Assessment of Major Sheep Forage Types, Feeding System, and Nutritional Quality Assessment, Arsi Zone, Oromia Region, Ethiopia

Abaynesh Jarso [a*], Gebeyehu Goshu [b] and Etalem Tesfaye [c]

[a] Arsi University, College of Agriculture and Environmental Science, Asela, Ethiopia.
[b] Addis Ababa University, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Bishoftu, Ethiopia.
[c] Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, Bishoftu, Ethiopia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author AJ designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors GG and ET managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to assess the major sheep feed types, feeding system and its nutritional quality evaluation in Arsi Zone, Oromia Region, Ethiopia. A total of 100 households (hh) were taken from each district. For laboratory work, feed samples were collected from ten randomly selected farmers from each peasant association and nutrition composition like Dry matte, crude protein, Ash, Neutral detergent fiber, Acid detergent fiber, Acid detergent lignin, *In vitro* dry matter digestibility, Digestibility of organic dry mater were tested and Metabolizable Energy was calculated. The field survey data were analyzed using SPSS and SAS software used for nutritional composition test.

_____

The Pearson Chi-Square and Tukey HSD test was used to determine mean differences at ($p < .05$). The results of the field survey revealed that, the major feed resources available in both districts comprised of natural pasture (82%) followed by crop residue (25%) and Free grazing is the common feeding system in both districts. The overall mean of, Dry matter, Ash, crude protein, Neutral detergent fiber, Acid detergent fiber, Acid detergent lignin, *In vitro* dry matter digestibility, Digestibility of organic dry mater and Metabolizable Energy content of natural grasses were 89.5%, 5.9%, 68.5%, 68.5%, 37.1%, 4.9%, 55.5%, 43.8% and 7.4 KJ/kgDM, respectively. The corresponding values for barley straw were also 90.9%, 5.4%, 5.4%, 70.7%, 54.8%, 9.6% and 8.2 KJ/kgDM for Dry matter, Ash, Crude protein, Neutral detergent fiber, Acid detergent fiber, Acid detergent lignin and Metabolizable energy respectively. Significant ($p < .05$).) difference was seen on Ash and Digestibility of organic dry mater content in natural pasture between two districts and there was no significant difference on other composition It was concluded that, natural pasture and barley straw are the main sheep feed in area. Further studies are needed to see other feed resource and test nutritional composition.

1. INTRODUCTION

"The domestic sheep (*Ovis aries*) is a very widespread ruminant species, a *Pleistocene* mammal, raised primarily for its meat, milk, wool, and hides" [1].

Ethiopia has the largest livestock population in Africa with estimated number of 64 million cattle, 40 million sheep, 51 million goats, 8 million camels and 49 million chickens" [2]. A study of [3] indicated that "the livestock sector in Ethiopia contributes about 47% to the Agricultural Gross Domestic Product (AGDP), including monetary values and the non-marketed services (traction and manure)". "At the household (hh) level, livestock plays a significant role as sources of food and family income for smallholder farmers and pastoralists. Small ruminants are among the major economically important livestock species in Ethiopia playing an important role in the livelihood of resource-poor farmers. They are the integral part of livestock keeping in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) that are mainly kept for immediate cash sources, milk, meat, wool, manure and saving or risk distribution. Among the small ruminant, sheep are the second most important species of livestock in Ethiopia that are dominantly found in the crop-livestock production system and contribute up to 63% of the net cash income derived from the livestock production" [4-9].

"Different sheep production systems are performed worldwide according to availability of grass lands, pastures and other feed resources, production specialization of the farm (milk, meat, wool or combination of these yields), consumer demands and financial conditions of the sheep farmers" [10].

"Mixed crop livestock production practice is a common production system of Ethiopia in different agro ecological conditions which depends on indigenous breeds of small ruminants with low levels of production and productivity. Feed is among the major factor that determines the production potential of animals. In mixed farming system, use of crop residues is mainly important for livestock feeding and soil mulching. In the Ethiopian highlands where crop-livestock systems are prevalent, the contribution of straw to the total dry matter (DM) fed to livestock ranges from 10% to70%" [4].

