines the extent to which Australian banks use loan loss provisions (LLPs) for capital, earnings management and signalling. Thus, with paucity of findings from studies on changes in accounting standards/IFRS adoption and banks accounting quality, particularly, earnings management, this study presents fresh and distinct evidence on the understanding of the impact of IFRS adoption on earnings management goals of Malaysian and Nigerian banks. More specifically, this study investigates Malaysian and Nigerian banks earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits and the speed by which losses are recognized. This study is one of the few studies that evaluate the impact of IFRS adoption on earnings management goals focusing on Malaysian and Nigerian banks; a critical sector in every economy.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Population of the Study

The population of this study consist of all listed/registered commercial Malaysian (8) and Nigerian banks (20). The choice of Malaysian and Nigerian banks is principally hinged on the fact that both countries adopted IFRS in the same year (2012).

4.2 Data Source, Period and Instrument of Analyses

Panel data from banks audited financial statements for periods 2008-2013 were used for this study. E-views was used for data analyses.

4.3 Model

Consistent with studies such as [42-44], this study investigated pre and post MFRS/IFRS adoption earnings management goals of influencing accounting numbers in order to report small positive profits rather than losses and the speed by which losses are recognised by managers. Logit model was used for both investigations. Among others, logit model used met model assumption of at least one independent variables and one dependent variable that are categorical. Also, the dependent variable was coded accordingly in order to ensure the occurrence of the event. In addition,

the model was fitted correctly and the error terms were independent. Finally, minimum number of cases of 10 was exceeded [45-47].

The metric for earnings management towards reporting small positive income in this study was measured as the coefficient on the dummy variable indicative of small positive profits (SPP_{it}) as expressed in the logit model given below:

$$RR_{it} = a_0 + a_1Profitability_{it} + a_2Growth_{it} + a_3Leverage_{it} + a_4Liquidity_{it} + a_5Size_{it} + a_6Investment_{it} + a_7SPP_{it} + e_{it} \quad (1)$$

RR_{it} is a dummy variable representing the regulatory regime. $RR_{it} = 1$ for observations in the post adoption period and $RR_{it} = 0$ otherwise [43,42].

$Profitability_{it}$, $Growth_{it}$, $Leverage_{it}$, $Liquidity_{it}$, $Size_{it}$, $Investment_{it}$ were proxies used to control for banks profitability, growth, leverage, liquidity, size and investment respectively [43,42].

SPP_{it} is a dummy variable indicating a measure of small positive profits. $SPP_{it} = 1$ if net income scaled by total assets is between 0 and 0.01 and $SPP_{it} = 0$ otherwise [43,42].

e_{it} is the error term.

Consistent with [42,43,34], a positive coefficient on SPP_{it} indicated a higher likelihood of banks managing their profits figures more frequently in order to report small positive profits rather than negative amounts in the post-adoption period than in the pre-adoption period (the opposite held true for a negative coefficient).

Similarly, timely recognition of large losses was measured by estimating the logit model below:

$$RR_{it} = a_0 + a_1Profitability_{it} + a_2Growth_{it} + a_3Leverage_{it} + a_4Liquidity_{it} + a_5Size_{it} + a_6Investment_{it} + a_7LNL_{it} + e_{it} \quad (2)$$

RR_{it} is a dummy variable representing the regulatory regime. $RR_{it} = 1$ for observations in the post adoption period and $RR_{it} = 0$ otherwise [43,42].

$Profitability_{it}$, $Growth_{it}$, $Leverage_{it}$, $Liquidity_{it}$, $Size_{it}$, $Investment_{it}$ were proxies used to control for banks profitability, growth, leverage, liquidity, size and investment respectively [43,42].

LNL_{it} is a dummy variable indicating a measure of timely loss recognition. $LNL_{it} = 1$ if net income

scaled by total assets is less than -0.20 and $LNL_{it} = 0$ otherwise [43,42].

e_{it} is the error term.

Overall, in agreement with [43,42,34], a positive coefficient on LNL_{it} indicated a higher likelihood of banks to recognise large losses more readily in the post-adoption period than in the pre-adoption period (the opposite held true for a negative coefficient).

5. EARNINGS MANAGEMENT GOALS ANALYSES- MALAYSIAN BANKS

This study investigated Malaysian banks earnings management goals such as to influence accounting figures in order to report small positive profits rather than losses and the speed by which losses are recognised.

5.1 Earnings Management Goals Descriptive Statistics

For test of earnings management goal of influencing accounting figures in order to report small positive profits rather than losses, the deciding factor is the coefficient on SPP. On the other hand for test of earnings management goal of the speed by which losses are recognised, the deciding factor is the coefficient on LNL. However, it is imperative to include at this juncture, a cursory look of the descriptive statistics of control variables used. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of control variables.

