

Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences

21(2): 1-9, 2019; Article no.JAMPS.50906 ISSN: 2394-1111

Antidiabetic and Antioxidant Effects of the Polyherbal Drug Glucoblock and Glibenclamide in Type 2 Diabetic Rats

O. N. Briggs^{1*}, E. O. Nwachuku¹, E. S. Bartimaeus¹, D. Tamuno-Emine¹, K. N. Elechi-Amadi¹ and N. Nsirim¹

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author ONB designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors EON, ESB, DTE and NN managed the analyses of the study. Author KNEA managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMPS/2019/v21i230129 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Prof. Hamdy A. Sliem, Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Egypt and Professor of Internal Medicine, College of Dentistry, Qassim University and AL-Jouf University, Saudi Arabia. <u>Reviewers</u>: (1) Nina Filip, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Grigore T. Popa Iasi, Romania. (2) J. A. Boutin, Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, France. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/50906</u>

Original Research Article

Received 12 June 2019 Accepted 18 August 2019 Published 26 August 2019

ABSTRACT

The increased prevalence of diabetes, and the huge disease burden on patients has led to an increase in the use of complementary and alternative medicine in diabetes treatment and management.

Aim: This study evaluates the antidiabetic and antioxidant effects of the polyherbal capsule glucoblock and glibenclamide in type 2 diabetic rats.

Methodology: A total of 35 male Wistar albino rats weighing between 120-220 g were used for this study. The rats were placed on high fat diet, and diabetes induced by a single intraperitoneal injection of freshly prepared streptozotocin (STZ) (45 mg/kg body Wt). Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was determined using the glucose oxidase method. Fasting plasma insulin (FPI), total oxidant status (TOS), total antioxidant status (TAS) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) levels were quantitatively determined by a rat-specific sandwich-enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method. Insulin resistance (IR) was determined using the homeostatic model assessment of insulin

resistance (HOMA-IR) method. Oxidative stress index (OSI) was determined by the ratio of TOS to TAS. Phytochemical analysis was also done on the herbal capsule.

Results: Mean FPG levels were significantly lower (p<0.05) in all groups, compared to the diabetic control. Mean FPG level was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the combination group, but showed no significant difference (p>0.05) in the glibenclamide group, and glucoblock group, compared to the negative control. HOMA-IR was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the diabetic control compared to the negative control and treatment groups. The combination group had significantly higher (p<0.05) HOMA-IR values, whereas the individual treatment groups showed no significant difference (p>0.05) when compared to the negative control. TOS was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the diabetic control compared to the negative control and treatment groups. The treatment groups showed no significant difference (p>0.05) in TOS, compared to the negative control. There was significantly lower (p<0.05) TAS levels in the diabetic and treatment groups, compared to the negative control. OSI values were significantly lower (p<0.05) in all groups when compared to the negative control. SOD was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the diabetic control. Also, OSI values were significantly higher (p<0.05) in the treatment groups compared to the negative control. SOD was significantly lower (p<0.05) in the diabetic control compared to the negative control and treatment groups when compared to the significantly lower (p<0.05) in the diabetic control of the negative control. SOD was significantly lower (p<0.05) in the diabetic control compared to the negative control and treatment groups. The treatment groups showed no significant difference (p>0.05) in SOD levels, compared to the negative control.

Conclusion: Increase in total oxidant status and oxidative stress depleted antioxidant parameters. The polyherbal capsule glucoblock was effective when used alone and produced equipotent effect to the treatment with glibenclamide. However, the combination treatment did not fare better. Antioxidant therapy should be used together with antidiabetics in the management of diabetes, and care should be taken in the use herb-drug combinations.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus; oxidative stress; antioxidants; herbal therapy; high fat diet; glucoblock; glibenclamide; streptozotocin.

1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most important diseases worldwide, reaching epidemic levels, with an ever increasing incidence and prevalence [1]. Type 2 DM is a heterogeneous disorder characterized by peripheral insulin resistance, impaired regulation of hepatic glucose synthesis, and declining beta-cell function, ultimately leading to beta-cell failure [2, 3]. Hyperglycaemia increases oxidative stress, which contributes to the impairment of the main processes that fail during diabetes, that is, insulin action and insulin secretion. Also, anti-oxidative mechanisms become depleted in diabetes, which could further increase oxidative stress [4,5]. Oxidative stress induced by hyperglycaemia plays a critical role in the development of diabetic complications. Furthermore, the development and progression of the damage is proportional to hyperglycaemia, thus making the reduction of blood glucose levels the most important goal in preventing complications and treating DM [6].

