



# Studies on Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield and Quality Characters of Elephant Foot Yam (*Amorphophallus paeonifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra

A. P. Gokul <sup>a\*</sup>, Arun Palanimuthu <sup>a</sup> and P. B. Shabitha <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar-608002, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author APG designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors AP and PB Shabitha managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i213960

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107310>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/08/2023

Accepted: 11/10/2023

Published: 16/10/2023

## ABSTRACT

The investigation was carried out to study the intergrated nutrient management practices on yield and quality characters of Elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeonifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra. The field experiment was laid out in randomized block design with thirteen treatments with three replications and conducted at farmer's field at Thirukkanur village, Villianur, Puducherry during the year 2020 with spacing 60 x 60 cm. Elephant foot yams corms were cut into 800 g for planting, after cutting corms were dipped in cow dung slurry and placed for drying. The treatments

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [apgokul1997@gmail.com](mailto:apgokul1997@gmail.com);

comprised of three levels of recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF - 80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) viz., 75%, 100% and 150% along with organic inputs viz., FYM (20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), Vermicompost (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (CBF 5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), organic manures of different combinations were applied as basal; where as recommended dose of fertilizer were also applied combined with organic manures. The observations were recorded at 190 days after planting. The results recorded maximum values for yield parameters viz., Culm girth (14.60 cm), Corm circumference (46.86 cm), Single Corm weight (48.21 Kg) plant<sup>-1</sup>, Yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.42 Kg), Yield per plot (60.76 Kg), Total yield (40.15t ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas quality parameters such as Moisture content (79.64 %), Starch content (18.02 %), Crude protein(6.11 %), Calciumoxalate (12.18 mg g<sup>-1</sup>), Total sugar content (2.62 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and β carotene (0.19µg g<sup>-1</sup>). From the experiment, it was concluded that the integrated nutrient management viz., combination of vermicompost with 100 per cent RDF along with consortium biofertilizer were identified as the best treatment to increase quality and yield.

**Keywords:** NPK; FYM; vermicompost; CBF.

## ABBREVIATIONS

%	: per cent
( )	: Bracket parenthesis
@	: At the rate of
[ ]	: Square bracket
=	: is equal to
CBF	: Consortium Biofertilizers
C.D.	: Critical difference
cm	: Centimeter
cv.	: Cultivar
Fig.	: Figure
FYM	: Farmyard manure
g	: gram
ha <sup>-1</sup>	: per hectare
S.E.M. ±	: Standard error of means
mg g <sup>-1</sup>	: Milligram per gram
NPK	: Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium
Kg	: Kilogram
No.	: Number
Plant <sup>-1</sup>	: per plant
Plot <sup>-1</sup>	: per plot
RDF	: Recommended dose of fertilizers
ha <sup>-1</sup>	: per hectare
t	: tonne
viz.	: Namely

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* is a tropical plant cultivated throughout Southeast Asia [1]. Due to potential and production capacity, it can be grown as cash crop and widely used as traditional vegetable by rural population as leaves are used as a vegetable by local tribes in India because they contain high concentration of vitamin A [2]. Corms are typically eaten by boiling, mashed or pounded and combined with other vegetables and used as secondary food during the period of scarcity of other vegetable.[3,4] It is widely used in

Ayurveda, Siddha and Unani and the tubers are also useful in treatment of piles. It has become crucial to diversify the current agriculture in order to fulfil the different human requirement due to the strain of an ever-increasing population and the rapid depletion of natural resources [5,6]. Inorganic fertilizers are commonly believed to be an effective means to raise production in poor soils and thereby leading to stagnation. Also various reports shows use of inorganic fertilizers in the tropics had stagnated explained by low marketing and profitability [7]. The recent hikes in prices of fertilizers have been compelling the Indian farmers to resort to imbalanced nutrition of crops and thus, leading to reduction in crop yields and also causing negative nutrient balance annually [8]. As an alternative way, to overcome the dependence on inorganic fertilizers, usage of organic waste integrated with chemicals and bio-fertilizers was recommended. Hence the field experiment was taken up to evaluate the effect of different organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield and quality.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at farmers field at Thirukkanur village, Villianur, Puducherry during the year 2020.

