



## Effect of Extraction Techniques and Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity of *Argemone mexicana* L. Leaves and Roots Extracts in Different Solvents

Sachin Kumari <sup>a\*</sup>, Meena Sindhu <sup>b</sup>, Neha Goel <sup>a</sup>, Monika Panghal <sup>a</sup>,  
Sushila Singh <sup>a</sup> and Indu Rani <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125004, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Microbiology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125004, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors NG, MP and IR did the plant part collection, roots and leaves extract preparation. Author SK did the manuscript preparation. Author MS managed the antimicrobial activity. Author SS helped in planning and execution. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2022/v28i630527

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87496>

Original Research Article

Received 12 March 2022  
Accepted 24 May 2022  
Published 02 June 2022

### ABSTRACT

**Aim:** The main objective of the study is to estimate the effect of extraction techniques and antimicrobial activity of different solvent extract of *A. mexicana* leaves and roots.

**Study Design:** The research is experimental in nature.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Chemistry and Microbiology at CCSHAU, Hisar, between late 2020 and January 2022.

**Methodology:** The leaves and roots of *A. mexicana* were harvested for this study. Shade dried roots and leaves were cut into small pieces of 2-3 inches and processed into powder using a mixer grinder. Soxhlet extraction and microwave-assisted extraction procedures were used to extract leaves and roots in solvents such as acetone, methanol, and water. The antimicrobial activity of the roots and leaves extracts were evaluated against Gram +ve bacteria (*Xanthomonas campestris*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*) and fungal species (*Fusarium oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Candida albicans*) and their zones of inhibition in mm are measured by Agar well diffusion method.

**Results:** Soxhlet extraction technique gave better extract yield 12.19 g /100 g and 8.54 g / 100 g while microwave-assisted extraction gave 8.88 g / 100 g and 6.94 g / 100 g for leaves and roots

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sachindalal@hau.ac.in;

respectively. The methanolic root and leaves extracts exhibited higher antimicrobial activity followed by acetone and water extracts.

**Conclusion:** The result of the investigation showed that extraction techniques considerably affected extraction yield and antimicrobial activity. Soxhlet extraction is better one extraction method among these two and methanolic extract of leaves was found to be good antimicrobial followed by acetone and aqueous.

**Keywords:** *Argemone mexicana*; soxhlet extraction; microwave-assisted extraction; roots; leaves; antimicrobial.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Plants have long been recognized as potential sources of diverse classes of chemical compounds, known as phytochemicals, having diverse biological and therapeutic activities, which are effective in controlling or treating various diseases. Medicine based on the plant system continues to play a significant part in the health-care system. Plant-based medications are used by over 60% of the world's population for primary health care. Because therapeutic plants are becoming more widely recognised, there is a growing belief in herbal medicine. The medicinal plants are long-lasting acknowledgment owing to growing trust in herbal medicine [1]. The medicinal plant's parts (stem, bark, leaves, fruits, roots, and seeds) have been used in phytomedicine and have a specific physiological function on the human body. According to Chaudhuri et al. [2] the medicinal plants had natural bioactive elements such as alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, and phenolic compounds. Medicinal plants also contain massive amounts of antioxidants, such as polyphenols, vitamin C, vitamin E, selenium,  $\beta$ -carotene, lycopene, lutein and other carotenoids, which play important roles in adsorbing and neutralizing free radicals, quenching singlet and triplet oxygen or decomposing peroxides [3]. In various places, *A. mexicana* also known as prickly poppy or satyanashi, is utilised as a medicinal plant. *A. mexicana* possess biological activities such as antibacterial as reported by Bhattacharjee et al. [4]; Rahman, et al. [5]; Rahman, M. et al. [6]; Sahu et al. [7] as well as antifungal reported by Kushtwar et al. [8]; Santosh et al. [9]; More, N. et al. [10]; Andleeb, S. et al. [11]. Strong medicinal potential of *A. mexicana* has been analyzed by several researchers as well as scholars groups to determine its main secondary metabolites, that includes phenolics, flavonoids, tannins, terpenoids (such as glycosides), N-containing compounds (such as alkaloids), as well as saponins and steroids [12]. In our study, we

were used two different methods for extraction that is soxhlet (traditional) and microwave assisted (novel) extraction for root, leaves extract preparation in three different solvent namely methanol, acetone and water. Extraction techniques and nature of the solvent greatly affected the yield, phenolic contents as well as antioxidant activity as reported by Bushra et al. [13]; Tushar et al. [14]. Many of these natural plant-derived antibiotic compounds have been left undiscovered since the introduction of current antibiotic medications mostly derived from bacterial, fungal, and synthetic sources. A detailed evaluation of the effect of extraction procedures and antibacterial activity of *A. mexicana* leaves, roots extracts in different solvents that had not before been examined in the literature in Haryana was carried out in this study.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Plant Materials

