



Condom use among Students in a Ghanaian Public University; a Quantitative Survey

Lawrencia Aggrey-Bluwey ^{a*}, Sam Johnson ^a and Raphael Nyarkotey Obu ^b

^a Department of Health Administration and Education, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana.

^b Department of Holistic Medicine, Nyarkotey College of Holistic Medicine and Technology, Ashaiman, Ghana.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors SJ and LAB conceptualized the study. Author SJ collected and analyzed the data. Authors LAB and RNO critically reviewed the analysis. All authors contributed to developing the manuscript and proofreading for publication. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ISRR/2022/v11i130137

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85309>

Original Research Article

Received 15 March 2022

Accepted 09 April 2022

Published 14 April 2022

ABSTRACT

Background: HIV transmission remains a public health concern, with many adolescents and young adults engaging in unprotected sex. Inadequate condom uptake rates have been reported among university students, with women recording relatively lower condom uptake. Religion remains a determinant of condom uptake in certain contexts.

Objective: To examine gender and other factors such as religion as determinants of condom use among university students in a Ghanaian public university.

Methodology: A descriptive cross-sectional survey approach, using a pre-tested structured questionnaire was used to collect data among 132 unmarried undergraduate students. Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 26, using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results: Results of a cross-tabulation of gender against condom use revealed that males use condoms (73.6%) more than females (63.3%). However, there was no statistically significant in the means of both males and females and condom use ($t=-0.810$, $df=122$, $p>0.05$). Analysis of Variance test of religion and condom use indicated that there was no significant difference between the means of religion and condom use [$F(1,130) = 2.759$, $p>0.05$]. The relationship between gender and the barriers to condom use was positive and was statistically significant $r(130) = 0.229$, $p<0.05$.

*Corresponding author: Email: labluwey@uew.edu.gh;

Conclusion and Recommendation: The university curriculum should be reviewed to incorporate reproductive health education as a core course for students to acquire more knowledge on current reproductive health issues, including condom use.

Keywords: Condoms; contraception; HIV; STI; university; students.

1. INTRODUCTION

In sub-Saharan Africa, HIV transmission remains a public health concern, with many adolescents and young adults engaging in unprotected sex; including transactional sex; and reporting inconsistent condom use [1]. A relatively slow response to the HIV pandemic and a seeming reluctance to address culturally sensitive issues such as sexual activity before marriage in certain parts of the African continent are to blame for the continuous rising trends in new HIV infections [2].

Global rates on consistent condom usage rates among young, sexually active people range from 4 to 52.4% [3]. These statistics are however inadequate to provide optimum protection and reduce STD transmission. Consistent condom use among young people is even the more important because young people are more likely to contract HIV and other STDs due to certain social, cultural, economic, and systemic factors which make it more likely for them to participate in risky sexual activity. HIV transmission is predominantly as a result of heterosexual intercourse in Africa (UNAIDS, 2014), with evidence suggesting that condom use can be a healthy, inexpensive, and feasible way to avoid not only contracting of HIV and other STIs, but also unplanned births [4].

Empirical data suggests that STIs, especially HIV, continue to be a source of concern among Ghanaian university students [5] (Fiaveh, 2011). These students have been found to not be using condoms regularly. They have also been found to have multiple sexual partners, placing them at risk for STDs such as HIV [5]. Available research points to the fact that when young people are given the relevant behavior change knowledge, skills, and resources in an enabling setting, they are more likely to adopt healthier lifestyles such as use of condoms, and this makes them less likely to be vulnerable to STIs including HIV and AIDS [6]. A recent study conducted by Elsheikh, Hoving, & Hein, [7] on the factors that influence condom use among Ugandan students found that the majority of sexually active male and female participants had the bulk of their sexual practices being unprotected. Condoms were only

used by a few of them regularly. Those who had attended HIV training sessions were more likely to use condoms on a regular basis than those who had not [7].

Literature on contraception use suggests that almost all sexually active women have used some form of contraception at some stage in their lives. Despite these high rates of contraception use, condom use is still very low. Condoms are used by only 10.2% of all women. Majority of women have been reported to prefer hormonal methods such as tablets, particularly women who are also university students [8]. It has been further reported that some individuals feel the usage of condoms during sexual intercourse is unromantic [9]. Alvarez and Garcia-Marques [9] further reported that while individuals perceived condom use to be a responsible, safer sex practice, most felt that not using condoms during sexual encounters was more enjoyable. Interestingly, individuals who did not employ safer sex practices in this study believed the lack of condom use conveyed intimacy, commitment, and deep affection. Research further suggests that romanticism may be more important in sexual encounters than safer sex responsibility among college students.