It is worthy to mention that this analysis combined both FRS and MFRS data. The distinguishing factor to partitioning data into FRS and MFRS reporting era is therefore, the value attached to reporting regime (RR). All data set with $RR = 1$ related to MFRS reporting era while data set with $RR = 0$ were FRS reporting data. Thus, the descriptive statistics for each of the control variable in this case reflected combined information of all the banks in Malaysia irrespective of the reporting era.

5.2 Earnings Management Goal of Reporting Small Positive Profits

First to determine the reporting regime that is associated with higher likelihood of banks managers managing profits figures more frequently in order to report small positive profits based on the coefficient of SPP, logit regression estimation output as per Table 2 applies.

Fundamentally, SPP exhibited a negative coefficient of -1.984434 which is indicative that under MFRS banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the Malaysia previous FRS. In order to validate the above outcomes and consistent with [29] assertion that unusually low frequencies of small negative earnings and unusually high frequencies of small positive earnings is suggestive that reported earnings are managed to avoid losses, this study also estimated the FRS and MFRS respective frequency of small positive earnings as a measure of earnings management. There were twenty four observations each for the FRS reporting age and MFRS reporting era. Out of the twenty four observations for the FRS reporting age, there were ten cases of managers reporting small positive profits while the corresponding figure for the MFRS reporting age were two. These results are consistent with the prediction of this study and similar to [42,48,49,34] findings.

From Table 2, coefficients on control variables: PROFITABILITY, GROWTH, LEVERAGE, LIQUIDITY, SIZE and INVESTMENT are all positive, suggesting that these variables increased more frequently for Malaysian banks in the MFRS adoption period than in the FRS adoption period. McFadden R^2 of 32.8%, LR statistic of 21.83768 and Prob(LR statistic) of 0.002709 demonstrated that overall, the model was statistically significant at one per cent significance level. In other words, the model was excellently fitted.

5.3 Earnings Management Goal of Speedy Recognition of Losses

Second this study determined the speed by which losses were recognized by Malaysian banks for both FRS and MFRS reporting regimes. This test is founded on the assumption that timely recognition of large losses provides evidence of lower earnings management and conclusion is based on the coefficient on LNL [42]. Logit regression output is given in Table 3.

According to Table 3, LNL exhibit a coefficient of 1.374708. The positive coefficient of 1.374708 on LNL is suggestive that under MFRS banks tend to recognize large losses more readily than under FRS. This result is consistent with the prediction of this study and findings of [42] and [50]. From Table 3, coefficients on control variables: PROFITABILITY, GROWTH,

LEVERAGE, LIQUIDITY, SIZE and INVESTMENT are all positive, suggesting that these variables increase more frequently for Malaysian banks in the MFRS adoption period than in the FRS adoption period. McFadden R² of 31.2%, LR statistic of 20.78491 and Prob(LR statistic) of 0.004102 established that overall, the model is statistically significant at one per cent significance level. Thus, the model was excellently fitted.

6. EARNINGS MANAGEMENT GOALS ANALYSES- NIGERIAN BANKS

This study also investigated earnings management goals such as to influence accounting figures in order to report small positive profits rather than losses and the speed by which losses are recognised for Nigerian banks.

6.1 Earnings Management Goals Descriptive Statistics

Dummy variable (RR) representing reporting regime was the dependent variable in the logit model for both tests. Dummy variable (SPP) representing small positive profits and (LNL) representing timely loss recognition were respective independent variables. Other variables such as PROFITABILITY, GROWTH, LEVERAGE, LIQUIDITY SIZE and INVESTMENT were control variables. Descriptive statistics of control variables for the Nigerian banks are presented in Table 4.

It is pertinent to equally mention that this analysis used both SAS and IFRS combined data. The distinguishing factor to splitting data into SAS and IFRS reporting era was based on the value attached to reporting regime (RR).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of control variables in earnings management goals tests

	PROFITABILITY	GROWTH	LEVERAGE	LIQUIDITY	SIZE	INVESTMENT
Mean	0.014836	0.083688	0.035938	0.128229	25.55173	0.174025
Median	0.015200	0.085750	0.028150	0.119100	25.63000	0.163500
Maximum	0.018600	0.622000	0.200000	0.648000	27.05000	0.337000
Minimum	0.005390	-0.122300	0.008900	-0.076100	24.04000	0.098000
Std. Dev.	0.002533	0.121633	0.030407	0.104639	0.839516	0.046935