"Small ruminant feed resources in Ethiopia are mainly grazing on communal natural pasture, crop stubble, fallow grazing, road side grazing, crop residues, browses, and non-conventional feeds (hh food leftovers, weeds, crop tillers and fillers), improved forages and crop residues. The contribution and quality of these feed resources is however, depends up on agro-ecology, types of crop produced, accessibility and production system. Crop residue supply roughly 50-80% of the total resources of ruminant livestock feed in the mixed cereal-dominated crop and livestock production system that varies with agro ecology, crop variety and production potential. Barley is a major food crop in the highland areas of Ethiopia with associated production of 1 metric ton of the grain is accompanied by 1.2 metric tons of straw. Ruminant animals have the ability to utilize barley straw since the ruminal microbes have the ability to ferment the cell walls" [11].

Arsi zone is known by having a huge sheep population of 1.66 million heads Asmare *et al.,* (2010), and main feed source of the natural pasture and crop residue of barley straw. However, the nutritional composition of available feeds in the study district has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the major sheep feed types, feeding system and evaluation of nutritional quality of the common feed assessed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts Fig.1. Tiyo is a district in central Ethiopia, located in Arsi Zone of Oromia Region at about 175 kilometers south east of Addis Ababa. Asella is the town found at 6°59' to 8°49' N latitude and 38°41' to 40°44' E longitude at altitude ranges of 2500 to 3000 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l). It has a subtropical highland climate of the warmest month of the year in April with an average temperature of 16.6°C and the coldest in December (13.5°C). The average temperature of Digelunatijo is 15.1°C and is a district in Sagure town of Arsi Zone.

2.2 Survey on Sheep Feed and Feeding System in the Study Area

The two districts, Tiyo and Digelunatijo, were purposively selected from Arsi Zone based on sheep population potential. Three Peasant Associations (PAs) (Dosha, Burka Chilalo and Bilalo) from Tiyo; and Saguremole, Sagure 01 and AshebekaWalkite from Digelunatijo districts were randomly selected. A total of 100 hhs respondents were selected from each district. The sample sizes were determined by using the formula recommended by [12]) for formal survey studies as follows:

$$N = 0.25/SE^2 = 100$$

Where, N=sample size; SE= standard error, assuming the standard error of 5% at a precision level of 0.05 and the confidence interval of 95%.

The assessments were conducted using structured questionnaire. The questionnaires were pretested before the actual data collection started. The data collected were includes the socio-economic characters of the hh, the common sheep feed types and the feeding system were assessed.

2.3 Laboratory Analysis of Sampled Feed

The major feed resources of farmers' high priority regarding their relative abundance and importance as sheep feed based on information obtained during the interview were sampled for quality test. Representative samples of natural pasture and barley straw were collected in October 2022 from both districts. To determine the nutritional quality (chemical composition) of common feeds in the study areas, samples of natural pasture and barley straw were collected from 60 randomly selected farmers (10 from each PA), combined, dried properly under shade, packed individually and sent to Holeta Agricultural Research Center's laboratory to test dry mater (DM), crude protein (CP), total ash, neutral detergent fibers (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL), *in vitro* DM digestibility (IVDMD), Digestible Organic Matter in Dry Matter (DOMDM) and metabolizable energy (ME) of natural pasture and barley straw were tested.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data analyses were carried out using SPSS software for the survey work. Descriptive statistics of mean and percentage were used to present the results. Chemical compositions data for both feed types were subjected to analysis of variance using a General Linear Model procedure of statistical analysis system (SAS) version 9.1 (SAS, 2002). The Pearson's chi squire and Tukey was used to determine mean differences at P<0.05. Agro ecology was considered as predictor variables and natural pasture and barley straw nutritive values and DM yield were considered as response variables.

The statistical model used in the survey part can be expressed as:

$$Y_i = X_i\beta + \varepsilon_i$$

Where, Y_i is the decision vector, X_i is a vector of explanatory variables derived from hh surveys at β of corresponding regression coefficient and ε_i is the error term.

The statistical model used for nutritional quality was:

$$Y_{ij}k = \mu + e_{ij}k,$$

Where Y is the response variable, μ is the overall mean, $e_{ij}k$ is the random residual error assumed to be normally and independently distributed.