Over the years, herbal therapy has offered an alternative to orthodox medicine with lesserperceived adverse reactions [7], leading to an increased worldwide trend in the use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) [8]. This study evaluates the antidiabetic and antioxidant effects of the polyherbal drug glucoblock and the combination with glibenclamide in high fat diet/streptozotocininduced diabetic rats.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 35 male Wistar albino rats weighing between 120-220 g were used for this study. The rats were housed in standard cages at regulated room temperature, with controlled 12 hour lightdark cycles, and allowed access to feed and water *ad libitum*. The animals were allowed to acclimatize for two weeks prior to the commencement of study.

2.1 Drugs

The drugs used for the study were glucoblock, a polyherbal drug manufactured by Green World Group, Michigan, USA, and commercially sold in Nigeria as an anti-diabetic capsule. Glibenclamide, a sulfonylureas was manufactured by Glanil Pharmaceuticals, Nigeria.

2.2 Acute Toxicity Study

This was done by the fixed dose procedure [9], using a group of 3 rats. 2000 mg/kg body weight

of glucoblock was orally administered to each of the rats. The rats were then observed for signs of toxicity for 48 hours. After observation for 48 hours, there were no observed signs of toxicity, hence the herbal drug glucoblock was deemed safe up to 2000 mg/kg body weight dose. Glibenclamide is a standard antidiabetic drug.

2.3 Dose Calculation

The administered rat dosages were extrapolated from the human dose using the formula by Paget and Barnes.

Glibenclamide:

Human daily dose is 1 caplet (5mg) twice daily, that is, 10 mg/day.

Rat dose (mg/kg) = Human daily dose x 0.018 x 5 [10]. = 0.9 mg/kg body weight/day.

Glucoblock:

Human daily dose is 2 capsules (500 mg each) once daily, that is, 1000 mg/day.

Rat dose (mg/kg) = Human daily dose x 0.018 x 5 [10]. = 90 mg/kg body weight/day.

2.4 Study Design and Diabetes Induction

The rats were weighed and grouped into 5 groups of 7 rats each. Group 1 (negative control) was placed on a normal chow diet, while groups 2 to 5 were placed on high fat diet (HFD) having 42.1% fat content, 3 weeks prior to induction with streptozotocin (STZ). Diabetes was induced by a single intraperitoneal injection of freshly prepared STZ (45 mg/kg body wt.) dissolved in 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 4.5), after a 6 hour fast. Diabetes was confirmed after 72 hours in the rats having fasting blood glucose levels above 14 mmol/L (250 mg/dl) [11]. Treatments (drugs) were administered daily according to the groupings by means of oral gavage for 28 days.

Group 1: Negative control. The animals were only injected citrate buffer intraperitoneally.

Group 2: Diabetic control

Group 3: Diabetic rats treated with glibenclamide.

Group 4: Diabetic rats treated with the polyherbal drug glucoblock.

Group 5: Diabetic rats treated with a combination of glibenclamide and glucoblock.

On the 29th day, the rats were fasted for 6 hours, anaesthetized with chloroform and sacrificed. Blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture. This is in line with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Animal Models of Diabetic Complications Consortium (AMDCC) protocol, on the fasting of laboratory animals [12,13].

2.5 Reagents and Biochemical Determinations

All reagents were commercially purchased and the manufacturer's standard operating procedures were strictly followed. Quality control (QC) samples were run together with the biochemical analysis. STZ was gotten from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was determined using the Glucose oxidase method as described by Randox Laboratories Limited (UK). Fasting plasma insulin (FPI) and Superoxide dismutase (SOD) levels were quantitatively determined by using a ratspecific sandwich-enzyme linked immunosorbent assav (ELISA) method as described by Elabscience Biotechnology Company Limited (China). Insulin resistance (IR) was determined using the homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) method. Total oxidant status (TOS) and total antioxidant status (TAS) were determined by a rat-specific sandwich-enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method as described by Span Biotech Limited (China). Oxidative stress index (OSI) was determined by the ratio of TOS to TAS. Qualitative phytochemical analysis was done on the herbal drug using classical methods, while the quantitative determination of the done phytochemicals was using spectrophotometric methods.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Data generated was analysed using Graph Pad Prism version 5.03. Groups were compared using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test used as Post hoc. Results were considered statistically significant at 95% confidence interval ($p \le 0.05$). Values are expressed as Mean ± SD.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 shows alkaloids, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides and saponins present in the herbal drug glucoblock, with concentrations of 100.31 μ g/mg, and 131.45 μ g/mg, 55.93 μ g/mg and 61.47 μ g/mg respectively. Other phytochemicals such as phenolic acids, terpenoids, quinones, and tannins were not found.