Table 2. Malaysian banks SPP logit regression estimation output

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	z-statistic	Prob.
PROFITABILITY	126.0760	228.0015	0.552961	0.5803
GROWTH	8.802168	5.118394	1.719713	0.0855
LEVERAGE	15.70997	14.54275	1.080261	0.2800
LIQUIDITY	7.553613	7.106255	1.062953	0.2878
SIZE	0.096380	0.535008	0.180147	0.8570
INVESTMENT	15.20007	11.03214	1.377798	0.1683
SPP	-1.984434	1.611233	-1.231625	0.2181
LR statistic	21.83768	Prob(LR statistic)	0.002709	
McFadden R-squared	0.328178			

Table 3. Malaysian banks LNL logit regression estimation output

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	z-statistic	Prob.
PROFITABILITY	325.1733	190.4972	1.706971	0.0878
GROWTH	9.679696	5.129199	1.887175	0.0591
LEVERAGE	13.99226	13.64939	1.025120	0.3053
LIQUIDITY	6.165563	5.744760	1.073250	0.2832
SIZE	0.384072	0.530033	0.724619	0.4687
INVESTMENT	22.00203	11.37676	1.933945	0.0531
LNL	1.374708	1.629547	0.843614	0.3989
LR statistic	20.78491	Prob(LR statistic)	0.004102	
McFadden R-squared	0.312357			

All data set with RR = 1 were IFRS reporting era data, whereas, data set with RR = 0 were SAS reporting period data. Thus, the descriptive statistics for each of the control variable reflected combined information of all Nigerian banks regardless of the reporting age.

6.2 Earnings Management Goal of Reporting Small Positive Profits

Starting with the investigation of earnings management goal of influencing accounting numbers to report small positive profits rather than losses in the context of SAS and IFRS for the Nigerian banks, the logit regression estimation output as per Table 5 applies. Conclusions were dependent on the coefficient on SPP.

From Table 5, SPP exhibit a positive coefficient of 0.041137 which was indicative that under SAS banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the IFRS. In view of assertions that frequency of small positive earnings is used as a measure of earnings management [29], this study also estimated both SAS and IFRS frequency of small positive earnings as a measure of earnings management. There were sixty cases each for the SAS reporting age and IFRS reporting era. Out of the sixty observations for the SAS reporting period, there were fifteen cases of managers reporting small positive profits while the corresponding figure for the IFRS reporting

term was sixteen. This result is inconsistent with the prediction of this study, however, insignificant but consistent with prior empirical result [49,51,52,50].

As for control variables – PROFITABILITY and LEVERAGE, this study established negative coefficients. This means that PROFITABILITY and LEVERAGE of Nigerian banks decreased after IFRS adoption. Nonetheless, GROWTH, LIQUIDITY, SIZE and INVESTMENT showed positive coefficients. This was indicative that GROWTH, LIQUIDITY, SIZE and INVESTMENT increased more frequently in the IFRS adoption period compared to the SAS reporting regime for Nigerian banks. McFadden R² of 35.5%, LR statistic of 59.12756 and Prob(LR statistic) of 0.000000 established that overall, the model was statistically significant at one per cent significance level. In other words, the model was perfectly fitted.

6.3 Earnings Management Goal of Speedy Recognition of Losses

This study also determined the speed by which losses were recognized by Nigerian banks for both SAS and IFRS reporting regimes. Consistent with [42], this test is founded on the assumption that timely recognition of large losses provides evidence of lower earnings management. The logit regression estimation output as per Table 6, therefore, relates. The decision rule is equally dependent on the coefficient of LNL.

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of control variables in earnings management goals tests

	PROFITABILITY	GROWTH	LEVERAGE	LIQUIDITY	SIZE	INVESTMENT
Mean	0.007682	0.269709	0.117532	0.293372	27.29205	0.174326
Median	0.015850	0.190600	0.087850	0.181500	27.28500	0.154000
Maximum	0.070900	1.722900	0.662000	4.610000	28.98000	0.466000
Minimum	-0.241000	-0.751000	0.002770	-0.589000	24.60000	0.004990
Std. Dev.	0.041135	0.419722	0.104115	0.554052	0.882990	0.104056

Table 5. Nigerian banks SPP logit regression estimation output

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	z-Statistic	Prob.
PROFITABILITY	-0.403499	8.266430	-0.048812	0.9611
GROWTH	0.081337	0.741997	0.109619	0.9127
LEVERAGE	-10.05992	3.740873	-2.689191	0.0072
LIQUIDITY	0.013162	0.784435	0.016780	0.9866
SIZE	1.317242	0.358451	3.674817	0.0002
INVESTMENT	18.37107	3.678551	4.994106	0.0000
SPP	0.041137	0.522738	0.078696	0.9373
LR statistic	59.12756	Prob(LR statistic)	0.000000	
McFadden R-squared	0.355429			