### 2.1 Treatment Details

The treatments comprised of three levels of recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF - 80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) viz., 75%, 100% and 150% along with organic inputs viz., FYM (20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), Vermicompost (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (Consortium bio fertilizer 5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1). Organic manures of different combinations were applied as basal. Observations were recorded at 190 days after planting. Observations were recorded

at different stages of the crop. Fifteen plants were tagged randomly in each plot of each treatment for recording the following observations.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was laid out during *kharif*, 2020 at the farmer field at Thirukkanur village, Villianur, Puducherry to assess the effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality characters of Elephant foot yam (*Amorphophalus paeonifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra.

The data result of the field experimental yield components has been presented in (Tables 2&3) and (Fig. 1).

#### 3.1 Yield Parameters Affected by Integrated Nutrient Management Practices

##### 3.1.1 Culm girth, corm circumference, single corm weight, corm weight plant<sup>-1</sup>, yield plot<sup>-1</sup> and total yield

The yield attributes viz., Culm girth (cm), Corm circumference (cm), Single Corm weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (kg), Yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (kg), Yield plot<sup>-1</sup> (kg), Total yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly affected by the application of various inorganic and organic inputs. From the results of the present study that maximum values for yield parameters were recorded in T<sub>11</sub> [Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) + CBF 5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>], followed by T<sub>8</sub> [Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>)] and the least values were recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (control).

Corm yield is determined with the amount of dry materials translocated to the corms and the ability of the corm, to store assimilates as evidenced by the volume and diameter of the corms is regarded as a crucial determinant influencing eventual yield. Yield of elephant foot yam depends on photosynthetic capacity and duration of the crop.

The data on yield attributes revealed that, organic manures along with inorganic fertilizers at different levels exert a significant influence on corm yield and yield attributes. From the results it could be concluded that the 20% nutrient requirement could be substituted through organic source as vermicompost, FYM without any yield loss. As the application of vermicompost might have enhanced soil micro flora activity, besides supplementing nutrients Murthy et al. [9]. The combined application along with biofertilizers increased the availability of soil nitrogen and phosphorus. The corm yield was increased gradually with increase in fertility levels. Similar results were reported by Mukhopadhyay SK and Sen H [10]. Highest tuber yield in elephant foot yam for two seasons was recorded by Saraswathi et al. [11] and Saravaiya et al. [12] and reported that recommended dose of fertilizers through inorganic source was on par with the application of 75% of nutrients through inorganic source and 25 % of nutrients through organic sources. Sengupta et al. [13] has proved that vegetative growth viz., plant height, canopy spread had direct influence on yield. Furthermore, substantial positive relationship between plant height, dry matter output, and yield qualities and cassava tuber yield, which is consistent with the current study's findings [14].

**Table 1. List of treatments used for the study**

Treatment	Treatment Details
T <sub>1</sub>	FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 75% RDF (60:30:75 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>2</sub>	FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 100% RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>3</sub>	FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 125% RDF (100:50:125 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>4</sub>	FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 75% RDF (60:30:75 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + CBF 5 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 100% RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + CBF 5 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 125% RDF (100:50:125 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + CBF 5 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 75 % RDF (60:30:75 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>8</sub>	Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>9</sub>	Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 125 % RDF (100:50:125 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>10</sub>	Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 75 % RDF (60:30:75 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + CBF 5 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + CBF 5 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>12</sub>	Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + 125 % RDF (100:50:125 Kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + CBF 5 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	Control

**Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield parameters of elephant foot yam cv. gajendra**

Treatments	Culm girth (cm)	Corm circumference (cm)	Single Corm weight plant <sup>-1</sup> (Kg)	Yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (Kg)	Yield plot <sup>-1</sup> (Kg)	Total yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	11.13	40.38	29.07	1.36	39.02	24.21
T <sub>2</sub>	13.49	44.72	43.84	2.12	54.81	36.51
T <sub>3</sub>	12.36	42.59	36.26	1.75	46.90	30.20
T <sub>4</sub>	11.54	41.06	30.72	1.45	41.00	25.58
T <sub>5</sub>	13.85	45.42	45.84	2.22	56.78	38.18
T <sub>6</sub>	12.74	43.29	38.40	1.85	48.86	31.98
T <sub>7</sub>	11.96	41.78	32.86	1.56	42.96	27.37
T <sub>8</sub>	14.23	46.14	47.23	2.32	58.76	39.75
T <sub>9</sub>	13.11	44.00	40.08	1.95	50.84	33.38
T <sub>10</sub>	12.00	41.89	34.56	1.65	44.93	28.78
T <sub>11</sub>	14.60	46.86	48.21	2.42	60.76	40.15
T <sub>12</sub>	13.46	44.68	41.83	2.03	52.83	34.84
T <sub>13</sub>	9.47	37.52	25.63	1.27	37.06	21.34
S.Ed	0.17	0.34	1.17	0.03	0.98	-
CD (p=0.05)	0.34	0.68	2.35	0.07	1.95	-