In late March 2021, the entire plant *A. mexicana* was obtained from roadside and unoccupied plots in Haryana's districts of Bhiwani and Hisar. The entire plant was brought to the lab. Roots and leaves were separated, then washed with double distilled water after being cleansed with running tap water for 2-3 times. After that, it was shade dried for thirty days. Roots and leaves were dried before being cut into small pieces of 2-3 inches and powdered in a grinder. The powdered form was sieved, and leaf and root samples were stored separately in airtight containers.

### 2.2 Chemicals and Reagents

The solvents were used for extraction and evaluation of antimicrobial activity of analytical grade from hi-media chemicals limited. The solutions used in assay were prepared fresh for experimental procedures.

## 2.3 Extraction

Extracts were made with acetone, methanol, and water, and then two extractions were performed (soxhlet and microwave- assisted extraction). Antimicrobial activity was assessed using the extraction method with the highest extract yield.

## 2.4 Soxhlet Extraction

The extract was prepared according to the M. D. Luque et al. [15] technique. *A. mexicana* roots and leaves powdered samples were packed in a whatman no.1 filter paper to make a thimble that fit in a traditional soxhlet apparatus with a 250 mL round bottom flask. The acetone and methanol solvent were added up to one and a half siphons that are approximately 150 mL. Extractions completed in three steps that consisted of first extraction step of 5 hours, residue in thimble was again extracted twice completed in 2 hours and then repeated third time; extraction completed in 1 hour. Suitable amount of acetone and methanol solvent was added in the siphon to make up a volume of 150 mL. Filtrates of acetone and methanol solvent from three extraction steps were taken and their volumes were noted. But in the case of water as a solvent it takes longer to extract through the siphon mechanism, here extraction completed in 8 cycles rather than 3 cycles in case of acetone and methanol. After extraction, the volume of each filtered solvent (acetone, methanol, water) was measured. All these extracts were filtered and further concentrated on rotator evaporator (Buchii-R300) for evaluation of antimicrobial activity. Root and leaves extracts stored in a refrigerator at 4°C. Extract yield of *A. mexicana* roots, leaves prepared by soxhlet extraction was calculated using formulae:

$$\text{Extract yield (g/100g)} = \frac{(W1 \times 100)}{(W)}$$

W1 is the weight of the extract residue  
W is the weight of the extract

## 2.5 Microwave-assisted Extraction

The main benefits of using microwave assisted extraction is that it reduces the amount of solvent used, waste generated, solvent release into the environment, and human exposure [16]. In that case a microwave oven (IFB, model : 2301) with output of 800W and operating

frequency 2450 MHz was used for extraction [17]. Eight gram of each powdered samples of *A. mexicana* roots and leaves were placed in a 250 ml conical flask. Then 100 ml each acetone, methanol and aqueous was added to these flasks. Flasks were left overnight. Flask samples were microwaved for 10 seconds at 40% power in a microwave oven, but not allowed to boil. After cooling to room temperature, the extraction procedure was repeated up to 12 times (in order to complete the extraction) with the irradiation stage. After extraction, the volume of each filtered solvent was measured and further concentrated on rotator evaporator (Buchii-R300) for evaluation of antimicrobial activity. Root and leaves extracts stored in a refrigerator at 4°C. Extract yield of *A. mexicana* roots, leaves prepared by microwave-assisted extraction technique was calculated using formulae:

$$\text{Extract yield (g/100g)} = \frac{(W1 \times 100)}{(W)}$$

W1 is the weight of the extract residue  
W is the weight of the extract

## 2.6 Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity

The antibacterial activity of the root and leaf extracts were determined using Bayer et al. [18], Agar's well diffusion method. Single colonies on agar plates were grown for 18 to 24 hours to produce a bacterial solution with a turbidity of 0.5 McFarland (equivalent to  $1.5 \times 10^8$  colony-forming units (CFU)/ml). At 600 nm, the turbidity of the bacterial suspension was measured. Agar plates were inoculated with 100 µl of the test microorganisms and spread evenly using a spreader before being allowed to dry for 5 minutes. Under aseptic circumstances, Mueller hinton agar plates and Potato dextrose agar were inoculated with bacterial and fungus strains, respectively, and 50 µl of the test samples were poured into wells (diameter=6 mm) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria and 72 hours for fungi. The diameter of the growth inhibition zones was determined in millimetres after the incubation time. After 24 hours for bacteria and 72 hours for fungi, the zone around each well was measured. To reduce error, each experiment was repeated three times. For fungus, cycloheximide was employed as a standard, while tetracycline was utilised for bacteria. The zone of inhibition was measured in millimetres after incubation. The antimicrobial activity of root and leaves extracts

obtained was tested against Gram +ve bacteria *Xanthomonas campestris*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and fungal species *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Candida albicans* and their zones of inhibition in mm are measured.

## 2.7 Statistical Analysis

Triplicates of each sample were used for statistical analysis, and the results were reported as mean, standard error (S.E.). One-way and two-way analysis of variances (ANOVA) were employed in Online Statistical Analysis (OPSTAT) to examine if there were any significant differences between the sample means.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Extract Yield

Extract yield of *A. mexicana* roots, leaves prepared by soxhlet extraction and microwave-assisted extraction technique was given in Table 1. The yield of extracts in g/100g prepared by soxhlet extraction technique was higher than microwave-assisted extraction technique for the solvents aqueous, methanol, and acetone among *A. mexicana* roots and leaves extracts prepared by two extraction techniques. In case of Soxhlet extraction the analyte is concentrated from the matrix as a whole or isolated from specific interfering compounds using a mixture of percolation and maceration procedures while in case of microwave assisted extraction involves heating solvents containing samples, which allows analytes from a sample matrix to be partitioned into the solvent. The results are in agreement with other researchers [19]; Datkhile, Kailas et al. [20]. Among plant parts, extract yield of leaves was highest. Extraction yield is a measure of solvent and extraction method efficiency. Soxhlet extraction gave higher yield and results are in agreement with other researchers. For *Quercus infectoria* galls, literature results showed that supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO<sub>2</sub>) extraction yielded the lowest extraction yield when compared to soxhlet extraction [21]. In aerial portions of *Potentilla atrosanguinea* Lodd, soxhlet extraction was shown to be 1.8 and 3 times greater than ultrasound and maceration extraction

respectively, but only slightly (1.2 times) higher than microwave extraction according to Kalpana et al. [22].

### 3.2 Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity

Antimicrobial activity was assessed using extract derived from a soxhlet extraction procedure that yielded a higher yield. As shown in Tables 2, 3 and Fig. 1, methanol extract has good antibacterial action against *Bacillus sp.* and *Staphylococcus aureus* as well as antifungal activity against *Candida albicans*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, and *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Among leaves and roots extracts, leaves extracts showed better activity for antibacterial as well as antifungal in methanol. The antibacterial activity in terms of inhibition zone against *Bacillus sp.*, *Xanthomonas campestris* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was observed. The antifungal activity in terms of inhibition zone against *Candida albicans*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Fusarium oxysporum* was observed. But the antifungal activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* was found to be nil in all leaves extracts. In *A. mexicana*, Abdulkarim et al. [23] found that ethanol leaf extract had higher antibacterial activity than methanol leaf extract. Singh et al. [24] found that chloroform extract of *A. mexicana* seeds has antibacterial activity with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of 2.0 - 5.0 mg/ml against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. According to Bhattacharjee et al. [4], methanol extracts of the leaves and seeds of *A. mexicana* had higher antibacterial activity than water extracts. According to Shyam Prasad and Dhanapal [25], methanol leaves extracts of *A. mexicana* at 100 µl concentration showed better activity against two Gram positive bacteria (*Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*), four Gram negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Salmonella typhi*), and four fungi (*Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Candida albicans* and *Mucor plumbeus*). The antibacterial compounds chelerythrine and berberine were discovered by nuclear magnetic resonance analysis of the root and leaf methanol fractions, and the findings highlighted the importance of plants as a valuable pharmaceutical resource at a time when antimicrobial and anticancer drug discovery was reported by Orozco et al. [26].