Fig. 1. Map of the study area
(Source: BFED, 2008)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Common Sheep Breed, Purpose of Keeping Sheep and Sheep Holding Characteristic in Sampled Households in the Study Districts

According to the respondents, sheep were kept for different purpose Table 1. All the respondents 100% in Digelunatijo and majority 67% in Tiyo used sheep as source of cash income, while the remaining 33% in Tiyo rear to slaughter during different festivals and holydays. There is a significance ($P<$ .05), difference between the study districts on purpose of sheep keeping. On the other hand, large number 61% in Tiyo and 64% in Digelunatijo of the hh interviewed have 11-12 sheep in number and 20% in Tiyo and 31% in Digelunatijo have <10 sheep. The remaining 19% in Tiyo and 5% in Digelunatijo have >20 head of sheep. Significance ($P<$ .05) differences were seen on average number of sheep per hh between the study districts. As it is indicated in Table 1, all hhs interviewed were rear Arsi Bale Sheep breed.

Large number 61% in Tiyo and 64% in Digelunatijo of the hh interviewed have 11-12 sheep in number and 20% in Tiyo and 31% in Digelunatijo have <10 sheep. The remaining 9% in Tiyo and 5% in Digelunatijo have >20 head of sheep. In the present findings, majority of the hhs' interviewed used sheep as source of cash income while a few of them rear to slaughter

during festivals and holydays. This finding is in agreement with different reports [13] and [14] that showed 78.9% of the respondents keep small ruminants for home consumption while 80% and 93% of the hhs responded sheep for income generation that conducted in Meta-Robi and Ilu-Abba-Bora areas, Ethiopia, respectively. Additionally, in agreement with the current study [15] reported farmers that rear sheep mainly for income source 66.4% and both meat and cash 30.4% and for home consumption only 3.2% that was in western Harerghe.

3.2 Feed Resources and Sheep Feeding System

The major feed sources for sheep in the two districts are summarized below in Fig. 2.Natural pasture, crop residue (mainly barley straw), crop aftermath and others are the major feed sources in both the study areas. Among these feed resources, natural pasture contributes the largest proportion 53% in Tiyo and 55% in Digelunatijo which is followed by crop residue 30% and 33% in Tiyo and digelunatijo, respectively. Number of hhs use both natural pasture and crop residues are 16% in Tiyo and 10% in Digelunatijo, respectively. Only very few of hhs 1% in Tiyo and 2% use other feed resource like Atella and food left over. No farmers under interview prepared hay for their sheep and also there was no improved forage introduced in both the study sites.

Table 1. Mean sheep holding and purpose of keeping sheep in sampled households of the districts

Parameters	Districts				X^2	Sig.
	Tiyo	Digelunatijo	Over all			
Sheep breed	N	N	N	%		
Arsi Bale	100	100	200	100		
Other	0	0	0	0		
Total	100	100	200	100		
Number of sheep per hh	N	N	N		10.60	0.01
<10	20	31	51	25.50		
11-20	61	64	125	62.50		
>20	19	5	24	12		
Total	100	100	200	100		
Purpose of keeping	N	N	N		39.50	0.00
Use as sources of cash income	67	100	167 (%)	83.50		
To be slaughtered	33	0	33 (%)	16.50		
Sign of wealth	0	0	0			
Total	100	100	200 (%)	100		

*Hh= households; N= sample size, Sig.= significance level*

Fig. 2. The major feed resources for sheep in the study area NP= Natural Pasture, CR= Crop residue

In the present study, shortage of feed is the major problem raised by all the respondents in both districts. All respondents in both districts mention as they face scarcity of feed during the dry season. This result is similar with the reports of different researchers [5]; [16]; [17]; and [18]) which were done in other parts of the country. Many authors described the seasonal feed shortages, both in quality and quantity, and the associated reduction in livestock productivity in different areas [19]; [20]; and [21].