According to Stephen et al., (2011), condom information and understanding are almost universal in Ghana, but condom use is less than optimal. Also, some university students are indifferent to their serological status. University students unaware of the dangers they face can overlook the value of protective behaviors such as condom usage. As a result, they are more prone to HIV/AIDS and other STDs, as well as unintended pregnancy and abortion [10]. According to the literature, there is a clear correlation between socio-demographic characteristics and condom use. Bankole and colleagues found that being exposed to condom usage exhibits, age, sex education, exposure to mass media, religion and education were all important factors in the decision to use condoms among 12–19-year-old males and females in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Malawi, and Uganda using data from the 2014 National Adolescent Survey [11]. Condom usage is a dynamic and

multifaceted problem that is affected by several factors. Religion, in particular, has been identified as being able to influence attitudes toward condom use within and outside marriage by contributing to an individual's concept of identity or by normalizing certain values and beliefs [12].

Religious norms may also cause people to reject the use of artificial family planning methods. This means that the use of condoms among those who are religious may be lower than that of their counterparts who are not religious [13]. In addition, those who are religious may be less likely to cognitively plan their sexual encounters and so have lower likelihood of condom use during sexual intercourse [14]. Basically, studies have shown that the impact of religiosity on sexual behavior can delay the onset of sexual debut or reduce the likelihood of contraceptive usage (Rostosky et al., 2004) [15,16]. According to the Reference Group Theory, individuals' religious teachings tend to dictate their sexual behaviors and attitudes [17].

In the light of these issues, there is the need for the development of novel strategies to boost the number of young people who regularly use condoms. This paper thus aims to add on to existing literature by examining gender and other factors such as religion as determinants of condom use among university students.

The specific objectives for this paper are as follows:

1. To assess the differences in condom use among the two genders of university students.
2. To examine the difference in condom use among university students of various religions.
3. To examine the relationship between gender and barriers to condom use among university students.

In order to achieve these objectives, the study seeks to test the following hypotheses:

1. **H₁**: there is a statistically significant difference in condom use between male and female students
2. **H₂**: there is a statistically significant difference in condom use among university students of various religions
3. **H₃**: there is statistically significant relationship between gender and barriers to condom use among university students

2. METHODOLOGY

The study used a cross-sectional survey design using a structured questionnaire designed from the authors' own construct to collect data in a public university in Ghana. The target population was all unmarried undergraduate students at the University. Inclusion criteria included respondents 18 years and above, sexually active and willing to participate in the study. The estimated population of students in the main campus was about 50000. The sample size was calculated using the Slovin's formula $n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$, where N is the total population, n is the sample size and e is the error tolerance. N=50000, e=5%=0.05.

$$n = \frac{50000}{1 + 50000(0.05)^2} = \frac{50000}{1 + 125} = \frac{50000}{126} = 396.82 \approx 397.$$

The Institution has three campuses, so the estimated population of the campus on which the study was conducted was one-third ($\frac{1}{3}$) of the total population on all three campuses. Therefore, the sample size for student in the study campus, $n = \frac{1}{3} * 397 = 132.33 \approx 132$. Face and content validity for the structured questionnaire were established by experts in sexual and reproductive health. The instrument was further pre-tested in a public training college in the same environment with. The simple randomization method was chosen because students arrived on campus at different times and without scheduled appointments, making it possible to reach the required sample size. The researchers were assisted by three trained assistants in collecting data.

A Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.68 was obtained, which is indicative of internal consistency and reliability of the data collection instrument. The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 26. Descriptive data was presented in the form of frequencies. Inferential statistics tools such as Chi square, independent sample t-test, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Pearson correlation coefficient were used to test the significance difference between the variables. Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from the Nyarkotey College of Holistic Medicine IRB. Respondents were taken through a process of informed consent, after which they signed an informed consent form. They were further assured of privacy, confidentiality and anonymity. No respondent was coerced into participating in the study, and students who failed to participate

were not penalized. Participants were informed that they had the right to withdraw from the survey at any time, without fear of losing benefits to which they are otherwise entitled. A permission letter was also sent to the Dean of student's affairs.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Socio-demographic Data

This section provides information on the respondents' gender, age category (in years), current level, faculty, and religion of a total of 132

respondents who were employed in the study as shown in Table 1. Among the respondents N=72, 54.5% were males whiles N=60, 45.5% were females, majority of them were within the age of 21-30 years (N=88, 66.7%), most of them were in level 100 (N=46, 34.8%), most of them were in the faculty of science education (N=61, 46.2%), and finally, the majority of them were Christians (N=102, 77.3%).

