International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review



31(9): 1-9, 2022; Article no.IJBCRR.92227 ISSN: 2231-086X, NLM ID: 101654445

Effect of Ripening Stage on Biochemical Composition of Seeds from three Species of *Canavalia* spp Consumed as Protein Substitutes in Côte d'Ivoire

Ahouran Adjo Sylvie Kouakou^a, Hubert Kouassi Konan^{a*}, Mariame Cisse^b, Eugène Jean Parfait Kouadio^a and Patrice Lucien Kouame^a

^a Laboratory of Biochemistry and Food Technology, Nangui Abrogoua University, 02 BP 801, Abidjan 02, Côte d'Ivoire. ^b Laboratory of Biotechnology, Felix Houphouet Boigny University, 22 BP 582, Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author AASK designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors HKK and MC managed the analyses of the study. Author MC managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJBCRR/2022/v31i9776

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92227

> Received 02 August 2022 Accepted 08 October 2022 Published 19 October 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Aims: The present study was aimed at investigating the nutritional properties of legume-seeds from Canavalia genus as a function of their ripening stage.

Methodology: Seeds of three species of *canavalia* seeds notably *Canavalia gladiata*, *Canavalia ensiformis* and *Canavalia rosea* were obtained from an experimental plot located in Bouaké area's (7°41′00″ N, 5°01′59″ W) in Côte d'Ivoire. The seeds of these three species were collected at different ripening stages. The seeds were sun dried and ground to obtain the crude flour. Chemical composition and functional properties were investigated using standard methods while amino acid profiles were performed by using HPLC analytical methods.

Results: Results reveal that the profiles of nutrients in the three Canavalia species varied as a function of ripening stages. The highest fat $(3.27 \pm 0.01 \%)$, protein (33.20 ± 0.17) , fibre $(7.51 \pm 0.06 \%)$

*Corresponding author: E-mail: konanhub.sta@univ-na.ci;

%), ash (6.67 ± 0.01 %) and total sugar (25.40 ± 0.05 %) contents were obtained at the last ripening stage. All the legume-seeds investigated are excellent sources of proteins mainly consisting of high amounts of essential amino acids such as lysine (100.23 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), leucine (103.51 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), valine (83.68 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), methionine (27.36 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), tryptophan (74.32 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), cysteine (44.24 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), isoleucine (63.61 ± 0.04 mg/g protein), threonine (40.22 ± 0.04 mg/g protein) and histidine (17.76 ± 0.04 mg/g protein). As regards mineral contents of these seeds, they were higher at penultimate ripening stage and, they partially meet dietary allowance of infants.

Conclusion: These seeds could be ranked as protein rich food for human and then, used as valuable substitutes for meat or fish.

Keywords: Physicochemical composition; amino acid profile; legume-seeds; Canavalia gladiate; Canavalia ensiformis; Canavalia rosea.

1. INTRODUCTION

Today, in many parts of the world, the exploitation of local resources is certainly a way to achieve the objective of food security [1], particularly for a fast-growing population and facing an increasing demand for protein and other nutrient-rich foods [2]. It seems imperative to improve and develop crops such as neglected or underutilized and called orphan or minor crops, by both national and international research programs [3,4]. Many seeds generally used as vegetables or leguminous belong to this group of little-known plants. However, it is well known that legume seeds provide less expensive and important protein sources to combat malnutrition, especially in developing countries where protein-rich foods of animal origin are not available for the people in low socio-economic groups. Otherwise, legumes are rich in many nutrients such as proteins, starch, dietary fibre, oils, vitamins, minerals and phytochemicals that are protected by nature. They provide substantial protein intake support to a significant proportion of the world's population, particularly in most developing countries [5]. Because of their high nutritional value, abundance of minerals and secondary metabolites, grain legumes have become valuable components of staple and functional foods [6]. The Canavalia species are categorized as a member of these crops. The genus of Canavalia is considered the third largest family among flowering plants [7]. It comprises approximately 50 species of tropical widely distributed in tropical vines and subtropical regions all over the world [8].

This genus was used traditionally as a food due to its nutritional significance. Sridhar and Seena [9] envisaged a comparative account of nutritional and functional properties of Canavalia species. *Canavalia gladiata* and *Canavalia*

ensiformis are the common legume species having the potential to be a rich protein source, like edible legumes. Regarding Canavalia species notably, Canavalia gladiata (sword bean otherwise called "magic bean"), Canavalia ensiformis (jack bean) and Canavalia rosea (bay bean), they can be used to cushion the high cost of proteinaceous feed ingredients in the livestock industry [2]. It is pink coloured bean that originated from Asia and Africa. It is a leguminous, annual crop grown as a green manure, or cover crop and used as a fodder for livestock [10]. The high crude protein content (20 - 32%) and amino-acid profile of jack bean seed have been reported to make it suitable for use as a substitute for fish feed, while the fully ripened seeds are occasionally used as a coffee substitute [11]. Furthermore, the leguminous plant Canavalia species, though not eaten frequently, traditionally possess more medicinal properties yet to be scientifically proven. According to Soetan and Antia [12], jack bean and sword bean are rich in phytochemicals like flavonoids and saponins which should be exploited as medicinal foods for the benefits of human and animals.