Natural pasture and barley straw were the main sheep feed sources in the study districts. Results of [13] also indicate that, the major feed resources in West Shoa of Meta-Robi district were natural pasture grazing 58.9%, crop residues of wheat straw, barley straw, Atella and crop aftermath. Similarly, ([22];[23]; and [24] explain the feed resources in most highlands of Ethiopia was comprised of all these. The results of [25] also indicated that natural pasture, crop residue, crop aftermath, hay, *atella* (by product from traditional brew) and concentrates (soybean meal and molasses) are the major feed sources in selected district of Tigray region. Significant number of hhs 75% in Tiyo and 84% in Digelunatijo, use free grazing system and 23% and 9% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo respectively use semi grazing and indoor feeding system and the remaining 2% in Tiyo and 7% in Digelunatijo use indoor feeding system.

3.3 Common Sheep production and Farming Systems in the Study Districts

Sheep production system is mostly 68%in Tiyo and 82% in Digelunatijo is the traditional production system (free grazing only) which is followed by partially modern (free grazing plus concentrate supplementation) 29% and 22% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo, respectively). Number of farmers follow modern (install feeding only) sheep production system in the area is very few 3% in Tiyo and 7% in Digelunatijo. There is no significance ($P > .05$), between the two districts on sheep farming system (Table 2).

Mixed crop-livestock production system is the dominant farming system in the study areas. Significant numbers 98.5% of the interviewed hhs were follow mixed crop-livestock production systems and only very few of them 1.5% were follow crop production only. This result was in agreement with the work of [25], who reported that mixed crop-livestock production system is the dominant farming system in the different selected zone of Tigray region. On the other hand, [26] reported in the highland part of Ethiopia where the most dominant production systems were crop production only. Traditional sheep production system is the dominant production system in both districts of the present study. According to [27] in central highland of Ethiopia, sheep depend mostly on grazing of fallow land, over grazed natural pasture and crop residue with no extra supplements and receive minimum health care which go hand in hand with this study.

Large number 75% in Tiyo and 84% in Digelunatijo use free grazing system followed by semi grazing 23% and 9% and indoor feeding 2% and 7% system in Tiyo and digelunatijo respectively. Similarly, reports of [13] which was done in West Shoa Zone showed that majority of the hhs let their animal to free grazing. [6] also

49

reported that the feeding system practiced in Ilu-Aba-Bora Zone was predominantly free-grazing system. In Jeldu district, about 94.5%, 4.4% and 1.1% of the respondents practiced let to graze, cut and carry and tethering, respectively [28].

Significant number of hhs75% in Tiyo and 84% in Digelunatijo, respectively use free grazing system and the remaining of them were use semi grazing and indoor feeding system (Fig.3).

3.4 Nutritional Quality of Natural Pasture and Barley Straw

Beside feed evaluation in terms of biomass yield, determination of the potential of forages is important in estimating its nutritive values. Chemical composition is one of the approaches used by researchers to determine nutritive values of feeds. Table 3 shows nutrient content of natural pasture in Tiyo and Digelunatijo district.

3.4.1 Chemical composition and nutritive values of natural pasture

Natural pasture was reported as the most important source of feed in the study area and results were presented in Table 3. The present results revealed that the mean DM content of the natural pasture was 86.9% in Tiyo and 90.2% in Digelunatijo districts and revealed a non-significant differences ($P > .05$), The ash content were also 6.1% and 4.6%, respectively for Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts and showed a significant differences ($P < .05$). The CP contents were 21.0% and 14.3% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts, respectively; and the mean NDF contents were 67.4% and 69.7% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo, respectively with no ($P > .05$). differences between the districts. The ADF content of the natural pasture was 37.8% and 36.5% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts, respectively and were not showed a difference ($P < .05$)between the districts. The ADL content of the natural pasture in Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts were 4.4% and 5.2%, respectively and was not different ($P > .05$).

The ME content of feed is 7.3 and 7.5 (kJ/kg DM) in Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts, respectively revealed a non-significant ($P > .05$), differences between the districts. The two districts were significantly different ($P < .05$).in terms of IVOMD with composition of 45.9% in Tiyo and 41.6% in Digelunatijo districts. The mean IVDMD of the natural pasture was 55% in Tijo and 56.6% in Digelunatijo districts (Table 3).