**Table 1. Extract yield (g/100 g) of *A. mexicana* roots, leaves prepared by two extraction technique**

Plant and location	Plant parts extraction technique	Leaves (g/100g)			Roots (g/100g)		
		Water	Methanol	Acetone	Water	Methanol	Acetone
<i>A. mexicana</i> and Hisar	Soxhlet	12.19 ± 0.05	11.48 ± 0.05	9.00±0.02	8.54±0.03	7.65±0.02	5.63±0.01
	Microwave	8.88 ± 0.02	7.46 ± 0.05	7.31±0.04	6.94±0.03	4.84±0.01	4.83±0.02
	Mean	10.5	9.47	8.15	7.74	6.24	5.23
	SE(m)	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03
	CD at 5%	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.12


(SE -Standard error, CD- Critical difference)

**Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of roots extracts of *A. mexicana***

Plant extract	Antimicrobial activity (mm) roots					
	Antibacterial activity (mm)			Antifungal activity (mm)		
	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>
Methanol	13	18	18	34	30	32
Water	12	08	12	28	28	18
Acetone	11	08	14	31	20	17
Tetracycline	21	16	18	-	-	-
Cycloheximide	--	--	--	13	15	10

**Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of leaves extracts of *A. mexicana***

Plant extract	Antimicrobial activity (mm) leaves					
	Antibacterial activity (mm)			Antifungal activity (mm)		
	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>
Methanol	21	16	18	38	-	32
Water	11	11	11	9	-	11
Acetone	13	12	14	34	-	28
Tetracycline	21	16	18	-	-	-
Cycloheximide	--	--	--	13	15	10



**Fig. 1. Antimicrobial activity of leaves and roots extracts of *A. mexicana* against tested microorganism**

#### 4. CONCLUSION

*A. mexicana* is a Papaveraceae plant with antibacterial and antifungal properties against a wide range of microorganisms. Water extract had the highest extraction yield for soxhlet

extraction (12.19 g/100g) and microwave assisted extraction (8.54 g/100g) among the different solvents (water, methanol and acetone). For both antifungal and antibacterial action, methanol extract was found to be the most effective, followed by aqueous, and finally

acetone. The results of this investigation revealed that the extraction technique and solvents had a substantial impact on the extract yield and antimicrobial activity of *A. mexicana* leaf and root extracts. *A. mexicana* leaf and root extracts can thus be considered effective antimicrobials.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Department of Chemistry and Microbiology at CCSHAU, Hisar, for providing the chance to conduct this study and for providing all of the necessary materials.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Dutta S, Dey P, Chaudhuri TK. Phytochemical investigation and correlation study of *Croton bonplandianus* Baill. Stem. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2014;3:142-148.
- Chaudhuri D, Ghate N B, Sarkar R, Mandal N. Phytochemical analysis and evaluation of antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of *Withania somnifera* root. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2012;5(4):193-199.
- Bind A, Kumar K, Prakash V, Kumar M. Evaluation of non-enzymatic and enzymatic antioxidant activity in leaves extracted from medicinal plants. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2014;5:1175-1180.
- Bhattacharjee I, Chatterjee SK, Chatterjee S, Chandra G. Antibacterial potentiality of *Argemone mexicana* solvent extracts against some pathogenic bacteria. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2006;101:645-648.
- Rahman MdS, Salehin MdF, Mostofa Ja MdAH, Parvin A, Alam MdK. Antibacterial activity of *Argemone mexicana* L. against water borne microbes. Res J Med Plant. 2011;5:621-626.
- Rahman M, Alam M, Sharmin S, Rahman M, Rahman A, Alam M. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of *Argemone mexicana* L (Papaveraceae). Chiang Mai Univ. J. Nat. Sci. 2006;8:77-84.
- Sahu MC, Debata NK, Padhy RN. Antibacterial activity of *Argemone mexicana* L. against multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, isolated from clinical samples. Asian Pac J. Trop Biomed. 2012;2:800-807.
- Kushtwar RS. Study on Antifungal Activity of Aerial Part of *Argemone mexicana* Linn. World J Pharm Res. 2017;1025-1031. DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20176-8558.
- Santosh Kumar Singh, Vidya Dhar Pandey, Aradhana Singh, Chandan Singh. Antibacterial activity of seed extracts of *Argemone mexicana* L. on some pathogenic bacterial strains. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2009;8(24):7077-7081.
- More N, Kharat A. Antifungal and Anticancer Potential of *Argemone mexicana* L. Medicines. 2011;30:28. PMID: 28930138.
- Andleeb S, Alsalme A, Al-Zaqri N, Warad I, Alkahtani J, Bukhari SM. *In-vitro* antibacterial and antifungal properties of the organic solvent extract of *Argemone mexicana* L. J. King Saud. Univ.—Sci. 2020;32,2053-2058.
- Ibrahim HA, Ibrahim A. Phytochemical screening and toxicity evaluation on the leaves of *Argemone mexicana* Linn. (Papaveraceae). Int. J. P App. Sci. 2009; 3:39-43.
- Bushra Sultana, Farooq Anwar, Muhammad Ashraf. Effect of extraction solvent / technique on the antioxidant activity of selected medicinal plant extracts. Molecules. 2009;14:2167-2180; DOI: 10.3390/molecules14062167.
- Tushar Dhanani, Sonal Shah, NA Gajbhiye, Satyanshu Kumar. Effect of extraction methods on yield, phytochemical constituents and antioxidant activity of *Withania somnifera*. Arabian Journal of Chemistry. 2017;10(1): 1193-1199.
- Luque de Castro MD, Garcia-Ayuso LE. Soxhlet extraction of solid materials: an outdated technique with a promising innovative future. Analytica Chimica Acta. 1998;369(1-2)10:1-10.
- Letellier M, Budzinski H. Microwave assisted extraction of organic compounds. Analisis. 1999;27:259-271.
- Letellier M, Budzinski H, Charrier L, Capes S, Dorthe AM. Optimization by factorial design of focused microwave assisted extraction of polycyclic aromatic