Despite the aforementioned important nutritional and medicinal characteristics, in Côte d'Ivoire, Canavalia seeds are legume crops that have not been fully exploited. Thus, to our knowledge, none or very few studies have been devoted to biochemical and nutritional properties of these leguminous seeds.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Raw Material Collection and Sample Preparation

The three species of *canavalia* seeds notably *Canavalia* gladiata (sword bean), *Canavalia* ensiformis (jack bean) and *Canavalia* rosea (bay

bean) were obtained from an experimental plot located in Bouaké area's (7°41'00" N, 5°01'59" W) in Côte d'Ivoire. The seeds of these three species were collected at different ripening stages: 30 days (S1), 40 days (S2), 50 days (S3), 60 days (S4) and 80 days (S5) after fertilization.

After thoroughly drying in the sun, the pods were thrashed to remove seeds. The seeds, after thoroughly clearing and removal of broken seeds and foreign materials, were stored in airtight plastic containers at room temperature ($25^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$). The air-dried seeds (nearly 50 g from each accession) were powdered in a Wiley mill to pass a 60-mesh screen and stored in screw-capped bottles at room temperature for further analysis [13].

2.2 Proximate Composition Analysis

Dry matters were determined by drying in an oven at 105°C during 24 h to constant weight [13]. Crude protein was calculated from nitrogen (Nx6.25) obtained using the Kjeldahl method by AOAC [13]. Crude fat was determined by continuous extraction in a Soxhlet apparatus for 8 h using hexane as solvent [13]. Carbohydrate content was determined through the method used by Samant and Rege [14]. Total ash was determined by incinerating in a furnace at 550°C [13]. Method described by Dubois et al. [15] was used to determine total sugars while reducing sugars were analysed according to the method of Bernfeld [16] using 3.5 dinitrosalycilic acids (DNS). The crude fibre contents were determined according to standard method [13]. The energy values of Canavalia seeds were evaluated using formula described by Crisan and Sands [17]. Energy value (kcal/100g) = $(2.62 \times \% \text{ protein}) +$ $(8.37 \times \% \text{ fat}) + (4.2 \times \% \text{ carbohydrate}).$

2.3 Minerals Analysis

Minerals were determined employing AOAC [13] method. Flour was digested with a mixture of concentrated nitric acid (14.44 mol/L), sulfuric acid (18.01 mol/L) and perchloric acid (11.80 mol/L) and analysed using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The total phosphorus was determined as orthophosphate by the ascorbic acid method after acid digestion and neutralization using phenolphthalein indicator and combined reagent [18].

2.4 Amino Acid Composition

Total amino acid composition of samples was determined after hydrolysis in 6 M HCl with

phenol (1%) at 150°C for 60 min, in Pico-Tag system (Waters, Milford, Mass., U.S.A.). The phenylisothiocyanate (PITC) amino acid derivatives were eluted on HPLC Applied Biosystems Model 172 A (Applera Corp, Foster City, Calif., U.S.A.) equipped with a PTC RP-18 column (2.1 mm \times 22 cm). Sodium acetate (45 mM, pH 5.9) and sodium acetate (105 mM, pH4.6; 30%), and acetonitrile (70%) were used as buffers.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed in triplicates. Results are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation of several sample with Ky plot (version 2.0 beta 15, ©1997-2001, Koichi Yoshioka) statistical software. The data were statistically analysed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were compared by Turkey's test. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Proximate Composition

The proximate composition of flours from the seeds of three Canavalia species was presented Table 1 for different ripening stages. in Generally, the results reveal that fat, protein, fibre and ash contents increased as a function of ripening stages while the moisture and carbohydrate contents decreased in the same manner. Thus, at the last ripening stage (stage 5), highest fat, protein, fibre, ash and total sugar contents were obtained. As regards the moisture contents, all the studied seeds exhibited values about 5% at the last ripening stage suggesting that the studied beans were slightly perishable due to low moisture content which inhibits susceptibility to microbial growth and enzyme activity and, therefore could decelerates spoilage [19].

Canavalia ensiformis seeds were found to have the highest protein, fibre, ash and total sugar contents with values of 33.20 ± 0.17 , 7.51 ± 0.06 , 6.67 ± 0.01 and 25.40 ± 0.05 %, respectively. At the same ripening stage, *Canavalia rosea* and *Canavalia gladiata* exhibited protein contents of 32.05 ± 0.11 and 25.28 ± 0.29 , respectively. These protein contents are higher than those reported for several *Phaseolus vulgaris* varieties (ranged from 20.8 ± 1.3 to 23.5 ± 1.0 %) [20], and for wild edible mushroom *Termitomyces heimii* (23.75 ± 0.04 %) and *Amillaria mellea* (21.32 \pm 0.86 %) [21,22]. Moreover, crude protein contents obtained in this study were greater than those of some edible insects such as Analeptes trifasciata (20.1 %) and Cirina forda larva (20 %) which are categorized as good protein sources [23,24]. Therefore, Canavalia seeds could be ranked as protein rich food for human and then, used as valuable substitutes for meat or fish especially in developing countries for malnourished children suffering from kwashiorkor (a protein deficiency condition) and for pregnant women.

For crude fats, both Canavalia rosea and Canavalia gladiata showed the highest content of 3.25 ± 0.01 and 3.27 ± 0.01% (no significant difference) at the last ripening stage. These values are greater than those previously reported for some 52 common bean landraces (range of 0.6 to 2.9%) [25], and Yellow kidney beans (2.7%) [20]. Los et al. [26] reported crude fat contents of 0.7 \pm 0.01 to 2.7 \pm 0.09% for common beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). However, these fat contents are low compared to legumes such as high-fat peanut and soybeans which have fat contents of about 25.3% and 22.19%, respectively [27,28]. In fact, the studied seeds could be useful for preparation of some low-fat foodstuffs and snacks and in preventing hypertension and hypercholesterolemia [6]. Thus, they would be ideal foods for obese persons and recommended as good source of food supplement for patients with cardiac problems or at risk with lipid induced disorders as commented by Pedrosa et al. [29].