Table 2. Sheep production and farming systems in the study area

|	Tiyo	Digelunatijo	Total		X²	Sig.
Production system	**N**	**N**	**N**	**%**	**2.90**	**0.22**
Traditional	68	72	140	70		
Partially modern	29	21	50	25		
Modern	3	7	10	5		
Total	100	100	200	100	3.00	0.25
Farming system	N	N	N	Total		
Mixed farming system	98	99	197	98.50		
Crop production only	2	1	3	1.50		
Total	100	100	200	100		

N= sample size, Sig.: significance

Fig. 3. Common sheep feeding system in the study areas

Table 3. Chemical composition of natural pasture and barley straw in the two districts

District	Chemical composition of natural pasture								
	DM%	Ash%	CP%	NDF%	ADF%	ADL%	IVDMD%	IVOMD%	ME (KJ/kg DM
Tiyo	88.90	6.10[b]	21[a]	67.40	37.80	5.20	56.60	45.90[b]	7.30
Digelu/Tijo	90.20	4.60[a]	14.30[b]	69.70	36.50	4.80	55.00	41.60[a]	7.50
Average	89.50	5.90	68.50	68.50	37.10	4.90	55.50	43.50	7.40
CV (%)	4.60	10.90	7	4.40	2.40	2.40	4	1.30	12.30
District	Chemical composition of barley straw								
	DM%		Ash%	CP%	NDF%		ADF%	ADL%	ME (KJ/kg DM
Tiyo	90.90		5.40	6.50[a]	71.00		50.30[a]	10.00	8.60
Digelunatijo	90.90		5.80	4.20[b]	70.30		57.80[b]	9.10	7.90
Average	90.90		5.40	5.36	70.70		54.80	9.60	8.20
CV (%)	2.60		15.70	7.90	4.30		6.90	17.60	9.90

Means with different letters in the same column are significantly P<0.05) different: DM: dry matter; CP: crude protein; NDF; neutral detergent fiber: ADF: acid detergent fiber; ADL: acid detergent lignin; IVDMD: In vitro dry matter digestibility; IVOMD: In vitro organic matter digestibility; ME: metabolizable energy, CV: coefficient of variation;

Natural pasture was reported as the most important source of feed in the study area. The results revealed that the mean DM content of the natural pasture was 86.9% in Tiyo and 90.2% in Digelunatijo. This result is in agreement with[29] who reported DM content of natural pasture ranging from 92.9 to 94.1% in northern Gondar Zone also reported natural pasture DM content ranging from 91 to 92.4% in the central Rift Valley of Ethiopia and in Haru district, Ethiopia respectively. However, the DM content of natural pasture of the present is higher than the result of [30] and [31] who reported DM values ranging from 35.17% to 44.97% in Chire district, southern Ethiopia and Essera District Southern Ethiopia, respectively.

The ash content of natural pasture in the present study districts showed a significant (P<0.05) differences. These results are not in agreement with that of [32] and who reported the ash content of natural pasture was ranged from 12.5%–16.7%. The CP content of native grasses in the present study is higher than the minimum level of 7% for animals in the tropics [33]. This result is contrary to finding of [30],who report natural grass CP contents of 10 to 9.62% in Gasera and Ginnir, respectively, and [31] and [34] who reported natural grass CP content of 3.67–4.25 % and 8.38 % in Essera District Southern Ethiopia and southern Ethiopia, respectively. According to [33], feed with CP level less than 7% inhibit voluntary feed intake and declines the activity of microbial action, resulting in lower digestibility of roughages.

The mean NDF contents of natural grass were 67.4% and 69.7% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo, respectively with no ($P> .05$) differences between the districts. The NDF content reported in both districts of this study were more than 65% and can be categorized as medium in quality [35]. Besides, the ADF content of the natural pasture was 37.8% in Tiyo and 36.5% in Digelunatijo districts, respectively and it revealed a non-significant ($P> .05$) differences between the districts. The ADL content of the natural pasture in the study districts were 4.4% and 5.2%, respectively for Tiyo and Digelunatijo. Lignin is completely indigestible and forms lignin-cellulose/hemicelluloses complexes (due to physical encrustation of the plant fiber and making it unavailable to microbial enzymes. However, the lignin contents of feeds in this study were lower than the maximum level of 7% that limits the DM intake and performances of animals.

