

# International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 18, Page 2211-2218, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.102690 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Efficacy of Herbicides against Complex Weed Flora and Yield of Summer Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.)

Supriya Muduli a++\*, V. J. Patel a\*, D. D. Chaudhari b† and A. P. Patel a‡

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India.
<sup>b</sup> AICRP-Weed Management, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183512

# **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <a href="https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102690">https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102690</a>

Original Research Article

Received: 28/05/2023 Accepted: 02/08/2023 Published: 14/08/2023

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at Agronomy Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India during summer season of the year 2022 to study the "Efficacy of herbicides against complex weed flora and yield of summer blackgram ( $Vigna\ mungo\ L$ .)". Experiment comprised of ten different weed management treatments, viz. pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha ( $T_1$ ), oxyfluorfen (23.5% EC) 117.5 g/ha ( $T_2$ ), pyroxasulfone (85% w/w WG) 127.5 g/ha ( $T_3$ ), diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% EC)

\*\* M.Sc. (Agri.);

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: agri7supriya8@gmail.com;

<sup>#</sup> Professor and Head;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Agronomist & PI;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Assistant Professor;

(tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha ( $T_4$ ), pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha ( $T_5$ ), PoE application of imazethapyr (35%) + imazamox (35%) WG (pre-mix) 70 g/ha ( $T_6$ ), quizalofop ethyl 7.5% + imazethapyr 15% (w/w EC) (pre mix) 112.5 g/ha ( $T_7$ ), propaquizafop (2.5%) + imazethapyr (3.75%) ME (pre-mix) 125 g/ha ( $T_8$ ), IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS ( $T_9$ ) and weedy check ( $T_{10}$ ) were laid out in randomized block design with four replication. Results revealed that pre-emergence application of pendimethalin + imazethapyr 800 g/ha PE followed by IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS registered lower density and dry weight of weed, higher weed control efficiency, yield attributes and yield of black gram. While maximum net return ( $T_8$ ) 40324/ha) and BC ratio (2.62) were under pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (premix) 800 g/ha ( $T_8$ ) over rest of the treatments.

Keywords: Black gram; herbicides; pendimethalin; imazethapyr; weed control efficiency; economics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Blackgram {Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper} is one of the major pulse crops of India and is cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions. It can be grown in all the seasons of the year as seed crop and also as fodder crop. Blackgram improves the soil health and maintains its environment. It is third most important pulse crop grown under rain fed, rice fallow, irrigated conditions and during kharif, rabi and summer seasons and India is major producer and consumer of black gram [1]. In India, it is mainly grown in the states of Maharashtra, Odisha, Rajasthan, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat and Uttar Pradesh. About 70 per cent of the world's black gram production comes from India. It produces about 24.5 lakh tonnes of Urad annually from about 4.6 million hectares of area, with an average productivity of 533 kg/ha in 2020-21 [2]. In Gujarat, it is more or less grown in almost all districts and its cultivation is mainly centered in Sabarkantha, Panchmahal, Dahod, Vadodara, Mehsana and Bharuch. It is also cultivated some extent Raikot, Surendranagar and Junagadh districts. In blackgram severe weed-crop competition occurs between 15 to 45 days after sowing due to less of competitiveness this crop against complex weed flora during early stage of crop. Bhowmick et al. [3] observed that season long weed competition causes yield reduction to the extent of 27-84% depending on the kind and intensity of weed species in black gram. Weeds can be checked by adopting various methods like eco-physical, biological, chemical and recently through combining direct and indirect approach i.e. integrated weed management. Increasing in labour cost and constraints in availability on time, manual weed control is less economical practice for most of the agricultural crops [4], which make us to explore the possibility of herbicidal weed control in black gram. Continuous rainfall during

