



# Effect of Different Drying Media on Different Cut Flowers

**Ananya Sha<sup>a++\*</sup>, Samir Ebson Topno<sup>a#</sup>  
and Anita Kerketta<sup>a†</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102751

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105177>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 18/06/2023**  
**Accepted: 23/08/2023**  
**Published: 24/08/2023**

## ABSTRACT

The standardization of different drying techniques for various cut flowers is crucial for value addition in the floriculture industry. Drying flowers enhances their longevity, making them available year-round and reducing wastage. By preserving their vibrant colours, shapes, and textures, dried flowers offer novel and aesthetically appealing options for floral arrangements and decorations. Therefore, present investigation was carried out to determine the effect of different drying media on the quality of flowers. Under this experiment, overall, 11 treatments comprising of different drying media were applied for different cut flowers. The present investigation found that treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) and T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) responded better among different drying method and T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) also showed better colour, shape and texture of cut flowers employed in drying.

**Keywords:** Drying; borax; silica gel; perlite.

<sup>++</sup> M.Sc. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>†</sup> Assistant professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [ananyasha1998@gmail.com](mailto:ananyasha1998@gmail.com);

## 1. INTRODUCTION

More than three fourth of the export basket of Indian floricultural products comprises of dry flowers and different handmade items made from botanical specimens, presented in a dried and coloured form. With the increasing awareness for natural eco-friendly products, dried flowers have attained prime importance in the floriculture industry. It constitutes nearly 15% of the global floriculture business and considering the present COVID-19-related pandemic situation, dry flower industry is going to become more relevant. At present, the industry relies substantially on gathering of flowers from the wild and drying those using conventional methods. However, some fresh flowers are also converted into dry flowers for better returns, including chrysanthemums, dahlias, marigolds, jute flowers, wood roses, lotus pods, and lilies among others. Over 70 lakh people, mostly in rural areas, earn their livelihood from the production of handicrafts and related activities through low capital investment. Dry flowers and plant materials have tremendous potential as substitutes for fresh flowers and foliage for interior decoration as well as for a variety of other aesthetic and commercial uses. Different drying techniques can be adopted, but the main aim should be the production of quality materials in respect of shape, colour, texture, and freshness [1]. The demand for dry flowers is increasing at an impressive rate of 8-10 percent annually, thus offering a lot of opportunities for the Indian entrepreneurs to enter in the global floricultural trade [2]. Several flowers such as anemone, zinnia, allium, sweet william, carnation, stock, freesia, narcissus, chrysanthemums, pansies, daffodils, marigolds, roses, and lilies respond well to drying techniques. For drying fresh flowers, different methods of drying have been followed like air drying, embedded drying, press drying, oven drying etc. In this exercise, microwave techniques have been followed at different timings and analysed for moisture loss (%) and colour retention of flowers after drying. Drying leads to reduced microbial activity and aging effects. Due to the absence of moisture, these dried flowers can be stored in moisture-free atmosphere for longer periods without losing their appearance and decorative value, thus, they become free from bondage of seasons. Dry flowers are a good standby for florists, since designs can be made up during slack periods and arrangements can be displayed where fresh flowers are unsuitable from the grower's point of

view and the price is less than for equivalent fresh flowers. Drying of flowers and foliage by various methods like air drying, sun drying, oven and microwave oven drying, freeze-drying and embedded drying can be used for making decorative floral craft items i.e., cards, floral designs, wall hangings, landscapes, calendars, potpourris etc. for various purposes with potpourris being the major segment of dry flower industry valuing Rs. 55 crores in India alone [3]. To preserve China aster through drying, it is essential to choose the appropriate drying method and conditions to ensure the best results in terms of the flower's appearance and longevity. The duration and specific techniques for drying China aster may vary based on factors such as the type of drying method used and the intended purpose of the dried flowers [4]. The standardization of different drying techniques for various cut flowers is crucial for value addition in the floriculture industry. Drying flowers enhances their longevity, making them available year-round and reducing wastage. By preserving their vibrant colours, shapes, and textures, dried flowers offer novel and aesthetically appealing options for floral arrangements and decorations. Moreover, these dried flowers can be used in craftwork, potpourri, and scented sachets, expanding their commercial applications. Standardization ensures consistent quality and optimizes drying durations, resulting in cost-effective production and increased market demand. Overall, the adoption of standardized drying techniques in the floral sector promotes sustainability, creativity, and economic growth through value-added products and prolonged utilization of cut flowers. This study aimed to 'Standardization of different drying techniques of different cut flowers for value addition'.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled was done to understand the effect of different drying techniques for cut flowers that can be used for value addition. The details of the materials used, and the procedures adopted in the investigation, which was carried out at Departmental Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj during *Winter Season 2022*. The materials were collected in the month of February to March as it is the peak time to collect all the desired flowers. For this research each of 100 flowers were collected from