Concerning carbohydrates, the highest values (decreasing from 74.42 ± 0.08 to 58.17 ± 0.28 %) were obtained with Canavalia gladiata seeds and the lowest contents (decreasing from 66.94 ± 0.12 to 49.73 ± 0.22 %) with Canavalia ensiformis seeds. Butkute et al. [30] found carbohydrate contents ranged from 38.0 to 46.0 % for seven perennial legume seed species from Lithuania. So, values obtained in the present study were sited in the range of 58.8 to 77.4 % as reported by Los et al. [26] for several common bean varieties. These high carbohydrate contents would be due to their low lipid contents. Also, it might imply the uses of these seeds as binder, bulking agent or thickener in soups. These results suggest that the studied legume seeds would be highly nutritious and good for human and animal consumption.

It's noteworthy that the ash content of the sample is of nutritional importance as a previous report indicated that when leaves or vegetables are to be used as food for humans, they should contain about 3.0% ash [31]. As for the ash contents the studied Canavalia seeds, they were all above 3.0% whatever the ripening stage. At the last ripening stage, the ash contents were of $6.67 \pm$ 0.01, 6.05 ± 0.01 and 5.92 ± 0.01 , respectively for *Canavalia ensiformis*, *Canavalia rosea* and *Canavalia gladiata*. It indicates that these legume seeds are good sources of mineral elements with values greater than that previously reported (2.1%) by Omowunmi et al. [32] for *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds harvested in Ogun State (Nigeria).

The fibre contents is within $6.38 \pm 0.03 - 7.35 \pm 0.03\%$, $6.61 \pm 0.03 - 7.39 \pm 0.04\%$ and $6.79 \pm 0.04 - 7.51 \pm 0.06\%$, respectively for *Canavalia gladiate*, *Canavalia rosea* and *Canavalia ensiformis*. The ripened beans showed greater values of fibres. These ranges were similar to that found in the literature for legumes that serve as good sources of fibre [33].

The low energy levels provided by the studied Canavalia seeds (less than 400 kcal/l00 g DW) give only about 18 % of the daily energy intakes recommended for a 70 kg person. It is well known that the energy content is affected by the proportion of fat, protein and carbohydrate in the vegetables. The present results suggested that Canavalia seeds can be used as a source of low energy diet [21].

3.2 Mineral Composition

The variation of mineral contents as a function of ripening stages is given in Table 2. Significant differences (p < 0.05) were noted in mineral composition as a function of Canavalia species and, ripening stages. Results reveal that highest mineral contents were obtained at the penultimate ripening stage (stage 4) for all the studied legume-seeds. C. rosea seeds contained highest concentration of potassium the $(43506.67 \pm 60.28 \ \mu g \ 100 \ g^{-1}), \ magnesium$ (27656.67 ± 30.55 µg 100 g⁻¹), manganese (9.65 $\pm 0.39 \ \mu g \ 100 \ g^{-1}$), zinc (107.45 $\pm 0.22 \ \mu g \ 100 \ g^{-1}$) 1) and iron (973.67 ± 4.16 μg 100 g⁻¹), while calcium (9669.37 \pm 0.54 µg 100 g⁻¹) and copper $(74.67 \pm 4.04 \ \mu g \ 100 \ g^{-1})$ contents were greater in C. gladiata seeds. These values are higher than those previously reported by Nkwocha et al. [34] for the same legume-seeds harvested in the Kaduna state (Nigeria).