season makes the manual weeding the impracticable. On the other hand, continuous herbicides causes environmental use of and pollution weeds also develop may resistance to the chemicals. Increasing crop density seems to be an alternative to shift crop-weed competition in favour of crop 7]. Therefore, the present study was conducted to study the efficacy of herbicides against complex weed flora and yield of summer black gram.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was undertaken at Agronomy Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India during summer season of the year 2022. The experimental field had an even topography with a gentle slope having good drainage and loamy sand in texture having pH 7.93, organic carbon 0.48%, and 30.56, 270 kg/ha available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with ten weed management practices and four replications. In the trial, ten weed management practices viz., pre-emergence (PE) application of pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha  $(T_1),$ oxyfluorfen (23.5% EC) 117.5 g/ha  $(\mathsf{T}_2),$ pyroxasulfone (85% w/w WG) 127.5 g/ha (T<sub>3</sub>), diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% (tank 21.0+750 mix) g/ha pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha (T<sub>5</sub>), PoE application of imazethapyr (35%) + imazamox (35%) WG (premix) 70 g/ha  $(T_6)$ , quizalofop ethyl 7.5% + imazethapyr 15% (w/w EC) (pre mix) 112.5 g/ha (T<sub>7</sub>), propaquizafop (2.5%) + imazethapyr (3.75%) ME (pre-mix) 125 g/ha (T<sub>8</sub>), IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS  $(T_9)$  and weedy check  $(T_{10})$ . Blackgram cultivar 'GAU 4 (Shayamal)' was sown on 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2022 at a spacing of 30 cm and was harvested on 3<sup>rd</sup> June, 2022. A

common fertilizer dose of 20: 40: 0 (N: P: K kg/ha) was applied. Urea and single super phosphate were used as the source of nitrogen and phosphorus, respectively. Full dose of N and P was applied as basal. Pre-emergence herbicides were applied within 48 hours of sowing and post-emergence herbicides were applied at 22 DAS. Required quantities of herbicides were applied as per treatment with manually operated knapsack sprayer fitted with flat-fan nozzle using a spray volume of 500 L water/ha. The counting of species wise weeds was done randomly by quadrate of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> from each plot. The observations were recorded at 30, 60 days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest. The weed uprooted randomly at one place by quadrate of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> with the help of khurpi in each plot. These were oven dried and their weight was recorded in gram. The weed index (WI) was calculated by using the formula given by Kumar and Gill (1969). Weed control efficiency of various treatments were worked out with the help of formula as prescribed by Mani et al. (1973). The net plot was harvested by sickles and the harvested material kept as such for drying for few days, and then weighed to record biological yield per plot. The produce of each plot was threshed separately to get seed yield and stover yield was calculated by subtracting seed yield from biological yield. For different treatments gross returns were calculated on the basis of prevailing market rate of produce and net profit by subtracted cost of cultivation per hectare from gross income. Benefit: Cost Ratio (BCR) was calculated as gross return / cost of cultivation. Square root (Y = X + 1)transformation scales was used for satisfying the condition of homogeneity of variance; where X is the original value of species wise weed population. Statistical analysis performed as per standard procedure as prescribed by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1 Weed Flora

Throughout the crop growing period, a total of fifteen weed species came to light in the experimental area. Among all the weed species observed in the experiment, Eleusine indica, Diaitaria sanguinalis and Dactvloctenium monocot and aegyptium as Trianthema monogyna, Boerhavia diffusa, Phyllanthus niruri, Tribulus terrestris and Portulaca oleracea as dicot were found dominant.

# 3.2 Weed Density (no./m<sup>2</sup>)

All the weed control treatments significantly reduced the weed density (no./m<sup>2</sup>) at all the stages of crop growth as compared to weedy check. At 30 DAS and 60 DAS, pre emergence application of diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% EC) (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha, recorded least density of total weed which was significantly superior in reducing density of weed than any other treatments tried in the experiment. While at harvest, significantly least of weed was recorded pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha as compared to rest of the treatments. Due to their complementary effects on weed control and multiple modes of action, it might have been proven to be beneficial in reducing weed density. The highest density of weed was associated with weedy check at all the stages of sampling. Similar results were also obtained by Singh et al. [8] in soybean in case of diclosulam + pendimethalin and Shashidhar et al. [9] in case of pendimethalin + imazethapyr.