Males use condoms (73.6%) more than females (63.3%), according to the results of the cross-tabulation, the difference was not significant ($p > 0.05$).

Table 1. Socio-demographic information of respondents

Characteristic	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	72	54.5
Female	60	45.5
Total	132	100
Age category (years)		
Less than 21	21	15.9
21-30	88	66.7
31-40	21	15.9
41 and above	2	1.5
Total	132	100
Current Level		
Level 100	46	34.8
Level 200	32	24.2
Level 300	30	22.7
Level 400	24	18.2
Total	132	100
Religion		
Christian	102	77.3
Muslim	27	20.5
Traditional	3	2.2
Total	132	100

Table 2. Cross tabulation of gender against condom use

		Condom use in sexual intercourse		Total
		Yes	No	
Gender	Male	53 76.6%	19 26.4%	72 100%
	Female	38 63.3%	32 36.7%	60 100%
Total		91 68.9%	41 31.1%	132 100%

Chi square (χ^2) value of 1.614, (df) =1 and $p > .05$.

Table 3. Independent sample t-test of gender and condom use

	F	Sig	T	DF	sig (2-tailed)	t-test for equality of means		95% C.I.	
						mean diff	error diff	Lower	Upper
Equal variances assumed	3.045	0.083	-0.810	122	0.420	-0.77	0.96	-0.267	0.112
Equal variances not assumed			-0.816	78.286	0.417	-0.77	0.95	-0.266	0.111

($t=-0.810, df=122, p>.05$).

As to whether there is difference in condom use among males and females, the results was not statistically significant ($t=-0.810, df=122, p>0.05$). Thus, we reject H_1 and conclude that there is no statistically significant difference in condom use between male and female university students.

Table 4. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) on religion and condom use

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig
Between Groups	0.639	1	0.639	2.759	0.099
Within Groups	30.111	130	0.232		
Total	30.750	131			

[$F(1,130)=2.759, p>.05$].

The result from the ANOVA test in the Table 4 indicated that there was no statistically significant difference in the use of condoms among students who belong to the various religions [$F(1,130)=2.759, p>0.05$]. We therefore reject H_2 at the 95% confidence level.

Table 5. Pearson correlation coefficient of gender and barriers to condom use

Correlations		Gender	What are the barriers to condom use
Gender	Pearson Correlation	1	0.229
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.012
	N	132	120
What Are the barriers to condom use	Pearson Correlation	0.229	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.12	
	N	120	120

Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

The correlation between them was positive and the test was statistically significant $r(130)=0.229, p<0.05$. We therefore fail to reject H_3 .

4. DISCUSSION

The study found that the majority of the respondents were males (N=72, 54.5%). This finding is similar to a study exploring the determinants of condom use among university students in Sudan [7]. Also Asante and Doku [4] in a similar study also found that 54% and 46% of their respondents were males and females respectively. Moreover, Nesidai et al., in their

study on Knowledge, Attitude and Practice factors associated with condom use among undergraduate Students of a Public University in Kenya found that, out of 461 participants who interviewed, majority of them were males. Additionally, a study conducted by Devika et al [18] on Inconsistent condom use among Ugandan university students from a gender perspective: a cross-sectional study, found that, 58.78% of their respondents were males.

This current study further revealed that most of the respondents were within the ages of 21-30

years (N=88, 66.7). The results of this study reflect the findings of Tagoe and Aggor [19], which revealed that higher education students, the majority of whom are between the ages of 20 and 24, are considered to be at a higher risk of sexually transmitted diseases and unwanted pregnancies than the general public. Moreover, a similar study on Behavioral Profile and Attitude toward Condom use Among College Students in Southwest Ethiopia revealed that, the mean age of respondents was 19.95 (± 2 SD) ranging from 18 to 30 years, Tewodros & Tadesse [20].

The majority of the respondents were Christians (N=102, 77.3%), out of the three main religions in Ghana used. These findings are consistent with similar studies on religiosity and condom use with a casual sex partner in Ghana, which found that the majority of the respondents (77.2%) were Christians [21] and cultural adaptation to condom use self-efficacy scale in Ghana [4].