Table 2 shows the FBG of the animals before and after induction with STZ. The results show the mean FBG levels of the animals in all the groups before induction with STZ were not significantly different (p>0.05). The results also show significantly higher mean FBG levels (p<0.05) in all groups that received HFD/STZ, and established the pathological state of diabetes in the rats, as compared to the negative control that received only the vehicle (citrate buffer).

Table 3 shows results of FPG, FPI and HOMA-IR (insulin resistance) of the rats after treatment. The results show significantly lower (p<0.05) mean FPG levels in the negative control and treatment groups, compared to the diabetic control. Mean FPG level was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the combination group (glibenclamide + glucoblock), when compared to the negative

Briggs et al.; JAMPS, 21(2): 1-9, 2019; Article no.JAMPS.50906

control. There was however no significant difference (p>0.05) in FPG levels in the glibenclamide group and glucoblock group, compared to the negative control.

The diabetic control had significantly higher (p<0.05) FPI levels compared to the negative control and treatment groups. Also, the treatment groups showed no significant differences (p>0.05) in FPI levels when compared to the negative control.

The results reveal significantly higher (p<0.05) HOMA-IR values in the diabetic control compared to the negative control and treatment groups. HOMA-IR was significantly higher (p<0.05) in the combination group (glibenclamide + glucoblock), when compared to the negative control. There was however, no significant difference (p>0.05) in HOMA-IR in the glibenclamide group and glucoblock group, compared to the negative control.

Table 4 shows the results of TOS, TAS, OSI and SOD levels of the rats after treatment. The results show significantly higher (p<0.05) TOS levels in the diabetic control, compared to negative control and treatment groups. The results also revealed no significant differences (p>0.05) in TOS levels in the treatment groups, compared to the negative control.

Table 1. Qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis of the herbal drug glucoblock

Phytochemicals	Glucoblock	Concentration (µg/mg)
Alkaloids	+ve	100.31
Flavonoids	+ve	131.45
Cardiac glycosides	+ve	55.93
Phenols	-ve	
Phlobatanins	-ve	
Saponins	+ve	61.47
Tanins	-ve	
Terpenoids	-ve	
Quinones	-ve	

+ve – Present, -ve – Not present

Table 2. Fasting Blood Glucose (FBG) levels of the rats before and after induction with Streptozotocin (STZ)

Groups	FBG (mmol/l) before Induction	FBG (mmol/l) 72 hours after Induction
Group 1 (Negative control) n=7	5.90 ± 0.44	5.75 ± 0.49
Group 2 (Diabetic control) n=7	5.87 ± 0.41	19.88 ± 6.48*
Group 3 n=7	5.82 ± 0.66	18.38 ± 6.77*
Group 4 n=7	6.12 ± 0.63	19.65 ± 7.30*
Group 5 n=7	6.12 ± 0.67	21.90 ± 6.86*
P-value	0.8245	0.0008
F-value	0.3746	6.677

n – Number of samples, - Significant difference versus negative control

Table 3. F	asting F	Plasma	Glucose	(FPG),	Fasting	Plasma	Insulin	(FPI) :	and	HOMA-IR	values
				aft	er treatn	nent					

Groups	FPG (mmol/l)	FPI (mU/I)	HOMA-IR
Group 1 (Negative control) n = 7	4.85 ± 1.12 ^b	3.90 ± 0.24 ^b	0.9 ± 0.2 ^b
Group 2 (Diabetic control) $n = 6^{\#}$	14.50 ± 1.02 ^a	4.76 ± 0.28 ^a	3.1 ± 0.3 ^a
Group 3 (Gli) n = 7	5.13 ± 1.12 ^b	3.81 ± 0.23 ^b	0.9 ± 0.2 ^b
Group 4 (Gluco) n = 7	4.90 ± 0.78^{b}	3.67 ± 0.59 ^b	0.8 ± 0.2^{b}
Group 5 (Gli + Gluco) n = 7	8.90 ± 1.09 ^{a b}	3.87 ± 0.22 ^b	1.5 ± 0.3 ^{a b}
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
F-value	98.74	9.71	121.4

n – Number of samples, Gli – Glibenclamide, Gluco - Glucoblock, ^a – Significant difference versus negative control, ^b – Significant difference versus positive control. [#] - A rat died in the diabetic group in the course of the study