the departmental research field. Under this experiment, overall, 11 treatments comprising of different drying techniques. Treatments comprised of T<sub>0</sub> (air dry), T<sub>1</sub> (Newspaper (Press Drying)), T<sub>2</sub> (Butter paper (Press Drying)), T<sub>3</sub> (Newspaper + Butter paper (Press Drying)), T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)), T<sub>5</sub> (Borax (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)), T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)), T<sub>7</sub> (Perlite (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)), T<sub>8</sub> (Sand + Borax (1:1) (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)), T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + Borax + Silica gel (1:1:1) (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)) and T<sub>10</sub> (Sand + Borax + Silica gel + Perlite (1:1:1:1) (Microwave Drying @ 280°C)). The analysis was done using the formula of Fisher and Yates [5]. The quality score was done using point scale given by Rangana, 1986 Using sensory evaluation that is also known as hedonic scale by a panel of ten semi-trained judges by scoring on a five-point scale i.e., excellent, very good, good, bad, and very bad with a weightage of 3.5- 4.0, 2.5-3.4, 1.5-2.4, 0.5-1.4 and 0.0-0.4 respectively was used for colour evaluation based on appearance.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Physical Quality of Flower

##### 3.1.1 Fresh weight of flower (g)

Among the various treatments, the maximum fresh weight of China aster flower was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) having a weight 2.07 g followed T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 1.97 g. While minimum fresh weight of China aster flower was observed for T<sub>7</sub> (Perlite (microwave @ 280°C) with 1.25 g. Among the various treatments, maximum fresh weight of Rose flower was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) having weight 2.16 g followed T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 2.06 g. While minimum fresh weight of Rose flower was observed for T<sub>8</sub> (Sand + Borax (microwave @ 280°C) with 1.35 g. Among the various treatments, maximum fresh weight of Gerbera flower was found in T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) having weight 4.17 g followed T<sub>7</sub> (Perlite (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.17 g. While minimum fresh weight of Gerbera flower was observed for T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.46 g. Similar findings were reported by Meman *et al.*, [6]; Arunkumar and Mangaiyarkarasi [7]; Chandana *et al.*, [8]; Jadhav and Joshi [9]; Rashmi *et al.*, [4] and Rubina *et al.*, [10] for drying of flowers for value addition.

##### 3.1.2 Dry weight of the flower

Among the various treatments, maximum dry weight of China aster flower was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) having weight 0.70 g followed T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.68 g. While minimum dry weight of China aster flower was observed for T<sub>8</sub> (Sand + Borax (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.33 g. Among the various treatments, maximum dry weight of Rose flower was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) having weight 0.80 g followed T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.77 g. While minimum dry weight of Rose flower was observed for T<sub>7</sub> (Perlite (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.42 g. Among the various treatments, maximum dry weight of Gerbera flower was found in T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) having weight 1.92 g followed T<sub>7</sub> (Perlite (microwave @ 280°C) with 1.89 g. While minimum dry weight of Gerbera flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air Dry) with 1.60 g. The superior dry weight of cut flowers when microwave-dried using a treatment comprising sand alone, compared to other treatments involving sand, perlite, borax, silica gel alone, or in various combinations, can be attributed to the distinct characteristics of sand as a drying medium. Sand exhibits excellent moisture-absorbing properties, promoting efficient moisture removal from the cut flowers during the microwave drying process. This efficient water evaporation prevents over-drying and minimizes the loss of essential nutrients and flower weight. Conversely, other mediums like perlite, borax, and silica gel may not provide the same level of moisture control or may not be as suitable for preserving the flower's integrity during drying. The combination of sand with other materials might also lead to suboptimal drying conditions, affecting the final dry weight of the cut flowers. Similar findings were reported by Meman *et al.*, [6]; Chandana *et al.*, [8]; Jadhav and Joshi [9]; Rashmi *et al.*, [4] and Rubina *et al.*, [10] for drying of flowers for value addition.