3.4.2 Chemical composition and nutritive values of barley straw

Barley straws were the main crop residue used in both district in study area. The chemical composition of barley straw is presented in Table3 above. The dry matter (DM) content of barley straw in the districts Tiyo and Digelunatijo was not different ($P> .05$) and 90.9%. The Ash content of barley straw was 5.4% in Tiyo and 5.4% in Digelunatijo and did not showed ($P> .05$) differences between the districts. Similarly, the

NDF contents of 71% and 70.3%, respectively for the districts Tiyo and Digelunatijo also showed no differences ($P> .05$). However, the CP content of barley straw was 6.5% and 4.2%, respectively for Tiyo and Digelunatijo districts and were showed a significant ($P< .05$), differences in between. In the current study, barley straw had ADF contents of 50.3% in Tiyo and 57.8 in Digelunatijo districts and there was a significance ($P< .05$), differences in ADF contents of crop residues between the districts. The crop residues in the study areas had lignin contents of 10% and 9.1% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo, respectively and there is no significance ($P< .05$), difference on lignin content of barley straw between the two districts. The ME content of barley straw is 8.6 kJ/kg DM in Tiyo and 7.9 kJ/kg DM in Digelunatijo districts with no differences ($P> .05$).

The DM content of barley straw was 90.9% in Tiyo and 90.9% in Digelunatijo districts and showed no significant ($P>0.05$) differences between the districts. The DM content of barley straw in the current study is lower than that of the national average value of 91.9% that reported by [24]. However, the current report was in agreement with that of [36] and [37] who reported the DM content of crop residues higher than 90% and 93.6% respectively. The NDF content of barley straw was 71% in Tiyo and 70.3% in Digelunatijo districts and showed no difference ($P> .05$).

The NDF content of roughage feeds with less than 45% is categorized as a high quality feed and 45–65% as medium quality feed [35]. Based on these categories, the NDF content of barley straw in this study was high. NDF content of feeds above 55% was reported to limit the DM intake of ruminants [33]. Similar with the current result, Solomon *et al.* (2010),reported for a study in Sinana sub-district of Bale highland that all the crop residues had higher (70%) NDF content. The CP content of barley straw in the present study districts showed significance ($P<0.05$) difference and was higher than the findings of [38], who reported 2.63% CP in barley straw. However, it was similar with the findings of [36], who reported 4.86% CP of barley straw. The value of CP content of barley straw found in the present study is below the minimum level required for normal rumen microbial physiology [33]. In the current study, barley straw had the highest ADF contents of 50.3% in Tiyo and 57.8in Digelunatijo Districts.