# 3.3 Weed Dry Weight (g/m²)

Dry weight of weed was significantly reduced due to weed control treatments at 30 and 60 DAS and at harvest. All the weed control treatments observed lower weed dry weight compared with untreated check. At 30 DAS, zero weed dry weight was observed under diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% EC) (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha due to zero density of monocot and dicot weed was reported. Application of diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% EC) (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha reported its supremacy throughout the growing period except at harvest. At 60 DAS, diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% EC) (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha reported significantly lower dry weight but it was at par with pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha and IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS. The better performance of combination of herbicides was due to its synergistic effect in controlling population as well as dry matter accumulation of different weed species as pre-emergence application of pendimethalin was shown to be effective against all species of grasses and its activity prolonged owing to the addition of diclosulam. While at harvest IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS reported significantly lower weed dry weight among all the treatments which might be due to its effectiveness in removing all established weeds as the treatment was imposed

at 20 and 40 DAS. At all the stages of observations, the highest dry weight of weed was observed with weedy check. Similar results were also reported by Chicham et al. [10] in case of weedy check and Tripathy et al. [11] in case of manual weeding.

# 3.4 Weed Control Efficiency (%) and Weed Index (%)

The higher weed control efficiency was recorded under diclosulam + pendimethalin (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha as pre emergence (83.65%). The next effective treatment was pendimethalin + imazethapyr 800 g/ha PE (82.94%) followed by IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS (79.40%). The maximum weed control efficiency these treatments was reflected through to lower dry weight of weed. These results are in tune with the findings of Chaithanya et al. [12] in case of pendimethalin and diclosulam in rabi greengram, Mahajan et al. [13] in case of pendimethalin + imazethapyr and Machhar et al. [14] in case of cultural operation. Weed index is indirectly related to the reduction in yield due to weed population and weed dry weight. The lowest weed index (1.59%) was registered under application of pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha followed by pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha which also recorded lower weed index (10.06%) while the highest weed index (65.18%) was recorded in weedy check.

#### 3.5 Growth Attribute

With respect to growth characteristics such as plant height and plant dry biomass, various weed control techniques were found to have a substantial impact these variables. on Significantly higher values of plant height was observed under IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS which was at par with pre emergence application of pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) (pre-mix) 800 g/ha, weedy check, pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha, pyroxasulfone (85% w/w WG) 127.5 g/ha and oxyfluorfen 117.5 g/ha throughout all EC) observational phases. IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS recorded significantly higher values of plant dry biomass as compared to rest of the treatments except pendimethalin (30%) imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha and pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha. Similar findings were also reported by Nirala et al. [15]. Weedy check recorded significantly the lowest plant dry biomass among all the treatments. The increase in growth parameters was due to the reduction in weed competitiveness with the crop which ultimately favored weed free environment for improved resource utilization and better crop growth and development.

# 3.6 Yield Attributes and Yield

Among different weed management practices, IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS significantly recorded higher values of yield attributes, viz., number of pods/plant (30.52) which was at par with pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha and pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha. Weedy check lagged behind all other treatment by producing significantly the lowest number of pods/plant. Higher yield attributes might be due to weeds were not let to develop throughout the crop's growing period. The crop grew lavishly, as a result, producing additional branches, blossoms and green pods, all of which increased the number of pods/plant. Similar results were also observed by Chaudhry et al. [16], Kumar et al. [17] and Mansoori et al. [18].

Among different weed management practices IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS out performed over other weed management practices by producing significantly higher seed yield (1012 kg/ha) however, it was statistically comparable with pre emergence application of pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha and pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha. The higher seed yield might be due to least competition from weeds for nutrients, light, space and other above-and below-ground resources, which in turn led to effective weed control, reduced crop weed competition and provided almost weedfree environment, caused significant increase in growth and yield characters ultimately led to higher seed yield of blackgram. The results were in agreement with the earlier findings of Elankavi et al. [19], Nautiyal et al. [20] and Sharmitha et al. [21]. Like seed yield, haulm yield (1780 kg/ha) was also significantly increased under IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS over weedy check. However significantly the lowest seed and stover vields were recorded in weedy situations due to excessive weed infestations. These findings agree with those of Tiwari et al. [22] and Harisha et al. [23].