Species	Stage	Parameters										
		Dry matter	Moisture (%)	Fats (%)	Proteins (%)	Carbohydrates	Fibres (%)	Ash (%)	Total sugars	Reducing	Energy value	
		(%)				(%)			(%)	sugars (%)	(Kcal)	
Canavalia	S1	87.24 ± 0.01 ^c	12.76 ± 0.01 ^p	2.05 ± 0.01 ^e	13.67 ± 0.12 ^a	74.42 ± 0.08 ^q	6.38 ± 0.03 ^a	3.47 ± 0.01 c	8.14 ± 0.00 ^b	0.98 ± 0.04 ^a	370.83 ± 0.11 ^p	
gladiata	S2	90.54 ± 0.01 f	9.46 ± 0.01 ^k	$2.19 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	15.67 ± 0.12 ^b	71.52 ± 0.11 ^p	6.68 ± 0.01 [°]	3.93 ± 0.01 e	14.84 ± 0.00 ^d	2.01 ± 0.04 ^h	368.51 ± 0.05 ^m	
(CG)	S3	92.56 ± 0.02 ^h	7.44 ± 0.02 ^h	2.43 ± 0.01 ^h	20.11 ± 0.12 ^d	66.26 ± 0.12 ^k	6.77 ± 0.02 ^d	4.43 ± 0.01 g	17.98 ± 0.18 ^e	3.58 ± 0.03 ^k	367.38 ± 0.07 ^j	
	S4	94.27 ± 0.00 ^p	5.73 ± 0.00 [°]	2.79 ± 0.01 ^k	24.70 ± 0.14 ^h	60.12 ± 0.11 ^g	6.86 ± 0.03 ^e	5.51 ± 0.01 k	19.77 ± 0.29 ^{ef}	4.08 ± 0.03 ^m	364.46 ± 0.12 [†]	
	S5	95.00 ± 0.00	5.00 ± 0.00^{a}	3.27 ± 0.01 ⁿ	25.28 ± 0.29 ^j	58.17 ± 0.28 [†]	7.35 ± 0.03^{k}	5.92 ± 0.01 n	$20.97 \pm 0.10^{\dagger}$	1.65 ± 0.03 [°]	363.27 ± 0.13 ^d	
Canavalia	S1	86.43 ± 0.01 ^b	13.57 ± 0.01 ^q	1.85 ± 0.02 [°]	19.09 ± 0.18 ^c	69.30 ± 0.20 ⁿ	6.61 ± 0.03 ^b	3.15 ± 0.01 a	5.41 ± 0.00 ^a	1.52 ± 0.00 ^d	370.17 ± 0.09 ⁿ	
rosea (CR)	S2	88.90 ± 0.01 ^d	11.10 ± 0.01 ⁿ	2.01 ± 0.02 ^d	22.09 ± 0.18 ^f	65.22 ± 0.23 ^j	$7.01 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	3.67 ± 0.01 d	7.71 ± 0.01 ^b	1.67 ± 0.00 ^e	367.32 ± 0.21 ^j	
	S3	91.77 ± 0.00 ^g	8.23 ± 0.00^{1}	2.47 ± 0.01 ^J	27.53 ± 0.18 ^ĸ	58.26 ± 0.17	7.16 ± 0.04 ^h	4.57 ± 0.01 h	11.22 ± 0.08 [°]	2.01 ± 0.03 ^h	365.42 ± 0.15 ^h	
	S4	92.58 ± 0.00 ^j	7.42 ± 0.00 ^g	2.89 ± 0.01 ^m	30.88 ± 0.16 ⁿ	53.87 ± 0.17 ^d	$7.25 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$	5.11 ± 0.01 j	15.14 ± 0.16 ^d	2.94 ± 0.04 ^j	365.03 ± 0.25 ^g	
	S5	94.23 ± 0.01 ⁿ	5.77 ± 0.01 ^d	3.25 ± 0.01 ⁿ	32.05 ± 0.11 ^q	51.25 ± 0.10 [▷]	7.39 ± 0.04^{k}	6.05 ± 0.01 ^p	19.07 ± 0.25 [°]	1.34 ± 0.02 [°]	362.49 ± 0.15 [°]	
Canavalia	S1	85.79 ± 0.01 ^a	14.21 ± 0.01 [′]	1.67 ± 0.02 ^a	21.28 ± 0.07 ^e	66.94 ± 0.12 ^m	6.79 ± 0.04 ^d	3.31 ± 0.01 ^b	5.54 ± 4.04 ^a	1.31 ± 0.02 [°]	367.93 ± 0.14 ^ĸ	
ensiformis	S2	89.34 ± 0.00^{e}	10.66 ± 0.00 ^m	1.71 ± 0.01 [♭]	24.28 ± 0.07 ^g	62.75 ± 0.11 ^h	7.08 ± 0.03 ^g	$4.17 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	10.30 ± 0.01 [°]	1.51 ± 0.00 ^d	363.55 ± 0.11 [°]	
(CE)	S3	92.81 ± 0.00 ^ĸ	$7.19 \pm 0.00^{+1}$	2.03 ± 0.01 [°]	29.72 ± 0.07 ^m	55.37 ± 0.10 [°]	7.19 ± 0.02 ^{hj}	5.69 ± 0.01 ^m	16.12 ± 0.18 ^d	$1.76 \pm 0.03^{+1}$	358.64 ± 0.08 ^b	
	S4	93.81 ± 0.01 ^m	6.19 ± 0.01 [°]	2.29 ± 0.01 ^g	31.54 ± 0.12 ^p	52.70 \pm 0.13 $^{\circ}$	7.35 ± 0.03 ^k	6.11 ± 0.01 ^q	$21.40 \pm 0.24^{+}$	1.89 ± 0.02 ^g	357.63 ± 0.11 ^a	
	S5	94.56 ± 0.00 ^q	5.44 ± 0.00 ^b	2.89 ± 0.01 ^m	33.20 ± 0.17 ^r	49.73 ± 0.22 ^a	7.51 ± 0.06 ^m	6.67 ± 0.01 [′]	25.40 ± 0.05 ^g	1.10 ± 0.04 ^b	357.74 ± 0.24 ^a	

Table 1. Proximate composition of seeds of three Canavalia species as a function of ripening stages

Values are mean ± standard deviation of three measurements (n = 3). The different case letters (a, b, c) in the column indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) in the respective values. S1: Stage 1; S2: Stage 2; S3: Stage 3; eeds of three Correct "

S4: Stage 4; S5: Stage 5
Table 2. Mineral composition of seeds of three Canavalia species as a function of ripening stages