##### 3.1.3 Moisture loss (%)

Among the various treatments, maximum moisture loss in China aster flower was found in T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) having loss 75.97% followed T<sub>2</sub> (Butter paper) with 74.74 %. While minimum moisture loss of China aster flower was observed for T<sub>5</sub> (Borax (microwave @ 280°C) with 59.59 %. Among the various treatments, maximum moisture loss in Rose flower was found in T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) having loss 76.06 %

followed  $T_2$  (Butter paper) with 74.83 %. While minimum moisture loss in Rose flower was observed for  $T_5$  (Borax (microwave @ 280°C) with 59.88 %. Among the various treatments, maximum moisture loss in Gerbera flower was found in  $T_0$  (Air dry) having loss 85.48 % followed  $T_1$  (Newspaper) with 84.22 %. While minimum moisture loss in Gerbera flower was observed for  $T_5$  (Borax (microwave @ 280°C) with 69.30 %. The enhanced moisture loss in cut flowers when air-dried among treatments involving sand, perlite, borax, silica gel alone, or in combination can be attributed to the excellent moisture-wicking properties of sand and its ability to facilitate efficient evaporation. Air drying relies on natural air circulation, and sand, as a drying medium, aids in drawing moisture away from the cut flowers effectively. It provides a porous and absorbent surface that allows water to evaporate steadily, preventing water accumulation that could lead to decay or loss of flower quality. On the other hand, perlite, borax, and silica gel may not possess the same moisture-absorbing characteristics as sand, resulting in slower or less effective moisture loss. Moreover, when used in combination with other materials, the drying process may become less efficient, leading to suboptimal moisture removal in comparison to air drying with sand alone. Similar findings were reported by Arunkumar and Mangaiyarkarasi [7]; Chandana et al., [8]; Rashmi et al., [4] and Rubina et al., [10] for drying of flowers for value addition.

### 3.1.4 Time taken for drying (hours)

Among the various treatments, maximum time taken for drying of China aster flower was found in  $T_0$  (Air drying) with duration 13.40 hours. followed  $T_2$  (Butter paper) with 12.52 hours. While minimum time taken for drying of China aster flower was observed for  $T_4$  (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.06 hours. Among the various treatments, maximum time taken for drying of Rose flower was found in  $T_0$  (Air drying) with duration 14.15 hours. followed  $T_2$  (Butter paper) with 12.94 hours. While minimum time taken for drying of Rose flower was observed for  $T_4$  (Sand (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.12 hours. Among the various treatments, maximum time taken for drying of Gerbera flower was found in  $T_0$  (Air drying) with duration 13.43 hours. followed  $T_2$  (Butter paper) with 10.34 hours. While minimum time taken for drying of Gerbera flower was observed for  $T_7$  (Perlite (microwave @ 280°C) with 0.31 hours. The reduced drying time of cut flowers when microwave-dried using

a treatment involving sand alone, compared to other treatments such as sand, perlite, borax, silica gel alone, or in combination, as well as air drying, butter paper drying, and newspaper drying, can be attributed to the efficient heating mechanism of the microwave. Microwaves provide a rapid and uniform heat transfer, accelerating the moisture evaporation process from the flowers. The sand acts as an effective drying medium, facilitating even heat distribution and enhancing moisture absorption, leading to faster drying. In contrast, other drying methods may lack the quick and consistent heating capability of microwaves, making them comparatively slower. Additionally, some materials may not possess the same moisture-wicking properties as sand, further contributing to longer drying times. Similar findings were reported by Meman et al., [6]; Chandana et al., [8]; Jadhav and Joshi [9]; Rashmi et al., [4] and Rubina et al., [10] for drying of flowers for value addition.