Table 1. Density and dry weight weeds, Weed Control Efficiency (WCE) and weed index of blackgram as influenced by different weed management practices

Treatment	Weed Density (no./m²)			Dry Weight of Weeds (g/m²)			WCE (%) at	Weed Index (%)
	At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS	At harvest	At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS	At harvest	60 DAS	• •
Pendimethalin 750 g/ha PE	5.47 <sup>d</sup> (29)	6.14 <sup>e</sup> (37)	6.18 <sup>d</sup> (38)	4.64 <sup>e</sup> (20.54)	11.47 <sup>d</sup> (131.35)	15.86 <sup>d</sup> (251.46)	69.74	10.06
Oxyfluorfen 117.5 g/ha PE	6.16 <sup>cd</sup> (37)	7.02 <sup>d</sup> (49)	8.97 <sup>bc</sup> (80)	6.19 <sup>c</sup> (37.26)	14.43 <sup>bc</sup> (207.45)	20.82 <sup>b</sup> (433.50)	52.20	23.28
Pyroxasulfone 127.5 g/ha PE	4.33 <sup>e</sup> (18)	7.19 <sup>d</sup> (51)	9.41 <sup>b</sup> (88)	5.29 <sup>d</sup> (27.01)	13.97 <sup>c</sup> (195.12)	20.16 <sup>b</sup> (405.88)	55.04	19.35
Diclosulam + pendimethalin (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha PE	1.00 <sup>9</sup> (0)	4.54 <sup>†</sup> (20)	5.98 <sup>d</sup> (35)	1.00 <sup>g</sup> (0.00)	8.47 <sup>e</sup> (70.94)	15.72 <sup>d</sup> (246.38)	83.65	14.99
Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr 800 g/ha PE	2.43 <sup>†</sup> (5)	5.54 <sup>e</sup> (30)	5.08 <sup>e</sup> (26)	2.19 <sup>†</sup> (3.82)	8.66 <sup>e</sup> (74.04)	15.38 <sup>d</sup> (235.90)	82.94	1.59
lmazethapyr + Imazamox 70 g/ha PoE	7.06 <sup>b</sup> (49)	9.43 <sup>c</sup> (88)	9.74 <sup>b</sup> (94)	6.69 <sup>bc</sup> (43.81)	16.02 <sup>b</sup> (256.57)	20.06 <sup>bc</sup> (403.42)	40.88	29.39
Quizalofop ethyl + imazethapyr 112.5g/ha PoE	6.48 <sup>bc</sup> (41)	10.43 <sup>b</sup> (108)	9.42 <sup>b</sup> (88)	6.77 <sup>b</sup> (44.88)	15.61 <sup>bc</sup> (244.25)	21.53 <sup>b</sup> (462.53)	43.72	31.16
Propaquizafop + Imazethapyr 125 g/ha PoE	5.72 <sup>d</sup> (32)	9.85 <sup>bc</sup> (96)	8.41 <sup>c</sup> (70)	5.38 <sup>d</sup> (27.93)	14.76 <sup>bc</sup> (217.70)	18.32° (335.21)	49.84	26.33
IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS	2.27 <sup>†</sup> (5)	5.64 <sup>e</sup> (31)	6.37 <sup>d</sup> (40)	1.94 <sup>†</sup> (2.80)	9.47 <sup>e</sup> (89.41)	13.68 <sup>e</sup> (186.75)	79.40	-
Weedy check	10.86 <sup>a</sup> (117)	11.61 <sup>a</sup> (134)	10.48 <sup>à</sup> (109)	11.32 <sup>à</sup> (128.05)	20.76 <sup>a</sup> (434.03)	26.54 <sup>a</sup> (708.46)	-	65.18
S. Em.±	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.16	0.53	0.54	-	-
CD ( <i>p</i> =0.05)	0.66	0.70	0.73	0.47	1.54	1.57	-	-
CV%	8.76	6.19	6.31	6.29	7.94	5.74	-	-