Species	Stage	Parameters								
		Calcium	Phosphorus	Magnesium	Potassium	Sodium	Manganese	Zinc	Copper	Iron
		(ug/	(ug/	(ug/	(ug/	(ug/	(ug/100 g	(ug/	(ug/	(ug/
		100 g DW)	100 g DW)	100 g DW))	100 g DW)	100 g DW)	DW)	100 g DW)	100 g DW)	100 g DW)
Canavalia	S1	1239.37 ± 0.64 ^d	967.33 ± 41.88 ^b	234.33 ± 3.51 ^a	999.85 ± 30.65 °	0.97 ± 0.07 ^b	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	9.89 ± 0.50 [♭]	1.89 ± 0.12 ^a	18.37 ± 0.15 ab
gladiata	S2	2033.37 ± 0.64 [†]	1878.33 ± 41.88 ^d	4340.33 ± 29.87 ^h	10195.95 ± 30.65 [†]	9.01 ± 0.13 ^d	0.50 ± 0.02 ^b	14.24 ± 0.11 [°]	8.98 ± 0.04 ^{cd}	23.33 ± 0.08 ^{bc}
(CG)	S3	7588.47 ± 76.61 ^p	3483.33 ± 35.12 [°]	9523.33 ± 29.87 ^k	18161.00 ± 53.51 ^m	12.37 ± 0.35 [†]	1.55 ± 0.05 ^d	42.67 ± 1.53 [°]	27.50 ± 0.54 ^g	109.80 ± 0.19 ^d
	S4	9669.37 ± 0.64 ^r	9536.67 ± 28.87 ⁿ	21297.33 ± 12.22 ^q	35400.00 ± 45.83 ^p	47.60 ± 0.36 ^ĸ	$4.11 \pm 0.10^{+1}$	99.95 ± 1.00 ^h	74.67 ± 4.04 ⁿ	465.91 ± 3.91 ^J
	S5	4746.47 ± 81.00 ^k	4200.00 ± 55.68 ^f	12390.00 ± 29.31 ⁿ	19500.00 ± 55.68 ⁿ	32.1 ± 0.30 ^h	3.10 ± 0.11 ^e	62.33 ± 1.15 ^f	39.80 ± 0.74 ^h	186.88 ± 2.90 ^f
Canavalia	S1	699.39 ± 0.62 ^a	627.67 ± 15.95 ^a	1007.31 ± 11.09 [°]	564.85 ± 30.65 [°]	0.97 ± 0.05 [°]	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	9.83 ± 0.61 [°]	1.45 ± 0.15 ^ª	18.32 ± 0.07 [°]
rosea (CR)	S2	1039.39 ± 0.62 °	1439.33 ± 41.88 [°]	4190.00 ± 36.06 ^g	2287.55 ± 30.65 °	6.64 ± 0.32 ^c	0.99 ± 0.04 ^c	27.23 ± 1.62 ^d	5.01 ± 0.17 ^b	23.94 ± 0.34 ^c
	S3	3020.00 ± 70.49 ^g	5153.33 ± 45.09 ^g	11190.00 ± 36.06	14723.33 ± 49.33 ^ĸ	14.43 ± 0.49 ^g	2.96 ± 0.25 [°]	40.67 ± 1.53 °	13.88 ± 0.19 [°]	204.00 ± 4.36 ^g
	S4	6201.47 ± 3.07 ^m	9900.00 ± 45.83 ^p	27656.67 ± 30.55 [′]	43506.67 ± 60.28 [′]	84.43 ± 0.31 ⁿ	9.65 ± 0.39 ^m	107.45 ± 0.22 ^J	65.95 ± 0.04 ^m	973.67 ± 4.16 ⁿ
	S5	3433.13 ± 57.91 ^J	7556.67 ± 20.82 ^k	13173.33 ± 56.86 ^p	35956.67 ± 61.10 ^q	41.08 ± 0.16 ¹	7.78 ± 0.16 ¹	76.98 ± 1.75 ^g	46.88 ± 0.12 ¹	741.67 ± 3.06 ^m
Canavalia	S1	879.39 ± 0.62 ^b	907.33 ± 41.88 [▷]	294.67 ± 3.51 ^b	452.21 ± 6.98 ^a	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	0.25 ± 0.02 ^d	5.53 ± 0.11 ^ª	7.70 ± 0.28 °	13.25 ± 0.07
ensiformis	S2	1949.39 ± 0.62 [°]	3546.33 ± 41.88 [°]	2035.23 ± 42.39 ^d	3891.21 ± 14.32 [°]	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	0.27 ± 0.04 ^d	9.89 ± 0.61 [▷]	10.31 ± 0.28 ^d	21.95 ± 0.09 ^{bc}
(CE)	S3	3292.47 ± 12.53 ^h	6890.00 ± 45.83 ^h	3238.00 ± 20.30	10923.33 ± 35.12 ^g	10.13 ± 0.55 [°]	5.07 ± 0.11 ^g	13.13 ± 0.35 [°]	23.40 ± 0.35 [†]	317.33 ± 3.06 ^h
	S4	8606.47 ± 11.72 ^q	7043.33 ± 45.09 ^j	5730.00 ± 26.46 ^j	13610.00 ± 45.83 ^j	93.77 ± 0.60 ^p	8.59 ± 0.09 ^k	78.00 ± 4.58 ^g	63.90 ± 0.13 ^k	597.33 ± 6.43 ^k
	S5	7466.47 ± 57.56 ⁿ	8880.00 ± 20.00 ^m	2453.33 ± 15.28 [°]	11996.67 ± 65.06 ^h	70.87 ± 0.75 ^m	7.03 ± 0.15 ^h	40.99 ± 1.02 ^e	46.51 ± 0.50 ^j	164.17 ± 3.82 ^e

Values are mean ± standard deviation of three measurements (n = 3). The different case letters (a, b, c) in the column indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) in the respective mineral concentrations. S1: Stage 1; S2: Stage 2; S3: Stage 3; S4: Stage 4; S5: Stage 5