The crop residues in the study areas had lignin contents 10% and 9.1% in Tiyo and Digelunatijo, respectively. Which were almost equivalent to 10.4% [39] in East Gojjam. However, the lignin content of barley in the current study was greater than the lignin content of the barley straw in the Tanqua-Abergelle region, which ranged from 5.6 to 6.6% [40] in North west of Ethiopia. According to [41], lignin is the single most important factor in limiting feed intake, the rate of organic matter fermentation, the number of microbial cells produced per unit of fermented organic matter, and the proportion of propionate to acetate in the products of fermentation. The energy content of barley straw was 8.6 MJ/kg DM in Tiyo and 7.9 MJ/kgDM in Digelunatijo with no significance difference ($P>0.05$) between the districts. The energy contents of barley straw in this study were within the range reported by[8] in West Shewa zone of Ethiopia.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The results indicated that the major feed resources in the study areas were natural pasture, crop residues and crop aftermath. Most of hhs interviewed were use free grazing which is followed by semi-grazing feeding system. Number of hhs that practice indoor feeding system were very few. Natural pasture in the study areas were composed of a huge DM yield and the CP content of natural pasture was found to be above the critical level (7% CP) that required for maintenance, optimum rumen function and feed intake. The structural constituents (NDF, ADF and ADL) were optimum and natural pasture in both districts was categorized as medium in quality. The barley straw was also found to have low contents of CP which is an important nutrition for animals. It also has significant quantities of NDF which might influence the feed intake and animal performances. So, improving qualities of barley straw in order to maximize feed intake is important. Studies should be conducted on identification and contribution of important natural grass and other feed for sheep feeding during different seasons in the area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Murariu OC, Murariu F, Frunză G, Ciobanu MM, Boișteanu PC. Fatty acid indices and the nutritional properties of karakul sheep meat. Nutrients. 2023;15(4):1061.
2. Central Statistical Agency (CSA). Agricultural sampling survey. Rep. Livest. Livest. Charact. 2020;II:1–215.
3. Kadi A. Seroprevalence of Brucella infection in sheep and goat in two Woreda," Arsi Zo. Oromia, Ethiop. Int. J. Vet. Sci. Res. 2022;8:113–117.
4. Admasu Y. Assessment of Livestock Feed Resources Utilization in Alaba district, southern Ethiopia [MSc thesis] Haramaya University. Ethiopia: Haramaya; 2008.
5. Asmare B, Melaku S, Peters KJ. Supplementation of Farta sheep fed hay with graded levels of concentrate mix consisting of noug seed meal and rice bran. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2010;42(7):1345-52.
6. Ayalew T, Duguma B, Tolemariam T. Smallholder cattle production systems in three Districts of Ilu Aba Bora zone of Oromia Regional State, south western Ethiopia. Am J Sci Res. 2013;8(1):38-46.
7. Bayissa T, Dugumaa B, Desalegn K. Chemical composition of major livestock feed resources in the medium and low agroecological zones in the mixed farming system of Haru District, Ethiopia. Heliyon. 2022;8(2):e09012.
8. Bediye S, Feyissa F. The status of animal feeds and nutrition in the West Shewa Zone of Oromiya, Ethiopia," Indig. TREE SHRUB SPECIES Environ. Prot. Agric. Product. 2006;27.
9. Belay D, Getachew E, Azage T, Hegde BH. Farmers' perceived livestock production constraints in Ginchi watershed area: result of participatory rural appraisal. Int J Livest Prod. 2013;4(8):128-34.
10. Hossain MA, Rahman MM, Hashem MA. Carcass and meat quality attributes of native sheep in Bangladesh: a review. Meat Res. 2023;3(2).
11. Amare S. Qualitative and Quantitative Aspects of Animal Feed in Different Agro-Ecological and Agriculture: Livestock in the Balance. FAO, Rome. 2006;14.
12. Ashra S, Chakravarty M. Input subsidies to agriculture: case of subsidies to fertiliser industry across countries. Vision. 2007; 11(3):35-58.
13. Yadessa E. Assessment of feed resources and determination of mineral status of livestock feed in Meta Robi District, West Shewa Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. Ambo University; 2015.
14. Dhaba U, Belay D, Solomon D, Taye T. Sheep and goat production systems in Ilu Abba Bora Zone of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia: feeding and management strategies. Glob Vet. 2012;9(4):421-9.
15. Desalew T. Assessment of feed resources and rangeland condition in Metema district of north Gondar zone, Ethiopia. Haramaya University; 2008.
16. Adugna A. Assessment of yield stability in sorghum. Afr Crop Sci J. 2007;15(2).
17. Fetsum S et al. 'Gender based participatory rural appraisal of farming systems in Wombera, Bullen and Guba Woredas,' *Gend. Differ. Plan.* Agric Res. 2009:161-87.
18. Firew T, Getnet A. Feed Resources Assessment in Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia," Ethiop. Sanit. Phyto-sanitary Stand. Livest. Meat Mark. Progr. (SPS-LMM), Addis Ababa, Ethiop. 2010;104.
19. Tsedeke K. Production and marketing of sheep and goats in Alaba, SNNPR. Awassa: Hawassa University*, Ethiop.*; 2007.
20. Getahun K, Kelay B, Bekana M, Lobago F. Bovine mastitis and antibiotic resistance patterns in Selalle smallholder dairy farms, central Ethiopia. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2008;40(4):261-8.
21. Yeshitila A. Assessment of livestock feed resources utilization in Alaba woreda, southern Ethiopia [MSc thesis]. Ethiopia: Haramaya University; 2008.
22. Tesfaye K, Tesfaye L, Hunduma D, Mieso G, Amsalu S. Growth performance and carcass characteristics of Arsi-Bale goats castrated at different ages. J Cell Anim Biol. 2008;2(11):187-94.
23. Alemayehu M. Country pasture/Forage resources profiles: Ethiopia," Food Agric. Organ. United Nations (FAO). Web site http//www. fao. org/ag/agp/agpc/doc/counprof/ethiopia/ethiopia. htm (Accessed January 30, 2008); 2003.
24. Tolera A. Feed resources for producing export quality meat and livestock in