**Table 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality parameters of elephant foot yam cv. gajendra**


Treatments	Moisture content (%)	Starch content (%)	Crude protein (%)	Calcium oxalate (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Total sugar content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	β carotene (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	68.36	13.82	5.42	10.10	1.80	0.158
T <sub>2</sub>	76.34	17.46	5.93	11.68	2.44	0.188
T <sub>3</sub>	72.89	15.83	5.72	10.82	2.16	0.175
T <sub>4</sub>	69.50	14.35	5.55	10.31	1.90	0.162
T <sub>5</sub>	77.45	17.98	6.00	11.73	2.45	0.189
T <sub>6</sub>	74.00	16.36	5.78	11.05	2.26	0.179
T <sub>7</sub>	70.62	14.88	5.62	10.54	1.99	0.166
T <sub>8</sub>	78.55	17.50	6.05	11.96	2.53	0.192
T <sub>9</sub>	75.17	16.89	5.85	11.08	2.27	0.180
T <sub>10</sub>	71.73	15.31	5.64	10.60	2.00	0.170
T <sub>11</sub>	79.64	18.02	6.11	12.18	2.62	0.196
T <sub>12</sub>	76.28	17.42	5.92	11.43	2.35	0.184
T <sub>13</sub>	64.59	11.36	5.22	9.32	1.38	0.131
S.Ed	0.54	0.24	0.03	0.10	0.04	0.002
CD (p=0.05)	1.08	0.48	0.05	0.19	0.07	0.003

### 3.2 Quality Parameters Affected by Integrated Nutrient Management Practices

#### 3.2.1 Moisture, starch, total sugar content, crude protein calcium oxalate and beta carotene content

The data on quality attributes such as Moisture content (%), Starch content (%), Crude protein(%), Calcium oxalate (mg g<sup>-1</sup>), Total sugar content (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and β carotene (μg g<sup>-1</sup>) were

significantly affected by the application of various inorganic and organic inputs. From the results of the present study shows that maximum values for quality parameters were recorded in T<sub>11</sub> [Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) + CBF 5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>], followed by T<sub>8</sub> [Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>)] and the least values were recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (control) (Table 3). These results were also reported by Jayathilake [15] and Shinde et al. [16] in onion.



**Fig. 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield parameters of elephant foot yam cv. gajendra**

The increased moisture content in corm might be as a result of maintenance of soil physical structure and thus resulted in better moisture retention in the underground storage organ which may have resulted in increased aeration, porosity and water holding capacity of soils.

The increase appears to be related to greater potash levels, which aided in creation and transfer of starch from the leaves to tubers [17] and might be due to its capacity in increasing parenchymatous cells which contains starch grains [9]. Whereas, the trend with respect to the protein content indicated that N application either in the form of inorganic fertilizers or organic manure plays a key role in enhancing the protein content of corms because nitrogen is a major constituent of protein.

Increased N resulted in higher amounts of crude protein in cassava tubers were reported by [18] which is similar with the findings of the above results. The protein content has significantly reduced in treatment receiving low levels of nitrogen, particularly in control. These results were similar with [9] where maximum starch and protein contents of the corms were observed at higher N and K doses in *Amorphophallus*. The integrated use of organic manures (vermicompost, FYM) favourably affected the crude protein content. The highest crude protein content might be due to the result of increased phosphorus supply in balanced proportion [19].

Higher nitrogen content through inorganic fertilizers resulted in the accumulation of more oxalates and the biochemical studies conducted in the present investigation clearly revealed the above fact. It can also be adjudged that this trait is more genotype specific and is least affected by growing environment Sengupta et al. [13].