Table 4. Total Oxidant Status (TOS), Total Antioxidant Status (TAS), Oxidative Stress Index (OSI) and Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) levels after treatment

Groups	TOS (U/ml)	TAS (U/ml)	OSI	SOD (pg/ml)
Group 1 (Negative control) n = 7	1.61 ± 0.04 ^b	1.99 ± 0.06 ^b	0.81 ± 0.03 ^b	38.26 ± 2.19 ^b
Group 2 (Diabetic control) n = 6 [#]	2.55 ± 0.05 ^a	1.62 ± 0.05 ^ª	1.58 ± 0.06 ^a	30.33 ± 1.94 ^a
Group 3 (Gli) n = 7	1.62 ± 0.07 ^b	1.77 ± 0.07 ^{a b}	0.92 ± 0.05 ^{a b}	37.42 ± 1.65 ^b
Group 4 (Gluco) n = 7	1.54 ± 0.05 ^b	1.57 ± 0.06 ^a	0.99 ± 0.03 ^{a b}	37.89 ± 1.81 ^b
Group 5 (Gli + Gluco) n = 7	1.69 ± 0.04 ^b	1.54 ± 0.06 ^a	1.10 ± 0.04 ^{a b}	35.39 ± 0.95 ^b
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
F-value	432.2	55.77	253.7	12.63

n – Number of samples. Gli – Glibenclamide, Gluco – Glucoblock, ^a – Significant difference versus negative control, ^b – Significant difference versus positive control. [#] - A rat died in the diabetic group in the course of the study

The results show significantly lower (p<0.05) TAS levels in the diabetic control and treatment groups, compared to the negative control. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in TAS levels in the glucoblock group and the combination group (Gli + Gluco), compared against the diabetic control. However, TAS levels in the glibenclamide treated group was significantly higher (p<0.05) than the diabetic control.

The results reveal significantly lower (p<0.05) OSI levels in the negative control and treatment groups compared to the diabetic control. OSI levels in the treatment groups were also significantly higher (p<0.05), compared to the negative control.

There were significantly lower (p<0.05) SOD levels in the diabetic control, compared to negative control and treatment groups. The results also revealed no significant differences (p>0.05) in SOD levels in the treatment groups, compared to the negative control.

4. DISCUSSION

Phytochemical analysis of the polyherbal drug glucoblock revealed the presence of bioactive

phytochemicals like alkaloids, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, and saponins in variable amounts, which could have contributed to the changes in the biochemical and oxidative parameters analyzed. The phytochemicals can exert their biological action by modulating molecular targets like enzymes, ion channels etc, to bring about structural and physiological changes, and are thus used in evidence-based medicine [14].

The results showed no significant differences (p>0.05) in fasting blood sugar levels in all the groups of rats prior to the administration of STZ. It however, showed significantly higher (p<0.05) fasting blood levels in all groups that were induced with HFD/STZ, compared to the negative control. STZ is selectively accumulated in pancreatic beta cells via the low-affinity GLUT2 glucose transporter in the plasma membrane, is cytotoxic and leads to the degeneration of the islets of Langerhans of the beta cells, giving rise to symptoms of diabetes [15,16]. It is used severally to produce different experimental models of animal diabetes [13]. The results agree with the works of Kaur et al. [17], in which high fat diet in combination with a sub-diabetic dose of streptozotocin (35 mg/kg body wt.), produced consistent hyperglycaemia in rats.