Species	Stage	Arginine	Methionine	Valine	Alaline	Tryptophane	Proline	Lysine	Glutamic acid
-	-	(mg/g proteins)	(mg/g prot)	(mg/g prot)	(mg/g prot)	(mg/g prot)	(mg/g prot)	(mg/g prot)	(mg/g prot)
Canavalia	S1	20.36 ± 0.04	9.27 ± 0.04 ^e	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	6.32 ± 0.04 ^a	$0,00 \pm 0,00$	30.57 ± 0.04 ^e	48.33 ± 0.04 ^a	55.62 ± 0.04 ^h
gladiata (CG)	S2	27.97 ± 0.04 ^b	17.39 ± 0.04 ^h	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	12.87 ± 0.04 ^b	3.27 ± 0.04 ^b	39.31 ± 0.04 ^g	61.51 ± 0.04 [°]	77.11 ± 0.04 ⁿ
	S3	33.76 ± 0.04 ^c	24.32 ± 0.04 ^p	6.88 ± 0.04 ^b	18.93 ± 0.04 [°]	9.35 ± 0.04 ^c	50.92 ± 0.04 ^p	72.68 ± 0.04 ^g	87.29 ± 0.04 ^p
	S4	42.18 ± 0.04^{t}	23.76 ± 0.04 ⁿ	10.44 ± 0.04 ^c	23.77 ± 0.04 ^e	14.16 ± 0.04 ^e	62.15 ± 0.04 ^r	84.53 ± 0.04 ⁿ	98.35 ± 0.04 ^q
	S5	40.74 ± 0.04 ^e	27.36 ± 0.04 ^q	12.58 ± 0.04 ^d	22.34 ± 0.04 ^d	13.48 ± 0.04 ^d	59.37 ± 0.04 ^g	80.17 ± 0.04 ^j	110.54 ± 0.04 [′]
Canavalia rosea	S1	51.35 ± 0.04 ^g	2.58 ± 0.04 ^b	$52.72 \pm 0.04^{\dagger}$	68.53 ± 0.04 ^m	$22.67 \pm 0.04^{+}$	20.16 ± 0.04 ^b	51.65 ± 0.04 ^b	32.15 ± 0.04 ^d
(CR)	S2	59.62 ± 0.04 ⁿ	7.94 ± 0.04 ^d	60.48 ± 0.04 ^h	81.58 ± 0.04 ⁿ	30.22 ± 0.04 ^g	28.55 ± 0.04 ^c	69.11 ± 0.04 ^e	44.71 ± 0.04 ⁹
	S3	70.17 ± 0.04 ^k	11.84 ± 0.04 ^g	72.61 ± 0.04 ^k	100.22 ± 0.04 ^r	37.59 ± 0.04 ^j	34.41 ± 0.04^{t}	82.84 ± 0.04 ^m	57.36 ± 0.04 ^j
	S4	85.59 ± 0.04 ⁿ	17.33 ± 0.04 ⁿ	80.02 ± 0.04 ^m	98.78 ± 0.04 ^q	44.36 \pm 0.04 ^k	40.72 ± 0.04 ^h	80.77 ± 0.04 ^ĸ	70.92 ± 0.04^{k}
	S5	92.34 ± 0.04 ^r	22.47 ± 0.04 ^m	83.68 ± 0.04 ^q	97.22 ± 0.04 ^p	50.34 ± 0.04 ⁿ	45.92 ± 0.04 ^k	79.39 ± 0.04 ^h	73.21 ± 0.04 ^m
Canavalia	S1	39.51 ± 0.04 ^d	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	37.66 ± 0.04 ^e	25.28 ± 0.04 [†]	32.12 ± 0.04 ^h	17.63 ± 0.04 ^a	68.15 ± 0.04 ^d	13.67 ± 0.04 ^a
ensiformis (CE)	S2	$60.57 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	6.11 ± 0.04 [°]	52.91 ± 0.04 ^g	41.51 ± 0.04 ^g	49.23 ± 0.04 ^m	28.68 ± 0.04 ^d	$71.23 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	17.15 ± 0.04 [♭]
	S3	73.14 ± 0.04 ^m	$10.02 \pm 0.04^{\dagger}$	70.17 ± 0.04 ^j	50.49 ± 0.04 ^h	61.25 ± 0.04 ^p	41.15 ± 0.04 ^j	88.13 ± 0.04 ^p	29.98 ± 0.04 [°]
	S4	87.21 ± 0.04 ^p	18.77 ± 0.04 ^j	81.40 ± 0.04 ^p	60.77 ± 0.04 ^j	74.32 ± 0.04 ^r	48.16 ± 0.04 ⁿ	100.23 ± 0.04 ^r	38.47 ± 0.04 ^e
	S5	88.70 ± 0.04 ^q	20.91 ± 0.04^{k}	80.63 ± 0.04 ⁿ	62.35 ± 0.04^{k}	72.77 ± 0.04 ^q	46.38 ± 0.04 ^m	97.68 ± 0.04 ^q	42.87 ± 0.04^{t}

Table 3. Amino acid composition of seeds of three Canavalia species as a function of ripening stages

Values are mean ± standard deviation of three measurements (n = 3). The different case letters (a, b, c) in the column indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) in the respective mineral concentrations. S1: Stage 1; S2: Stage 2; S3: Stage 3; S4: Stage 4; S5: Stage 5

	Table 3. (Continue).	Amino acid	composition	in different	species
--	----------------------	------------	-------------	--------------	---------