- hydrocarbons from marine sediment. J. Anal. Chem. 1990;3(64):228-237.
18. Bayer AW, Kirby MDK, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by standard single disc diffusion method. Am. J. Clinical Pathol. 1966;493-496.
  19. Kanhiya Mahour, Ashok Kumar, VS Vihan. Effect on physicochemical characters and bioactivity of *Argemone mexicana* in different plant extraction methods. Journal of Advanced Laboratory Research in Biology. 2011;4:167-169.
  20. Kailas Datkhile, Satish Patil, Madhavi Patil, Prati Durgawale, Nilam Jagdale, Vinit Deshmukh. Studies on phytoconstituents, *in vitro* antioxidant, antibacterial, and cytotoxicity potential of *Argemone mexicana* L. Journal of Natural Science, Biology and Medicin. 2020; 11( 2):198-205.
  21. Hasmida MN. Effect of different extraction techniques on total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of *Quercus infectoria* galls. International Food Research Journal. 2014;21(3):1075–1079.
  22. Kalpana Kalia, Kapil Sharma, Harsh Pratap Singh, Bikram Singh. Effects of extraction methods on phenolic contents and antioxidant activity in aerial parts of *Potentilla atrosanguinea* Lodd. and quantification of its phenolic constituents by RP-HPLC. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2008;56(21):10129-10134.
  23. Abdulkarim Kassem, Yehia Al Zomo, Ahmed Saif Moharem, Nahlah MN, Sallam Jameel Alafifi. Extraction, formulation and evaluation of *Argemone mexicana* leaves as antimicrobial cream and ointment. International Journal of Pharmaceuticals Biosciences Research. 2016;5(4):37-51.
  24. Singh A, Singh S, Singh S, Singh T, Singh V, Pandey V. Fungal spore germination inhibition by alkaloids dehydrocorydalmine and oxyberberine. J Plant Prot Res. 2009;49:287-289.
  25. Shyam Prasad G, Dhanapal R. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of methanolic extract of *Argemone mexicana* leaves. International Journal of Phytopharmacology. 2010;1(2):64-67.
  26. Orozco-Nunnally DA, Pruet J, Rios-Ibarra CP, Bocangel Gamarra EL, Lefeber T, Najdeska T. Characterizing the cytotoxic effects and several antimicrobial phytocompounds of *Argemone mexicana*. PLoS One. 2021;16(4):e0249704.

© 2022 Kumari et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87496>