Note: Data subjected to √(x+1) transformation. Figures in parentheses are means of original values. Treatment means with the letter/letters in common are not significant by Duncan's New multiple range test at 5% level of significance. PE- Pre Emergence, PoE-Post Emergence, DAS-Days After Sowing, IC- Inter Culture, HW – Hand Weeding

Table 2. growth and yield attributes of blackgram as influenced by different weed management practices

Treatment	Pla	nt Height (	cm)	Plant Dry	Seed Index (g)	
	At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS	At Harvest	Biomass (g/plant) at 39 DAS	Pods/Plant	(100 seed weight)
Pendimethalin 750 g/ha PE	13.11 <sup>abc</sup>	44.94 <sup>abc</sup>	51.00 <sup>ab</sup>	4.48 <sup>abc</sup>	27.87 <sup>ab</sup>	4.16
Oxyfluorfen 117.5 g/ha PE	12.83 <sup>abc</sup>	45.50 <sup>abc</sup>	46.98 <sup>abc</sup>	4.10 <sup>cd</sup>	23.48 <sup>cde</sup>	4.15
Pyroxasulfone 127.5 g/ha PE	13.07 <sup>abc</sup>	45.11 <sup>abc</sup>	46.48 <sup>abc</sup>	4.32 <sup>bcd</sup>	24.52 <sup>cd</sup>	4.16
Diclosulam + pendimethalin (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha PE	11.64 <sup>c</sup>	41.67 <sup>c</sup>	43.50 <sup>c</sup>	3.90 <sup>d</sup>	25.57 <sup>bc</sup>	4.14
Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr 800 g/ha PE	13.80 <sup>ab</sup>	48.92 <sup>ab</sup>	51.24 <sup>ab</sup>	4.60 <sup>ab</sup>	29.56 <sup>a</sup>	4.18
Imazethapyr + Imazamox 70 g/ha PoE	12.22 <sup>bc</sup>	45.33 <sup>abc</sup>	46.81 <sup>abc</sup>	4.25 <sup>bcd</sup>	21.77 <sup>de</sup>	4.13
Quizalofop ethyl + imazethapyr 112.5 g/ha PoE	12.04 <sup>c</sup>	43.23 <sup>c</sup>	45.05 <sup>bc</sup>	3.98 <sup>d</sup>	21.00 <sup>e</sup>	4.12
Propaquizafop + Imazethapyr 125 g/ha PoE	12.55 <sup>bc</sup>	44.54 <sup>bc</sup>	48.83 <sup>abc</sup>	4.23 <sup>bcd</sup>	22.53 <sup>de</sup>	4.16
IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS	14.20 <sup>a</sup>	50.09 <sup>a</sup>	53.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.81 <sup>a</sup>	30.52 <sup>a</sup>	4.20
Weedy check	13.75 <sup>ab</sup>	48.69 <sup>ab</sup>	49.09 <sup>abc</sup>	2.13 <sup>e</sup>	15.10 <sup>†</sup>	4.11
S. Em.±	0.48	1.57	1.96	0.15	0.95	0.07
CD ( <i>p</i> =0.05)	1.40	4.54	5.68	0.44	2.75	NS
CV%	7.45	8.52	8.12	8.41	7.84	3.21

Note: Treatment means with the letter/letters in common are not significant by Duncan's New multiple range test at 5% level of significance. PE- pre emergence, PoE-Post emergence, DAS-Days after sowing, IC- inter culture, HW – hand weeding

Table 3. Yield, Protein Content of Seed and Economics of Blackgram as Influenced by Different Weed Management Practices