Species	Stage	Leucine	Serine	Tyrosine	Cysteine	Isoleucine	Aspartic acid	Threonine	Histidine	Glycine
-	-	(mg/g prot)								
Canavalia	S1	38.29 ± 0.04 ^a	16.71 ± 0.04 ^a	17.54 ± 0.04 ^J	5.73 ± 0.04 ^a	22.41 ± 0.04 ^b	33.53 ± 0.04 ^b	14.39 ± 0.04 ^e	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	4.32 ± 0.04 ^a
gladiata	S2	57.27 ± 0.04 ^c	24.77 ± 0.04 ^d	26.29 ± 0.04 ^m	15.11 ± 0.04 ^d	37.71 ± 0.04 ^g	69.37 ± 0.04 ^h	25.66 ± 0.04 ^ĸ	1.11 ± 0.04 [▷]	10.63 ± 0.04 ^b
(CG)	S3	64.15 ± 0.04 [†]	32.22 ± 0.04 ^g	36.13 ± 0.04 ⁿ	17.17 ± 0.04 ^e	49.23 ± 0.04 ^k	80.55 ± 0.04 ^k	32.47 ± 0.04 ^m	4.43 ± 0.04^{e}	18.15 ± 0.04 [°]
	S4	71.44 ± 0.04 ^h	40.63 ± 0.04^{1}	42.47 ± 0.04 ^p	$20,73 \pm 0.04^{1}$	58.63 ± 0.04 ^p	91.84 ± 0.04 ^m	38.11 ± 0.04 ^q	11.24 ± 0.04 ⁿ	23.41 ± 0.04
	S5	69.21 ± 0.04 ^g	42.53 ± 0.04 ^k	44.76 ± 0.04 ^q	18.40 ± 0.04 [†]	63.61 ± 0.04 ^q	97.35 ± 0.04 ⁿ	36.71 ± 0.04 ⁿ	15.76 ± 0.04 ^j	20.33 ± 0.04 ^d
Canavalia	S1	50.43 ± 0.04 ^b	19.21 ± 0.04 ^b	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	13.15 ± 0.04 [°]	22.63 ± 0.04 [°]	42.33 ± 0.04 ^d	3.49 ± 0.04^{a}	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	41.39 ± 0.04 ^h
rosea (CR)	S2	58.11 ± 0.04 ^d	28.19 ± 0.04 ^e	2.53 ± 0.04 ^c	20.44 ± 0.04 ^h	30.29 ± 0.04 ^e	50.15 ± 0.04 [°]	10.02 ± 0.04 ^c	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	57.18 ± 0.04 ⁿ
	S3	71.72 ± 0.04 ^j	40.55 ± 0.04 ^h	9,33 ± 0.04 ^e	31.50 ± 0.04 ^m	44.88 ± 0.04 ^h	54.76 ± 0.04 [†]	17.28 ± 0.04 [†]	1.81 ± 0.04 [°]	64.35 ± 0.04 ^p
	S4	86.37 ± 0.04 ^m	51.27 ± 0.04 ⁿ	15.41 ± 0.04 ^h	40.78 ± 0.04 ^p	54.11 ± 0.04 ^m	70.44 ± 0.04 ^j	23.62 ± 0.04 ^g	7.77 ± 0.04^{t}	72.71 ± 0.04 ^q
	S5	88.22 ± 0.04 ⁿ	58.27 ± 0.04 ^r	15.22 ± 0.04 ^g	44.24 ± 0.04	58.39 ± 0.04 ⁿ	68.61 ± 0.04 ^g	24.44 ± 0.04 ^h	11.22 ± 0.04 ^h	75.29 ± 0.04 ^r
Canavalia	S1	60.03 ± 0.04 ^e	20.51 ± 0.04 [°]	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	11.17 ± 0.04 ^b	10.71 ± 0.04 ^a	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	9.21 ± 0.04 ^b	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	21.38 ± 0.04 ^e
ensiformis	S2	72.11 ± 0.04 ^k	29.24 ± 0.04 [†]	1.02 ± 0.04 ^b	18.75 ± 0.04 ^g	23.74 ± 0.04 ^d	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	13.88 ± 0.04 ^d	4.29 ± 0.04 ^b	30.11 ± 0.04 ^g
(CE)	S3	89.78 ± 0.04 ^p	42.72 ± 0.04 ^m	6.77 ± 0.04 ^d	30.69 ± 0.04 ^k	31.43 ± 0.04 [†]	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	24.58 ± 0.04 ^j	10.13 ± 0.04 ^g	42.39 ± 0.04 ^j
	S4	100.02 ± 0.04 ^q	55.67 ± 0.04 ^q	13.18 ± 0.04 [†]	39.26 ± 0.04 ⁿ	48.60 ± 0.04^{1}	40.55 ± 0.04 ^c	37.19 ± 0.04 ^p	17.76 ± 0.04 ^m	52.26 ± 0.04 ^m
	S5	103.51 ± 0.04 [′]	54.47 ± 0.04 ^p	21.13 ± 0.04 ^k	43.76 ± 0.04 ^q	30.29 ± 0.04 ^e	$0,00 \pm 0,00^{a}$	40.22 ± 0.04 ^r	16.27 ± 0.04 ^k	51.55 ± 0.04 ^k

Potassium was the most abundant macromineral and its composition ranged from 999.85 \pm 30.65 to 35400.00 \pm 45.83 µg 100 g⁻¹ in C. gladiata, 564.85 ± 30.65 to 43506.67 ± 60.28 µg 100 g^{-1} in *C. rosea* and 452.21 ± 6.98 to $13610.00 \pm 45.83 \ \mu g \ 100 \ g^{-1}$ in *C. ensiformis* seeds. It partially meets requirements for infants (500-700 mg/ 100 g DW) than adults and pregnant lactating women (2000 mg/ 100 g DW) In the same manner, magnesium, [35]. manganese, zinc, iron, calcium and copper contents in the studied seeds partially meet dietary allowance of infants. It would be recommended to consume these legume-seeds with the addition of mineral-rich foods such as meat, fish or even caterpillars to meet the daily nutrient needs as describe by Akpossan et al. [36] and Assiélou et al. [37].