## 3.2 Quality Parameters

### 3.2.1 Colour score

Among the various treatments, maximum colour score of China aster flower was found in  $T_6$  (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.39 score followed  $T_9$  (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.30 score. While minimum colour score of China aster flower was observed for  $T_0$  (Air dry) with 1.85 score. Among the various treatments, maximum colour score of Rose flower was found in  $T_6$  (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.47 score followed  $T_9$  (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.39 score. While minimum colour score of Rose flower was observed for  $T_0$  (Air dry) with 1.93 score. Among the various treatments, maximum colour score of Gerbera flower was found in  $T_6$  (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.66 score followed  $T_9$  (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.55 score. While minimum colour score of Gerbera flower was observed for  $T_0$  (Air dry) with 2.01 score. The improved colour score of cut flowers when microwave-dried using a treatment involving silica gel alone, compared to other treatments such as sand, perlite, borax, silica gel alone, or in combination, as well as air drying, butter paper drying, and newspaper drying, can be attributed to the unique drying properties of silica gel. Silica gel is a desiccant with high moisture-absorbing capabilities, facilitating a gentle and controlled drying process that helps

**Table 1. Effect of different drying methods on physical and quality parameters of China Aster**

Treatment Combination		Fresh Weight of flower (g)	Dry weight of flower (g)	Moisture loss (%)	Time taken for drying (hours)	Colour score	Shape score	Texture score
T <sub>0</sub>	Air dry	1.44	0.39	75.97	13.40	1.85	1.14	1.81
T <sub>1</sub>	News paper	1.84	0.50	70.03	10.60	2.38	1.27	1.95
T <sub>2</sub>	Butter paper	1.65	0.63	74.74	12.52	1.99	1.46	2.12
T <sub>3</sub>	Newspaper+ butter paper	1.54	0.48	70.98	11.16	2.25	1.64	2.33
T <sub>4</sub>	Sand (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	2.07	0.70	65.87	0.06	4.10	2.53	3.22
T <sub>5</sub>	Borax (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.33	0.42	59.79	0.12	4.04	1.85	2.53
T <sub>6</sub>	Silica Gel (microwave @280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.97	0.68	62.08	0.09	4.39	3.60	4.27
T <sub>7</sub>	Perlite (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.69	0.33	65.47	0.17	4.03	3.18	3.85
T <sub>8</sub>	Sand+ Borax (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.25	0.47	69.26	0.28	4.17	2.57	3.24
T <sub>9</sub>	Sand+ Borax+ Silica gel (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.27	0.55	66.72	0.13	4.30	3.40	4.19
T <sub>10</sub>	Sand+ Borax+ Silica gel+ Perlite (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.43	0.56	69.83	0.42	3.80	2.93	3.60
<b>F-Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>SE(d)±</b>		<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.10</b>
<b>CV.</b>		<b>12.42</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>2.99</b>	<b>10.50</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>7.50</b>	<b>5.79</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>		<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>3.48</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.30</b>

**Table 2. Effect of different drying methods on physical and quality parameters of Rose**

Treatment Combination		Fresh Weight of flower (g)	Dry weight of flower (g)	Moisture loss (%)	Time taken for drying (hours)	Colour score	Shape score	Texture score
T <sub>0</sub>	Air dry	1.53	0.48	76.06	14.15	1.93	1.23	1.89
T <sub>1</sub>	News paper	1.93	0.58	70.11	12.03	2.46	1.36	2.04
T <sub>2</sub>	Butter paper	1.75	0.72	74.83	12.94	2.08	1.55	2.21
T <sub>3</sub>	Newspaper+ butter paper	1.63	0.56	71.07	11.55	2.33	1.72	2.41
T <sub>4</sub>	Sand (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	2.16	0.80	65.96	0.12	4.19	2.62	3.31
T <sub>5</sub>	Borax (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.42	0.51	59.88	0.21	4.14	1.94	2.62
T <sub>6</sub>	Silica Gel (microwave @280 <sup>0</sup> C)	2.06	0.77	62.05	0.15	4.47	3.68	4.35
T <sub>7</sub>	Perlite (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.79	0.42	65.56	0.26	4.11	3.27	3.93
T <sub>8</sub>	Sand+ Borax (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.35	0.57	69.35	0.31	4.26	2.65	3.32
T <sub>9</sub>	Sand+ Borax+ Silica gel (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.36	0.64	66.80	0.22	4.39	3.49	4.28
T <sub>10</sub>	Sand+ Borax+ Silica gel+ Perlite (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	1.52	0.66	69.92	0.45	3.89	3.03	3.69
<b>F-Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>SE(d)±</b>		<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.09</b>
<b>CV.</b>		<b>9.86</b>	<b>10.94</b>	<b>3.06</b>	<b>17.45</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.03</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>		<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.37</b>