Table 6. Nigerian banks LNL logit regression estimation output

Variable	Coefficient	Std. error	z-statistic	Prob.
PROFITABILITY	4.479232	11.97460	0.374061	0.7084
GROWTH	0.081996	0.736078	0.111396	0.9113
LEVERAGE	-10.59939	3.844885	-2.756751	0.0058
LIQUIDITY	-0.000546	0.776450	-0.000704	0.9994
SIZE	1.353151	0.362658	3.731204	0.0002
INVESTMENT	18.57700	3.761125	4.939213	0.0000
LNL	0.624992	0.935311	0.668218	0.5040
LR statistic	59.58061	Prob(LR statistic)	0.000000	
McFadden R-squared	0.358153			

Table 7. Earnings management goals - Malaysian and Nigerian banks comparative findings

	Malaysian banks	Nigerian banks	Effects
Earnings management goals - SPP	-1.984434	0.041137	Contradictory
Earnings management goals - LNL	1.374708	0.624992	Similar

From Table 6, LNL exhibit a positive coefficient of 0.624992. The positive coefficient of 0.624992 on LNL is suggestive that under IFRS banks tend to recognize large losses readily than under SAS. This result is consistent with the prediction of this study and in agreement with [42] and [50] findings. According to Table 6, LEVERAGE and LIQUIDITY exhibited negative coefficients. This means that LEVERAGE and LIQUIDITY of Nigerian banks decreased after IFRS adoption. However, PROFITABILITY, GROWTH, SIZE and INVESTMENT display positive coefficients. This is indicative that PROFITABILITY, GROWTH, SIZE and INVESTMENT increase more frequently in the post- adoption period for Nigerian banks. McFadden R^2 of 35.8%, LR statistic of 59.58061 and Prob(LR statistic) of 0.000000 established that overall, the model was statistically significant at one per cent significance level. Thus, the model was excellently fitted.

7. Malaysian and Nigerian Banks Comparative Findings

For both Malaysian and Nigerian banks, earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits and the speed by which losses are recognized were investigated. Table 7 (above) present comparatively earnings management goals findings for Malaysian and Nigerian banks. Regarding earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits, results in Table 7 established that under MFRS, Malaysian banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the Malaysia GAAP. However, for Nigerian banks,

results established that under SAS banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the IFRS.

Also, with positive coefficients on LNL for both Malaysian and Nigerian banks, results demonstrate that under MFRS/IFRS Malaysian and Nigerian banks tend to recognize large losses readily than under the previous Malaysia and Nigeria respective domestic GAAPS - FRS and SAS. Comparatively and in specifics, however, Malaysian banks readily recognized more of large losses under MFRS compared to Nigerian banks under IFRS. This conclusion is reflected in the higher positive coefficient on LNL for Malaysian banks compared to coefficient on LNL for Nigerian banks.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Prior studies have documented several motivations for the management of earnings. Accordingly, empirical results of earnings management goals for manufacturing/sales-based firms of European/developed nations have been evaluated in other studies. This study presents fresh empirical findings on earnings management goals of reporting small positive profits and the speed by which losses are recognized for Malaysian and Nigerian banks in the setting of changes in accounting standards. For Malaysian banks, findings established that under MFRS banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the Malaysia previous FRS. Also,