The cross-tabulation of Gender Against condom use revealed that males use condoms (73.6%) more than females (63.3%). According to the results in Table 2, the difference was not significant ($p > 0.05$). Therefore, we failed to reject H_1 . This is in line with a study on condom use among university students in Zimbabwe: Implications for planning and policy [22]. There is some evidence of a relationship between genders and reporting of condom use in sub-Saharan Africa [23]. Further, a study by Walusaga et al., [24] on Gender Difference in Condom use Among HIV clients in Uganda revealed that, low rates of consistent condom use were observed, particularly among women who reported significantly lower rates of consistent condom use compared to men. Half of the male respondents reported always using condoms, compared to roughly one-third of women. A study was conducted in Uganda among university students by Mehra et al, [25] to investigate whether gender differences regarding individual and social factors determine the association between condom efficacy and inconsistent condom use with a new sex partner. Results showed that a total of 1,179 (60.3%) students reported having had their sexual debut. Of these, 231 (37.4%) males and 209 (49.2%) females reported inconsistent condom use with a new sex partner. This indicate that male use condom than females.

Table 3 further shows that there was no statistical difference in the mean of both males

and females and condom use based on a student t-test on gender and condom use ($t = -0.810$, $df = 122$, $p > 0.05$). This matches the findings of a previous study on condom use and attitudes among heterosexual college students, which found that "the most common reason for nonuse, among both males and females, was being involved in a steady relationship." [26]. Also, Benefo, [27] in his study found that, about a third of both male- and female-reported relationships (37% and 33%, respectively) included condom use during the last sexual encounter. The current findings thus add to a body of research that has shown condom use is inversely associated with relational measures that characterize the relationship as long-lasting, durable, stable, and/or exclusive [28].

Analysis of Variance test (ANOVA) of religion and condom use shown in Table 4 indicated that there was no significant difference between the means of religion and condom use [$F(1,130) = 2.759$, $p > 0.05$]. This is noteworthy that a similar study stated that 'there is no significant relationship between religion and male condom use' [29]. Additionally, a study on Religiosity, sexual intercourse and condom use among university student found that there was no statistically significant association between dichotomous measure religiosity and answers to behavioral questions [30]. Furthermore, Agardh et al. [31] in their study on The Impact of Socio-Demographic and Religious Factors upon Sexual Behavior among Ugandan University Students found that, the role of religion seems to have no impact on condom use.

4.1 Pearson Correlation Coefficient on Gender and Barriers to Condom Use

The Pearson correlation coefficient shown in Table 5 was used to measure the degree of a linear relationship between gender and the barriers to condom use. The correlation between them was positive and the test was statistically significant $r(130) = 0.229$, $p < 0.05$. This concurs with a study on Gender Differences in Condom Use Behaviour among Students in a Nigerian University [32]. Also, Sally et al [33] in their study on Barriers to Condom Use among heterosexual Male and Female College Students found that, factor analysis on each gender revealed similar barrier factor structures, but the barriers explained more of variance in condom use among women than men [34-39].

5. SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMPETING INTERESTS

Despite the widely investigated nature of condom use in the healthcare literature, this study has revealed that condom use is still a major issue among university students. Due to this, more novel strategies need to be employed in order to encourage university students who may not be in the position to abstain from intercourse, to consistently use condoms so as to protect themselves from unwanted pregnancies and transmitting STIs such as HIV/AIDS.

Government and relevant stakeholders should re-evaluate policies on sexual and reproductive health education. They must also implement measures to which seek to encourage condom use among the youth since it serves as a barrier to both STDs and Unwanted pregnancies.

Furthermore, the university curriculum should be reviewed to incorporate reproductive health education as a core for students to acquire current knowledge on reproductive health issues.