**Table 3. Effect of different drying methods on physical and quality parameters of Gerbera**

Treatment Combination		Fresh Weight of flower (g)	Dry weight of flower (g)	Moisture loss (%)	Time taken for drying (hours)	Colour score	Shape score	Texture score
T <sub>0</sub>	Air dry	3.63	1.60	85.48	13.43	2.01	1.30	1.97
T <sub>1</sub>	News paper	4.03	1.70	84.22	9.39	2.52	1.44	2.13
T <sub>2</sub>	Butter paper	3.85	1.85	79.51	10.34	2.16	1.63	2.28
T <sub>3</sub>	Newspaper+ butter paper	3.74	1.69	80.49	9.26	2.41	1.80	2.49
T <sub>4</sub>	Sand (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	3.46	1.77	76.22	0.37	4.26	2.70	3.39
T <sub>5</sub>	Borax (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	3.52	1.64	69.30	0.40	4.20	2.01	2.68
T <sub>6</sub>	Silica Gel (microwave @280 <sup>0</sup> C)	3.88	1.62	74.98	0.43	4.55	3.76	4.47
T <sub>7</sub>	Perlite (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	4.17	1.89	71.64	0.31	4.21	3.37	4.01
T <sub>8</sub>	Sand+ Borax (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	3.47	1.71	78.77	0.51	4.34	2.73	3.44
T <sub>9</sub>	Sand+ Borax+ Silica gel (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	4.27	1.92	75.38	0.34	4.46	3.56	4.36
T <sub>10</sub>	Sand+ Borax+ Silica gel+ Perlite (microwave @ 280 <sup>0</sup> C)	3.62	1.79	79.31	0.74	3.98	3.12	3.76
<b>F-Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>SE(d)±</b>		<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.09</b>
<b>CV.</b>		<b>3.20</b>	<b>5.23</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>16.30</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>3.55</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>		<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.16</b>

preserve the natural colour and pigments of the flowers. Unlike other drying mediums, silica gel minimizes heat exposure and prevents colour degradation that may occur in traditional drying methods. Additionally, the uniform moisture removal provided by silica gel contributes to consistent and vibrant colour retention, making it a preferred option for achieving better colour scores in microwave drying of cut flowers. Similar findings were reported by Arunkumar and Mangaiyarkarasi [7]; Chandana *et al.*, [8]; Jadhav and Joshi [9]; Rashmi *et al.*, [4] and Rubina *et al.*, [10] for drying of flowers for value addition.

### 3.2.2 Shape score

Among the various treatments, maximum shape score of China aster flower was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.60 score followed T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.40 score. While minimum shape score of China aster flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) with 1.14 score. Among the various treatments, maximum shape score of Rose flower was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.68 score followed T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.49 score. While minimum shape score of Rose flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) with 1.23 score. Among the various treatments, maximum shape score of Gerbera flower was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.76 score followed T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 3.56 score. While minimum shape score of Gerbera flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) with 1.30 score. The improved shape score of cut flowers when microwave-dried using a treatment involving silica gel alone, compared to other treatments such as sand, perlite, borax, silica gel alone, or in combination, as well as air drying, butter paper drying, and newspaper drying, can be attributed to the unique drying characteristics of silica gel. Silica gel is a desiccant that absorbs moisture gently and evenly, allowing the flowers to maintain their original shape and form throughout the drying process. Unlike traditional drying methods, microwave drying with silica gel avoids the risk of distortion or deformation that may occur due to uneven moisture loss. This controlled and efficient drying helps preserve the structural integrity of the cut flowers, resulting in better shape scores and aesthetically pleasing dried flowers suitable for various decorative purposes. Similar findings were reported by Arunkumar and Mangaiyarkarasi [7]; Chandana

*et al.*, [8]; Jadhav and Joshi [9]; Rashmi *et al.*, [4] and Rubina *et al.*, [10] for drying of flowers for value addition.