Treatment	Seed Yield (kg/ha)	Haulm Yield (kg/ha)	Protein Content of Seed (%)	Gross Realization (□/ha)	Net Realization (□/ha)	B:C ratio
Pendimethalin 750 g/ha PE	910 <sup>ab</sup>	1668 <sup>a</sup>	24.69	59756	35532	2.47
Oxyfluorfen 117.5 g/ha PE	776 <sup>cd</sup>	1414 <sup>b</sup>	24.45	50940	27092	2.14
Pyroxasulfone 127.5 g/ha PE	816 <sup>bcd</sup>	1573 <sup>ab</sup>	24.53	53738	24094	1.81
Diclosulam + pendimethalin (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha PE	860 <sup>bc</sup>	1654 <sup>a</sup>	24.61	56628	30526	2.17
Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr 800 g/ha PE	996 <sup>a</sup>	1755 <sup>a</sup>	24.76	65262	40324	2.62
Imazethapyr + Imazamox 70 g/ha PoE	714 <sup>d</sup>	1388 <sup>b</sup>	24.26	47044	21875	1.87
Quizalofop ethyl + imazethapyr 112.5 g/ha PoE	696 <sup>d</sup>	1331 <sup>b</sup>	23.36	45814	20019	1.78
Propaquizafop + Imazethapyr 125 g/ha PoE	745 <sup>cd</sup>	1405 <sup>b</sup>	24.44	49000	23434	1.92
IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS	1012 <sup>a</sup>	1780 <sup>a</sup>	24.86	66304	36715	2.24

Treatment	Seed Yield (kg/ha)	Haulm Yield (kg/ha)	Protein Content of Seed (%)	Gross Realization (□/ha)	Net Realization (□/ha)	B:C ratio
Weedy check	352 <sup>e</sup>	832 <sup>c</sup>	23.90	23488	1649	1.08
S. Em.±	39.84	78.64	0.36	-	-	-
CD ( <i>p</i> =0.05)	115.61	228.20	NS	-	-	-
CV%	10.12	10.63	2.96	-	-	-

Note: Treatment means with the letter/letters in common are not significant by Duncan's New multiple range test at 5% level of significance. PE- pre emergence, PoE-Post emergence, DAS-Days after sowing, IC- inter culture, HW – hand weeding

All the weed management practices did not differ significantly among themselves with respect to seed protein content. Although the result was non-significant but numerically higher protein content of seeds was recorded under IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS which was closely followed by pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha while the lowest value was observed in weedy check. The results of Singh et al. (2018) [24] and these findings closely align.

# 3.7 Economics

Different weed control techniques resulted in varied gross returns (□/ha), with higher gross returns (□ 66304/ha) being reported under IC fb HW at 20 and 40 DAS followed by pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha, pendimethalin (30% EC) 750 g/ha and diclosulam (84% WDG) + pendimethalin (30% EC) (tank mix) 21.0+750 g/ha. While preemergence application of pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha fetched higher net return of □ 40324/ha and benefit cost ratio of 2.62. The outcomes are consistent with those of Gupta et al. (2017) [25]. Weedy check reported minimum net return ( 1649/ha) and benefit to cost ratio (1.08) among all the treatment.

# 4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of results obtained from the present investigation, it can be concluded that effective weed management, higher yield and monetary return of summer black gram could be achieved by either carrying out twice inter culturing and hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS or pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (30%) + imazethapyr (2% SL) EC (pre-mix) 800 g/ha.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Raju M. Study on constraints and adoption seed production black gram technologies by farmers of Cauvery delta zone of Tamil Nadu. Journal Pharmacognosy Phytochemistry. and 2019:8:1031-1035.
- 2. Anonymous; 2020. Available:www.agricoop.nic.in
- 3. Bhowmick MK, Duary B, Biswas PK. Integrated weed management in blackgram. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2015;47(1): 34-37.
- Kumar N, Hazra KK, Nadarajan N. Efficacy of post- emergence application of imazethapyr in summer mungbean (*Vigna* radiata L.). Legume Research. 2016;39(1): 96-100.
- 5. Priyadarshini S, Singh R, Indu T, Jakhad A. Effect of nitrogen and weed management practices on growth and yield of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* Pending L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(5):431-8.
- Rajkhowa D, Mahanta K, Kumar M, Das A, Sharma A, Rangappa K, Saikia US. Effect of herbicides on weed dynamics, soil fertility, energetics and productivity of upland rice in an acidic subtropical soil of the Eastern Himalayas, India. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2023; 69(13):2552-68
- 7. Singh M, Singh RP. Influence of crop establishment methods and weed management practices on yield and economics of direct-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010; 55(3):224-9.
- 8. Singh SP, Singh VP, Nainwal RC, Tripathi N, Kumar A. Efficacy of diclosulam on weeds and yield of soybean. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2009;41:170-173
- Shashidhar KS, Jeberson S, Premaradhya, M, Singh N, Bhuvaneswari S. Weed management effect in blackgram under