Finally, there should be frequent health education programs by the university community to teach students how to use condoms and also give fresh insight into the effects of unprotected sex and the benefits of using condoms.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly used products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

ETHICS APPROVAL

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Nyarkotey College of Holistic Medicine and Technology

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, respondents' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ajayi AI, Ismail KO, Adeniyi OV, Akpan W. Awareness and use of pre-exposure and postexposure prophylaxes among Nigerian university students: Findings from a cross-sectional survey. *Medicine*. 2018;97(36):e12226. DOI: 10.1097/md.00000000000012226.
2. Gökengin D, Doroudi F, Tohme J, Collins B, Madani N. HIV/AIDS: Trends in the Middle East and North Africa region. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2016;44:66–73. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2015.11.008>.
3. Wong WCW, Cheng S, Miu HYH, Ong JJ, Chen J, Loper KA. Contextual factors associated with consistent condom use and condom self-efficacy amongst African asylum seekers and refugees in Hong Kong. *Infect Dis Health*. 2017;23:23–32.
4. Asante KO, Doku PN. Cultural adaptation of the Condom Use Self-Efficacy Scale (CUSES) in Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10(1):227. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-227
5. Appiah-Agyakum NN, Kayi AE. Students' perception on contraceptives in the University of Ghana. *Journal of Family and Reproductive Health*. 2013;7(1):39-44. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24971101/>
6. Onokerhoraye AG, Maticka-Tyndale E, HP4RY Team. HIV prevention for rural youth in Nigeria: background overview. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2012;16(2):25-38. PMID: 22916542.
7. Elsheikh HF, Hoving C, Hein de Vries. Exploring the determinants of condom use among university students in Sudan. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2020;49(4). DOI: 10.1007/s10508-019-01564-2
8. Osei FI, Mayhew SH, Biekro L, Collumbien M, ECAF Team. Fertility Decisions and Contraceptive Use at Different Stages of Relationships: Windows of Risk among Men and Women in Accra: International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health. *A Journal of Peer-reviewed Research*. 2014;40(3):135-143.

- Available:<https://www.guttmacher.org/journals/ipsrh/2014/09/fertility-decisions-and-contraceptive-use-different-stages-relationships>
9. Alvarez M, Garcia-Marques L. Cognitive and contextual variables in sexual partner and relationship perception. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2011;40(2):407–417. DOI: 10.1007/s10508-011-9725-5
 10. Somba MJ, Mbonile M, Obure J, Mahande MJ. Sexual behaviour, contraceptive knowledge and use among female undergraduates' students of Muhimbili and Dar es Salaam Universities, Tanzania: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Womens Health*. 2014;7;14:94. DOI: 10.1186/1472-6874-14-94. PMID: 25099502; PMCID: PMC4126911.
 11. Bankole A, Ahmed FH, Neema S, Ouedraogo C, Konyani S. Knowledge of correct condom use and consistency of use among adolescents in four countries in sub-Saharan Africa. *Afro J Reproductive Health*. 2017;11(3):197-220. PMID: 18458741
 12. Gilbert SS. The Influence of Islam in AIDS Prevention among Senegalese Students. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2008;(20):5.399-407
 13. Zaleski EH, Schiaffino KM. Religiosity and sexual risk-taking behaviour during the transition to college. *Journal of Adolescence*. 2000;23:223- 227.
 14. Ladin L, Engle K, Jackson C, Brown JD. Early adolescents' cognitive susceptibility to initiating sexual course. *Perspective on Social and Reproductive Health*. 2006;38:97-105.
 15. Lefkowitz ES, Gillen MM, Shearer CL, Boone TL. Religiosity, sexual behaviors and sexual attitudes during emerging adulthood. *The Journal of Sex Research*. 2010;41(2):150-159.
 16. Bryan AE, Norris J, Abdallah DA, Zawacki T, Morrison DM, George WH, Davis KC, Danube CL, Chimbindi NZ, McGrath N, Herbst K, Tint KS, Newell M. Sociodemographic determinants of condom use among sexually active young adults in rural kwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *The Open AIDS Journal*. 2010;4:88-95.
 17. Pinhollow T, Young M, Denny G. The Impact of Religiosity on the Sexual Behaviors of College Students. *American Journal of Health Education*. 2005;36(2):75- 83.
 18. Devika M, Per-Olof Ö, Björn E, Anette A. Inconsistent condom use among Ugandan university students from a gender perspective: a cross-sectional study, *Global Health Action*. 2014;7:1. DOI: 10.3402/gha.v7.22942
 19. Tagoe M, Aggor R. Knowledge, behaviour, perceptions and attitudes of University of Ghana students towards HIV/AIDS: what does behavioural surveillance survey tell us? *Journal of Health and Human Services Administration*. 2009;32(1):51-84. Available:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26322291_Knowledge_behaviour_perceptions_and_attitudes_of_University_of_Ghana_students_towards_HIVAIDS_what_does_behavioural_surveillance_survey_tell_us.
 20. Tewodros Y, Tadesse N. Behavioral Profiles and Attitude towards condom Use among College Students in Southwest Ethiopia. *BioMed Research International*. 2020;6. Available:<http://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9582139>.
 21. Badasu DM, Kwankye SO, Sanuade OA, El-Adas A, Atuahene K. Religiosity and Condom Use with Casual Sex Partners in Ghana; 2014. Available:<http://ugspace.ug.edu.gh/handle/123456789/26630>
 22. Njabulo N, Pranitha M. The prevalence of condom uses among university students in Zimbabwe: Implications for planning and policy. *Journal of Biosocial Science*. 2012;45(5). DOI: 10.1017/S0021932012000788.
 23. Eisele TP, Mathews C, Chopra M. Changes in risk behavior among HIV-positive patients during their first year of antiretroviral therapy in Cape Town South Africa. *AIDS Behav*. 2009;13:1097–1105. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-008-9473-2>
 24. Walusaga, AH, Kyohangirwe R, Wagner GJ. Gender differences in determinants of condom use among HIV clients in Uganda. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(11):694–699. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3200/JACH.56.1.61-68>
 25. Mehra D, Östergren PO, Ekman B, Agardh A. Inconsistent condom use among Ugandan university students from a gender perspective: a cross-sectional study. *Global health Action*. 2014;7(1):22942. DOI: 10.3402/gha.v7.22942