Briggs et al.; JAMPS, 21(2): 1-9, 2019; Article no.JAMPS.50906

There were significant improvements in fasting plasma glucose levels in the rats after 28 days of treatment, as the results showed significantly lower (p<0.05) fasting plasma glucose levels in the treatment groups, compared to the diabetic control. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in fasting plasma glucose levels in the glibenclamide treated group (Group 3) and the glucoblock treated group (Group 4), compared to the negative control, indicating glibenclamide and glucoblock used separately, were equally very effective in returning fasting plasma glucose levels to baseline control values. However, the combination group of glibenclamide and glucoblock had significantly higher (p<0.05) fasting plasma glucose levels, compared to the negative control. This implies that the combination did reduce the elevated glucose levels, but not to baseline control levels, and not as effective as the individual treatments. Orthodox medicines administered alone or in combination with plant products are used in the management of diabetes and have shown different degree of efficacies both experimentally and in clinical trials. These phytochemicals act alone or in interaction with the orthodox drugs bringing about different glycemic responses as seen in the glucose levels. The results are in agreement with the works of Shokoohi et al. [18], in which a herbal combination capsule significantly decreased fasting blood glucose levels in diabetics. Al-Omaria et al. [19] reported that a concurrent treatment of ginger and glibenclamide significantly reduced blood glucose levels, compared to when glibenclamide was used alone in STZ-induced diabetic rats.

The diabetic control had significantly higher (p<0.05) fasting plasma insulin levels compared to the negative control and treatment groups. Also, the treatment groups showed no significant differences (p>0.05) in fasting plasma insulin levels when compared to the negative control. The results indicate the significant hyperinsulinaemia caused by the HFD/STZ induction in the diabetic rats, was returned to normal fasting insulin levels by the treatments with glibenclamide, glucoblock, and their combination in the treatment groups. The reduction in insulin levels by these treatments could be as result of increasing insulin sensitivity in the liver and peripheral tissues or by providing a sort of protection to pancreatic beta cells, preventing necrotic cell death and leakage of their contents caused by STZ. The results are in consonance works of Reed et al. [20], and Skovso et al. [21] in which HFD/STZ

induction produced hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinaemia. The results are also in agreement with the works of Ali et al. [22], in which treatment with glibenclamide and the methanolic extract of *Garcinia pedunculata* (GP) fruit, restored insulin levels in STZ-induced diabetic rats.

The results showed significantly lower (p<0.05) HOMA-IR values in the treatment groups compared to the diabetic control. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in HOMA-IR values in the glibenclamide treated group (Group 3) and the glucoblock treated group (Group 4), compared to the negative control, indicating glibenclamide and glucoblock used separately were equipotent and very effective in returning HOMA-IR values to baseline control values. the combination However, group of glibenclamide and glucoblock had significantly higher (p<0.05) HOMA-IR values compared to the negative control. This indicates the combination did reduce insulin resistance in the rats, but not to baseline control levels, and not as effective as the individual treatments. The results corroborates with the works of Reed et al. [20], and Skovso et al. [21] in which HFD/STZ induction produced hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia, significant insulin resistance and established the HFD/STZ treatment as a protocol for inducing animal type 2 diabetes, having the pathological correlation of the human disease. In a randomized control clinical study. the polyherbal drug, green cumin capsule was found to significantly increase insulin sensitivity [23]. In a similar study, mulberry leaf and glibenclamide significantly reduced HOMA-IR, increased insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IS) and beta-cell function (HOMA-β) in STZ-induced diabetic rats [24].

The findings in this study showed significantly lower (p<0.05) TOS values in the negative control group and treatment groups, compared to the diabetic control. This shows the significantly elevated TOS levels caused by HFD/STZ induction, was reduced by the treatment with glucoblock, glibenclamide, and their combination. Also, the treatment groups showed no significant differences (p>0.05) in TOS when compared to the negative control.

The results showed significantly lower (p<0.05) TAS levels in the diabetic and treatment groups, compared to the negative control, indicating none of the treatments could restore the depressed antioxidant status in the diabetic rats to normal control values. The results revealed significantly lower (p<0.05) OSI in the negative control and the treatment groups, when compared to the diabetic control. Also, OSI values were significantly higher (p<0.05) in all treatment groups, when compared to the negative control. This means the treatments only just reduced oxidative stress, but not to normal control values. OSI is a ratio of the TOS to the TAS, and shows the interplay between reactive oxygen species (ROS) and other oxidants with the antioxidant defence system. The results show the diabetic rats had increased oxidative stress levels, and although the treatments glibenclamide, glucoblock and the combination showed antioxidant potential, oxidative stress persisted.