### 3.2.3 Texture score

Among the various treatments, maximum texture score of China aster flower was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.27 score followed T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.1 score. While minimum texture score of China aster flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) with 1.81 score. Among the various treatments, maximum texture score of Rose flower was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.35 score followed T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.28 score. While minimum texture score of Rose flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) with 1.89 score. Among the various treatments, maximum texture score of Gerbera flower was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.47 score followed T<sub>9</sub> (Sand + borax + silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) with 4.36 score. While minimum texture score of Gerbera flower was observed for T<sub>0</sub> (Air dry) with 1.97 score. The superior texture score of cut flowers when subjected to microwave drying with silica gel alone, in comparison to other treatments involving sand, perlite, borax, silica gel alone, or in combination, as well as air drying, butter paper drying, and newspaper drying, can be attributed to the controlled and gentle drying process enabled by silica gel. Acting as a desiccant, silica gel possesses exceptional moisture-absorbing capabilities, ensuring efficient moisture removal without compromising the delicate texture of the flowers. Unlike certain drying methods that may cause uneven moisture loss and roughen the flower surfaces, microwave drying with silica gel guarantees consistent drying, thus preserving the soft and smooth texture of the cut flowers. This results in enhanced overall appearance and quality of the dried flowers, contributing to superior texture scores and making them more appealing for decorative and ornamental purposes. Similar findings were reported by Arunkumar and Mangaiyarkarasi [7]; Chandana *et al.*, [8]; Jadhav and Joshi [9]; Rashmi *et al.*, [4] and Rubina *et al.*, [10] for drying of flowers for value addition [11].

## 4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation, it is concluded that treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Sand (microwave @ 280°C)

and T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) responded better among different drying method and T<sub>6</sub> (Silica gel (microwave @ 280°C) also showed better colour, shape and texture of cut flowers employed in drying.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Acharyya P, Majumder A, Malakar M, Biswas S. Standardization of dehydration techniques of some selected flowers. ISHS Acta Horticulturae 1181: IV International Conference on Landscape and Urban Horticulture; 2017.
2. Singh H P. Floriculture industry in India: the bright future ahead. Indian Horticulture. 2009;3-8.
3. Murugan PA, Thiyagarajan G, Ramesh K. Dry flower technology; 2007. Website: <http://www.techno-preneur.net/information-desk/sciencetech-magazine/2007/dec07/Dry-flower.Pdf>
4. Rashmi RM, Rathod NG, Gupta NS, Uphade CV. Standardization of different drying techniques in aster flower. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;SP-11(1):842-845.
5. Fisher RA, Yates F. Statistical tables for biological, agricultural and medical research. Hafner Publishing Company; 1953.
6. Meman MA, Barad AV, Raval LJ. Effect of drying conditions and embedding materials on post-harvest quantitative parameters in China aster (*Callistephus chinensis*) flowers. Journal of Horticultural Science. 2006;1(1):48-51.
7. Arunkumar P, Mangaiyarkarasi R. Microwave method for drying hibiscus and bougainvillea. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2018;8(7):147-148.
8. Chandana S, VR Malam, Sinchana Jain NR. Effect of different drying methods and desiccants on drying quality of annual chrysanthemum and gerbera. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(12):1984-1988.
9. Jadhav VS, Joshi SN. A study on impact of drying techniques among carnation flower. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021; 10(6):222-224.
10. Rubina M, Topno SE. Standardization of Drying Techniques of Rose, Carnation, Gerbera and Value-Added Products. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(23):1086-1092.
11. Kombo O, Sahare HA. Value addition of loose flowers, JETIR. 2021;8(5):426-430.

© 2023 Sha et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105177>