26. Myer T, Clement T. Condom use and attitude among heterosexual college student. *Canadian Journal of Public Health = Revue Canadienne de Sante Publique*. 1994;85(1). Available:<http://europepmc.org>
27. Benefo KD. Are partner and relationship characteristics associated with condom use in Zambian nonmarital relationships? *Int Fam Plan Perspect*. 2004;30(3):118-27. DOI: 10.1363/3011804. PMID: 15381466.
28. Manning WD, Giordano PC, Longmore MA, Flanigan CM. Young adult dating relationships and the management of sexual risk. *Population Research and Policy Review*. 2012;(31):2,165–185. Available:<http://www.jstor.org/stable/41409613>
29. Schandorf JNS. A comparative study of condom usage among men in urban poor communities in Accra [Master's thesis, University of Ghana]. University of Ghana Research Repository; 2015. Available:<http://197.255.68.203/handle/123456789/8200>.
30. Dunne MP, Edwards R, Lucke J, Donald M, Raphael B. Religiosity, sexual intercourse and condom use among university students. *Aust J Public Health*. 1994;18(3):339-41. DOI: 10.1111/j.1753-6405.1994.tb00257.x. PMID: 7841268.
31. Agardh A, Tumwine G, Östergren P-O. The Impact of Socio-Demographic and Religious Factors upon Sexual Behavior among Ugandan University Students. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(8): e23670. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0023670
32. Olley BO, Rotimi OJ. Gender differences in condom use behaviour among students in a Nigerian University. *African Journal of Reproductive Health*. 2003;7(1). DOI: 10.2307/3583348
33. Sally J, Wendt Laura J, Solomon. Barriers to Condom Use among heterosexual Male and Female College Students. *Journal of American College Health*. 2010;105-110. Available:<http://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.1995.9939102>.
34. Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes*. 1991;50(2):179–211. DOI:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
35. Albarracín D, Johnson BT, Fishbein M, Muellerleile PA. Theories of reasoned action and planned behavior as models of condom use: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2001;127(1):142–161. DOI: 10.1037/0033-2909.127.1.142.
36. Galero-Tejero E. A Simplified Approach to Thesis and Dissertation Writing. Mandauluyong City: National Book Store. 2011;43-44.
37. Nesoff ED, Dunkle K, Lang D. The impact of condom use negotiation self-efficacy and partnership patterns on consistent condom use among college-educated women. *Health Educ Behav*. 2016;43(1):61–7.
38. Nesidai KBA, Ng'ang'a Z, Mwangi M, Wanzala P. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice factors associated with condom use among undergraduate Students of a Public University in Kenya (A case of Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology). *African Journal of Health Sciences*. 2011;19:3-4. Available:<https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajhs/article/view/201477>
39. Stappenbeck CA. Condom-insistence conflict in Women's alcohol-involved sexual encounters with a new male partner. *Psychol Women Q*. 2017;41(1): 100–13.

© 2022 Aggrey-Bluwey et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85309>