results suggest that under MFRS banks tend to recognize large losses readily than under FRS. For Nigerian banks, outcomes demonstrated that under SAS banks tend to manage their profits figures less frequently in order to report small positive profit rather than negative amounts as opposed to the IFRS. However, results established that under IFRS banks tend to recognize large losses readily than under SAS. Conclusively, except for Nigerian banks tests of reporting small positive profits, empirical statistical results established that the adoption of IFRS by Malaysian and Nigerian banks is associated with a reduction in earnings management towards small positive profits and timely recognition of large losses. With findings confirming the superiority of IFRS over GAAP, this study recommends that banks globally should adopt IFRS. Essentially, giving scarcity of studies in this setting, this study recommends that further studies be conducted using banks in other countries, particularly, developed countries.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Rahman MM, Moniruzzaman M, Sharif J. Techniques, motives and controls of earnings management. *International Journal of Information Technology and Business*. 2013;11(1):22–34.
2. Ball R, Kothari SP, Robin A. The effect of international Institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Ec*. 2000;29(1):1–51.
3. Goncharov I, Zimmermann J. Do accounting standards influence the level of earnings management: Evidence from Germany. *Die Unternehmung*. 2007;61(1): 371–388.
4. Arifin T, Kusuma IW. Comparing earnings management in Germany and the USA. *International Journal of Management and Business Research*. 2011;1(2):59–68.
5. Nelson MW. Behavioral evidence on the effects of principles- and rules-based standards. *American Accounting Association*. 2003;17(1):91–104.
6. Callao S, Jarne JI. Have IFRS affected earnings management in the European Union? *Accounting in Europe*. 2010;7(2): 159–189.
DOI: 10.1080/17449480.2010.511896
7. KPMG. Briefing sheet, conceptual framework for financial reporting: Chapters 1 and 3. *Audit Tax Advisory*. 2010;10(213): 1–3.
8. Seyed SER, Zaini E. International financial reporting standards and financial information quality: Principles versus Rules-based standards. *Journal Pengurusan*. 2014;39(2013):93–109.
9. Edogbanya A, Kamardin H. Adoption of international financial reporting standards in Nigeria: Concepts and issues. *Journal of Advanced Management Science*. 2014;2(1):72–75.
DOI: 10.12720/joams.2.1.72-75
10. Oyedele T. An overview of IFRS and challenges posed to professionals. In *The Chartered Institute of Taxation of Nigeria on Conference on IFRS Adoption in Nigeria* (pp. 1–6). Lagos; 2011.
11. Fong A. Earnings management in corporate accounting: An overview. *Cross-Sections*. 2006;2(4):82.
Available:<http://eview.anu.edu.au/>
12. Teoh SH, Welch I, Wong TJ. Earnings management and the underperformance of seasoned equity offerings. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 1998;50(1):63–99.
13. Kabir MH. Earnings management during initial public offering in Japan. *Journal of Business Administration*. 2002;28(1):57–80.
14. Rangan S. Earnings around seasoned equity offerings: Are they overstated? *Journal of Financial Economics*. 1998; 50(1):101–122.
15. Teoh S, Welch I, Wong T. Earnings management and the long run market performance of initial public offerings. *The Journal of Financial*. 1998;53(6):1953–1974.
16. Erickson M, Wang SW. Earnings management by acquiring firms in stock for stock mergers. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 1999;27(2):149–176.
17. Shivakumar L. Do firms mislead investors by overstating earnings before seasoned equity offerings? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 2000;29(3):339–371.
18. Kasznik R. On the association between voluntary disclosure and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 1999;37(1):57–81.
19. Mande V, File RG, Kwak W. Income smoothing and discretionary R&D expenditures of Japanese firms.

- management, earnings management and signalling by Australian banks (No. 23/2006). 2006;1–52.
42. George I. International financial reporting standards and the quality of financial statement information. *International Review of Financial Analysis*. 2010;19(3): 193–204.
43. Erick OR. The impact of international financial reporting standards (IFRS) adoption on the accounting quality of listed companies In Kenya. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*. 2011;1(1):212–241.
DOI: 10.5296/ijafr.v1i1.1096
44. Paglietti P. Investigating the effects of the EU mandatory adoption of IFRS on accounting quality: Evidence from Italy. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 2009b;4(12):3–18.
45. Peng C-YJ, Lee KL, Ingersoll GM. An introduction to logistic regression analysis and reporting. *The Journal of Educational Research*. 2002;96(1):3–14.
DOI: 10.1080/00220670209598786
46. Peng C-YJ, So T-SH. Logistic regression analysis and reporting: A primer. *Understanding Statistics*. 2002;1(1):31–70.
47. Statistical Solutions. Assumptions of logistic regression. *Directory of statistical analyses*. Retrieved April 24, 2014, from *Directory of Statistical Analyses*; 2014.
48. Lin S, Riccardi W, Wang C. Does accounting quality change following a switch from U.S. GAAP to IFRS? Evidence from Germany. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*. 2012;31(6):641–657.
49. Chebaane S, Othman H Ben. Does the adoption of IFRS influence earnings management towards small positive profits? Evidence from emerging markets. *International Journal of Social, Human Science and Engineering*. 2013;600(6):26–32.
50. Zhou H, Xiong Y, Ganguli G. Does the adoption of international financial reporting standards restrain earnings management? Evidence from an emerging market. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*. 2009;13(1):43–56.
51. Paananen M, Lin H. The development of accounting quality of IAS and IFRS over Time: The case of Germany. *Journal of International Accounting Research*. 2009;8(1):31–55.
52. Ames D. IFRS adoption and accounting Quality: The case of South Africa. *Journal of Applied Economics and Business Research*. 2013;3(3):154–165.

© 2016 Ugbede et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/13414>