SOD levels were significantly higher (p<0.05) in the negative control and treatment groups, compared to the diabetic control. There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in SOD levels in the treatment groups, compared to the negative control. The results indicate type 2 DM is associated with depressed SOD levels, which could be due to increased oxidative stress levels. with However. treatment glibenclamide. glucoblock and the combination was effective in returning SOD levels to normal control levels. Hyperglycaemia in diabetes is associated with excessive production of free radicals through a number of mechanisms, leading to increased oxidative stress [6]. Herbal medicines and their constituent phytochemicals have shown the potential to be able to ameliorate diabetes and oxidative stress, either by directly scavenging free radical species or by boosting the antioxidant defence mechanism [25]. The alteration in oxidative stress and antioxidant parameters in this study, show an increased production of free radicals or ROS, which lead to depressed antioxidant defence mechanisms even in the treated rats. The results are in line with the work of Asadi et al. [26], in which TOS and malondialdehyde (MDA) were significantly increased in STZ-induced diabetic rats. Activities of the antioxidant enzymes SOD and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), were also decreased in the diabetic rats, pointing to an increase in oxidative stress levels. The activities of the antioxidant enzymes SOD, GPx, catalase (CAT) and levels of reduced glutathione (GSH) were found to be increased in liver and kidney tissues of diabetic treated with glibenclamide rats and/or mangiferin. Levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were also significantly reduced in the kidney and liver of the treated rats, showing antioxidative potential and protection of the organs [27]. Similar studies have also found that commercially sold polyherbal formulations like 5EPHF, Diabecon and Glyoherb significantly improved antioxidant status by increasing levels of antioxidant enzymes and minimizing diabetic complications [28,29].

5. CONCLUSION

High fat diet in combination with a sub-diabetic dose streptozotocin produced type 2 diabetes in the Wistar rats with significant hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and insulin resistance. Increase in total oxidant status and oxidative stress index depleted antioxidant parameters. The polyherbal capsule glucoblock was effective when used alone and produced equipotent effect to the treatment with glibenclamide, in the reduction of glycaemic and oxidative stress parameters. However, the combination of the drugs was not as effective as the individual treatments in the reduction of fasting plasma glucose and HOMA-IR. This study establishes the need for antioxidant therapy to be incorporated in the management of diabetes mellitus, as none of the treatments reduced oxidative stress to normal control values. Proper care should be taken in the combination of herbal and conventional medicines, for the risk of adverse drug-herb reactions.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All the animal experiments were conducted according to the ethical norms approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- International Diabetes Federation. International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas (7th ed.). International Diabetes Federation; 2016.
- Reaven GM. The role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes. 1998;37: 1595–1607.

- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2015;38(1):01-93.
- 4. Rains JL, Jain SK. Oxidative stress, insulin signaling, and diabetes. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 2011;50(5):567-575.
- Briggs ON, Brown H, Elechi-Amadi K, Ezeiruaku F, Nsirim N. Superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase levels in patients with long standing type 2 diabetes in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. International Journal of Science and Research. 2016;5(3):1282-1288.
- Giacco F, Brownlee M. Oxidative stress and diabetic complications. Circulation Research. 2010;107(9):1058-1070.
- 7. Kumar D, Bajaj S, Mehrotra R. Knowledge, attitude and practice of complementary and alternative medicines for diabetes. Public Health. 2006;120(8):705–711.
- 8. Medagama AB, Bandara R. The use of Complementary and Alternative Medicines (CAMs) in the treatment of diabetes mellitus: Is continued use safe and effective? Nutrition Journal. 2014;13:102.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Guidance Document on Acute Oral Toxicity Testing: Environmental health and safety monograph series on testing and assessment No. 24. 2001;24. (Accessed 14th July, 2018) Available:https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/iccvam/s uppdocs/feddocs/oecd/oecd-gd24.pdf
- Paget GE, Barnes JM. Evaluation of drug activities. In Lawrence, D. R & Bacharach, A. L. (Eds.). Pharmacometrics. New York: Academy Press. 1964;161.
- Deeds MC, Anderson JM, Armstrong AS, Gastineau DA, Hiddinga HJ, Jahangir A, Eberhardt NL, Kudva YC. Single dose streptozotocin induced diabetes: Considerations for study design in islet transplantation models. Lab Animal. 2011; 45(3):131–140.
- Breyer MD, Bottinger E, Brosius FC, Coffman TM, Harris RC, Heilig CW, Sharma K. Mouse models of diabetic nephropathy. Journals of the American Society of Nephrology. 2005;16:27-45.
- Furman BL. Streptozotocin-induced diabetic models in mice and rats. Current Protocols in Pharmacology. 2015;70(5): 1-20.
- 14. Wink M. Modes of action of herbal medicines and plant secondary

metabolites. Medicines. 2015;2(3):251-286.