The increased level of beta carotene due to combined use of organic manures might have resulted in enhancement in quality parameters of elephant foot yam. Nitrogen is most indispensable of all mineral nutrients for growth and development of plant. [10,7] also supported the findings regarding beta carotene and calcium oxalate.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the present investigation, it can be concluded that the application of 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of vermicompost combined with 100% RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of CBF (T<sub>11</sub>) can be considered as best inorganic and organic combination to obtain maximum yield and quality characters whereas T<sub>13</sub> (control) obtain minimum yield and quality of corms.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my special thanks to my fellow co members Mr. Arun Palanimuthu and

Miss. Shabitha who gave support and knowledge in completing this study. The study was carried out with own money and interest. Secondly I would also like to thank my parents and friends who helped me a lot in finalizing this project within the limited time frame. There is no role of sponsorship or funding agency took place while the study was carried out.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Suiyama N, Santosa E. Edible *Amorphophallus* in Indonesia – Potential crops in agro forestry. Gadjah Mada University Press. 2008;125.
2. Rajyalakshmi P, Vankatalaxmi K, Venkatalakshamma K, Jyothsna Y, Devi KB, Suneetha V. Total carotenoid and beta-carotene contents of forest green leafy vegetable consumed by tribals of South India. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition. 2001;56:225-238.
3. Kolambe, BN, Patel KG, Kaswala AR, Patel VS, Desai KD. Organic management affects growth, yield and soil properties in elephant foot yam. Journal of Root Crops. 2010;39(1):62-66.
4. Pusphadas MV, Aiyer RS. Nutritional studies on cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz.). Journal of root crops. 1976; 2(2):42-71.
5. Navya K, Desai KD, Tandel YN, Sheth SG. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of elephant foot yam. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017;5(4):1766-1769.
6. Suja G, Sundaresan S. Organic elephant foot yam production: A feasible strategy for high yield and income. National seminar on *Amorphophallus*: Innovative technologies. 2008;19-20.
7. Patel NB, Saravaiya SN, Patel BN and Desai KD. Studies on integrated nutrient management in elephant foot yam. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2010;5(1):32-33.
8. Tiwari KN. Nutrient management of sustainable agriculture. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2002; 5(4):374-397.
9. Murthy GN, Padma E, Bhagavan BVK, Naga Lakshmi R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of (*Amorphophallus paeonifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra. National Seminar, Climate change and food security: Challenges and opportunities for tuber crops. 2011;20-22.
10. Mukhopadhyay SK, Sen H. Effect of nitrogen and potassium on yield and quality of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus campanulatus* Blume.). Journal of Root crops. 1986;12(2):103-106.
11. Saraswathi T, Nageswari K, Pugalendhi L and Sathiyamoorthy VA. Integrated nutrient management in elephant foot yam. National Seminar On *Amorphophallus*: Innovative Technologies.2008;19-20.
12. Saravaiya SN, Chaudhary PP, Patel DA, Patel B, Ahir MP and Patel VI. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield parameters of elephant foot yam under south Gujarat condition. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2010;5(1):58-60.
13. Sengupta S, Nath S, Singh BN and Ray RN. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and productivity of *Amorphophallus* cv. Gajendra at Ranchi condition in Jharkhand. National Seminar on *Amorphophallus*: Innovative Technologies. 2008;19-20.
14. Amanullahkhan MD. Influence of fertilizer levels, intercropping and organic manures on the growth and yield of cassava. Ph.D Thesis, TNAU; 1997.
15. Jayathilake. Integrated nutrient management of rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L.). M.Sc (Hort.) thesis, Acharaya NG. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad.
16. Shinde PH, Nalik RL, Nazikar RB, Kadam SK, Khaire VM. Evaluation of vermicompost. Proceedings of national seminar on organic farming. 1992.
17. Ashokan PK, Sreedharan C. Influences of levels and time of application of potash on growth, yield and quality of tapioca. Journal of Root Crops. 1977; 3(2):1-4.
18. Pushpalatha M, Vaidya PH, Badsul P. Effect of graded levels of nitrogen and potassium on yield and quality of sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2017;6:1689-1696.

19. Prema L, Thomas EJ, Aiyer RS. The use of sensory methods of analysis by a taste panel in differentiating the quality of cassava tubers under different manorial treatments. Agricultural research journal of Kerala. 1975;13(2):141-145.

© 2023 Gokul et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107310>*