- acidic soils of Manipur. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2020;52 (2):147-152.
- Chicham S, Bhadauria SS, Sakya N, Gaur D, Dangi RS, Mahor S, Kirar NS, Rawat GS, Sharma J, Roi A. Effect of chemical weed management practices on black gram under sandy clay loam soils of Madhya Pradesh, India. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(3): 1923-1928.
- Tripathy S, Mohapatra S, Tripathy SK, Mohanty AK. Sole and sequential application of herbicides for economical weed management in blackgram. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2022;54(1):66-70
- Chaithanya Y, Padmaja B, Reddy MM, Srijaya T. Weed control, nutrient studies and greengram performance with new molecules of pre and post emergence herbicides. Biological Forum-An International Journal. 2022;14(2a):329-332.
- Mahajan A, Kumar A, Puniya R, Stanzen,
   L. Pre-and post-emergence herbicides effect on weed dynamics, microbial population and yield of summer blackgram. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2020; 52(4):340-345.
- Machhar RG, Hajari RV, Mahida AK, Hadiya GD, Adsul HR. Pre and post emergence herbicides for weed control in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(3): 1535-1538.
- 15. Nirala H, Choubey NK. Bhoi Performance of post-emergence herbicides and hand weedings with respect to their effects on weed dynamics and yields of blackgram (Vigna mungo L.). International Journal of Agricultural Statistic Science. 2012;8(2):679-689.
- Chaudhary S, Verma VK, Singh V, Pyare R, Singh AK. Studies on efficiency of herbicides against weeds of black gram (*Vigna mungo*). Advance Journal of Crop Improvement. 2014;5:40-43.

- Kumar D, Qureshi A, Nath P. Refining the weed management practices to increase the yield of urd bean (*Vigna mungo* L.) in north-western India. International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture. 2015;1 (7):123-129.
- Mansoori N, Bhadauria N, Rajput RL. Effect of weed control practices on weeds and yield of black gram (*Vigna mungo*). Legume Research. 2015;38(6):855-857.
- Elankavi S, Ramesh S, Baradhan G, Sureshkumar SM. Effect of new generation herbicides on weed parameters of blackgram. Plant Archives. 2019;19(1): 421-424.
- 20. Nautiyal D, Rawat A, Manisha. Response of different weed management strategies on growth & yield of black gram at Dehradun, India. Plant Archives. 2022; 22(1):115-118.
- Sharmitha K, Arivukkarasu K, Sundari A, Muthukumaran N. Efficiacy of herbicides on weed dynamics, growth and yield attributes of irrigated blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) Hepper. Indian J. Applied & Pure Bio. 2023;38(1):6-30.
- 22. Tiwari VK, Yadav RS, Mahajan R, Namdev B, Kumar S. Effect of weed management practices on yield attribution of urdbean under late sown. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1):742-746.
- 23. Harisha S, Seenappa C, Lalitha BS, Raddy G, Pandu U. Bio efficacy of new post emergent herbicides on growth and yield of black gram. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2021;53 (1):107-110.
- 24. Singh M, Shekhar KS, Datta D. Herbicide combinations for weed management in urdbean (*Vigna mungo*) under Tarai condition of Uttarakhand. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(4): 1594-1597.
- 25. Gupta V, Sasode DS, Kansana BS, Arora A, Dixit JP, Joshi E. Weed management with pre-and post-emergence herbicides in blackgram. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2017;49(3):256-259.

© 2023 Muduli et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102690