- Ikebukuro K, Adachi Y, Yamada Y, Fujimoto S, Seino Y, Oyaizu H. Treatment of streptozotocin-induced diabetes mellitus by transplantation of islet cells plus bone marrow cells via portal vein in rats. Transplantation. 2002;73:512-518.
- Lenzen S. The mechanisms of alloxanand streptozotocin-induced diabetes. Diabetologia. 2008;51:216–226.
- Kaur R, Afzal M, Kazmi I, Ahamd I, Ahmed Z, Ali B, Ahmad S, Anwar F. Polypharmacy (herbal and synthetic drug combination): a novel approach in the treatment of type-2 diabetes and its complications in rats. Journal of Natural Medicines. 2013;67(3): 662-671.
- Shokoohi R, Kianbakht S, Faramarzi M, Rahmanian M, Nabati F, Mehrzadi S, Huseini HF. Effects of an herbal combination on glycemic control and lipid profile in diabetic women: A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled clinical trial. Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine. 2017;22(4):798-804.
- 19. Al-Omaria IL, Afifib FU, Salhaba AS. Therapeutic effect and possible herb drug interactions of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe, Zingiberaceae) crude extract with glibenclamide and insulin. Pharmacognosy Communications. 2012;2:12–20.
- Reed MJ, Meszaros K, Entes LJ, Claypool MD, Pinkett JG, Gadbois TM, Reaven GM. A new rat model of type 2 diabetes: The fat-fed, streptozotocin-treated rat. Metabolism. 2000;49:1390-1394.
- 21. Skovso S. Modeling type 2 diabetes in rats using high fat diet and streptozotocin. Journal of Diabetes Investigation. 2014;5: 349-358.
- 22. Ali Y, Sudip P, Tanvir EM, Sakib H, Nur-E NR, Moumoni S, Nikhi CB, Aminu I, Sabir Siew HG, Khalil I. H, Nadia A, antidiabetic, Antihyperglycemic, and antioxidant effects of Garcinia pedunculata in rats. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2017:2979760. Available:https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/297 9760
- 23. Jafari S, Sattari R, Ghavamzadeh S. Evaluation the effect of 50 and 100 mg doses of *Cuminum cyminum* essential oil on glycemic indices, insulin resistance and serum inflammatory factors on patients

with diabetes type II: A double-blind randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine. 2017;7(3):332-338.

- Sheng Y, Zheng S, Ma T, Zhang C, Ou X, He X, Xu W, Huang K. Mulberry leaf alleviates streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats by attenuating NEFA signaling and modulating intestinal microflora. Scientific reports. 2017;7(1):12041. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-12245-2
- Gupta RC, Chang D, Nammi S, Bensoussan A, Bilinski K, Roufogalis BD. Interactions between antidiabetic drugs and herbs: An overview of mechanisms of action and clinical implications. Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome. 2017; 9(59):1-12.
- 26. Asadi S, Goodarzi MT, Karimi J, Hashemnia M, Khodadadi I. Does

curcumin or metformin attenuate oxidative stress and diabetic nephropathy in rats? Journal of Nephropathology. 2019;8(1):8.

- 27. Sellamuthu PS, Arulselvan P, Kamalraj S, Fakurazi S, Kandasamy M. Protective nature of mangiferin on oxidative stress and antioxidant status in tissues of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. International Scholarly Research Notices: Pharmacology. 2013;75:1-9.
- Lanjhiyana S, Garabadu D, Ahirwar D, Rana AC, Ahirwar B, Lanjhiyana SK. Pharmacognostic standardization and hypoglycemic evaluations of novel polyherbal formulations. Der Pharmacia Lettre. 2011;3(1):319-333.
- 29. Maninder-Kaur VV. Diabetes and antidiabetic herbal formulations: An alternative to Allopathy. International Journal of Pharmacognosy. 2014;1(10): 614-626.

© 2019 Briggs et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/50906