Ethiopia examples from selected Woredas in Oromia and SNNP Regional States; 2007.

25. Welday K, Urge M, Abegaz S. Sheep production systems and breeding practices for selected zones of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. Open J Anim Sci. 2019;09(1): 135-50.

26. Leta S, Mesele F. Spatial analysis of cattle and shoat population in Ethiopia: growth trend, distribution and market access. Springerplus. 2014;3(1):310.

27. Gobena MM. Sheep Production System , Marketing and Constraints in Ethiopia. 2017;7(19):34–42.

28. Eba B. Study of smallholder farms livestock feed sourcing and feeding strategies and their implication on livestock water productivity in mixed crop-livestock systems in the highlands of the Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. Haramaya University; 2012.

29. Sisay A. Qualitative and Quantitative Aspects of Animal Feed in Different Agro ecological Areas of North Gonder [MSc thesis]. Dire Dawa: Alemaya University; 2006.

30. Gashu G, Tegene N, Aster A. Assessment of availability and nutritive values of feed resources and their contribution to livestock nutrient requirements in Chiffre district, southern Ethiopia. Agric Res Technol Open Access J. 2017;7(4):1-5.

31. Tonamo A, Tamir B, Goshu G. Assessment of cattle feed resources; chemical composition and digestibility of major feeds in Essera district, southern Ethiopia. Sci Technol Arts Res J. 2015;4(2):88-9.

32. Keba HT, Madakadze IC, Angassa A, Hassen A. Nutritive value of grasses in semi-arid rangelands of Ethiopia: local experience based herbage preference evaluation versus laboratory analysis. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci. 2013; 26(3):366-77.

33. Van Soest PJ, Mason VC. The influence of the Maillard reaction upon the nutritive value of fibrous feeds. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 1991;32(1-3):45-53.

34. Gemiyo D, Hassen A, Kocho T, Birhanu T, Bassa Z, Jimma A. Chemical composition and digestibility of major feed resources in mixed farming system of southern Ethiopia. World Appl Sci J. 2013;26(2): 267-75.

35. Singh GP, Oosting SJ. A model for describing the energy value of straws. Indian Dairym. 1992.

36. Bayissa T, Dugumaa B, Desalegn K. Chemical composition of major livestock feed resources in the medium and low agroecological zones in the mixed farming system of Haru District, Ethiopia. Heliyon. 2022;8(2):e09012.

37. Zewdie W. Livestock production systems in relation with feed availability in the highlands and central rift valley of Ethiopia. An MSc thesis Present. to Haramaya Univ. 2010;160.

38. Dirsha DW, Ashenafi MW, Gebeyehu GN. Feed resources assessment, laboratory evaluation of chemical composition of feeds and livestock feed balance in enset (Ensete ventricosum)-based mixed production systems of Gurage zone, southern Ethiopia. Int J Livest Prod. 2018;9(6):112-30.

39. Desta AG. Nutritional content analysis of crop residues in three agroecologies in east Gojjam zone. ScientificWorldJournal. 2023;2023:1974081.

40. Selassie YG, Belay Y. Costs of nutrient losses in priceless soils eroded from the highlands of Northwestern Ethiopia. J Agric Sci. 2013;5(7):227.

41. Bewket W, Teferi E. Assessment of soil erosion hazard and prioritization for treatment at the watershed level: case study in the Chemoga watershed, Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. Land Degrad Dev. 2009;20(6):609-22.