3.3 Amino Acids Profile

Amino acid profiles are indicators of proteins nutritional qualities and functionalities. Essential/nonessential amino acid content is one of the parameters that provide important nutritional details about the protein guality of legumes [38]. The amino acid profiles of the three Canavalia species as a function of ripening stages is shown in Table 3. Overall observation revealed significant differences (p < 0.05) in amino acid amounts as a function of Canavalia species and, ripening stages. Generally the highest values were obtained at penultimate or last ripening stage.

The essential amino acids detected were methionine, valine, tryptophan, lysine, leucine, tyrosine, cysteine, isoleucine, threonine and histidine. Among them, the highest amounts were obtained with lysine, leucine and valine. Thus, C. ensiformis seeds contained 103.51 ± 0.04 mg/g protein of leucine and 100.23 ± 0.04 mg/ g protein of lysine, while C. rosea seeds exhibited 83.68 ± 0.04 mg/ g protein of valine. The amino acid amounts determined in this study were higher than those reported for C. ensiformis in Nigeria [39], three jack bean accessions [33] and for pigeon pea [40]. The relatively high content of essential amino acids in the studied seeds make them Canavalia potential supplement in cereal-based diets due to the fact that cereal grain-based diets have been reported to be deficient in some essential amino acid such as lysine [41]. Furthermore, as argued by Millar et al. [42], the amino acid content of the three studied legume-seeds meet dietary allowance for adults [43].

4. CONCLUSION

The present study reveals that the profiles of nutrients in the three Canavalia species varied as a function of ripening stages. The highest fat, protein, fibre, ash and total sugar contents were obtained at the last ripening stage especially in *C. ensiformis* seeds. So, all the studied legume-seeds are excellent sources of proteins mainly consisting of high amounts of essential amino acids such as lysine, leucine, valine, methionine, tryptophan, cysteine, isoleucine, threonine and histidine. As regards mineral contents of these seeds, they were higher at penultimate ripening stage and, they partially meet dietary allowance of infants.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Koffi DM, Faulet BM, Gonnety JT, Bédikou ME, Kouamé LP, Zoro Bi IA et al. Biochemical characterization of two acid phosphatases purified from edible seeds of the neglected crop *Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl. blocky-fruited cultivar. Philipp Agric Sci. 2010;93(3):269-80.
- Okla MK, Akhtar N, Alamri SA, Al-Qahtani SM, Ismail A, Abbas ZK et al. Potential importance of molybdenum priming to metabolism and nutritive value of *Canavalia spp.* Sprouts Plants. 2021;10: 2387:10112387.
- Rasul MG, Hiramatsu M, Okubo H. Genetic relatedness (diversity) and cultivar identification by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers in teasle gourd (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). Sci Hortic. 2007;111(3):271-9.
- 4. Esfeld K, Plaza S, Tadele Z. Bringing highthroughput techniques to orphan crop of Africa: Highlights from the Tef TILLING Project. Tef Biotechnology project, Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Switzerland. 2009;5.
- Ojo MA, Ade-Omowaye BIO. Some functional and physical properties of selected underutilised hard-to-cook legumes in Nigeria. Amer.. J Food Sci Nutr. 2015;2(5):73-81.
- 6. Bouchenak M, Lamri-Senhadji M. Nutritional quality of legumes, and their

role in cardiometabolic risk prevention: A review. J Med Food. 2013;16(3):185-98.

- Michael K, Sogbesan O, Onyia L. Effect of processing methods on the nutritional value of Canavalia ensiformis jack bean seed meal. J Food Process Technol. 2018;9:766.
- Yamashiro A, Yamashiro T. Utilization on extrafloral nectaries and fruit domatia of *Canavalia lineata* and *C. cathartica* (Leguminosae) by ants. Arthropod Plant Interact. 2008;2(1):1-8.
- 9. Sridhar KR, Seena S. Nutritional and antinutritional significance of four unconventional legumes of the genus Canavalia—A comparative study. Food Chem. 2006;99(2):267-88.
- 10. Kerala K. Minor vegetables. Kirsankerala Oper Cent IIITM-K NLLA Techno Park Campus ThiruVananthapuram. 2018;4(23):20-4.
- 11. Osuigwe DI, Obiekezie AI, Onuoha GC. Effects of jack-bean seed meal on the intestinal mucosa of juvenile *Heterobranchus longifilis*. Afr J Biotechnol. 2006;5(13):1294-8.
- 12. Soetan KO, Antia RE. Comparative phytochemicals and in vitro antioxidative effects of jack beans (*Canavalia ensiformis*) and sword beans (*Canavalia gladiata*). Ann Food Sci Technol. 2018;19(3):499-505.
- AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, (17th 505 Edition). Gaithersburg: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc. 2003;506.
- 14. Samant SK, Rege DV. Carbohydrate composition of some cucurbit seeds Comp. Anal. 1989;2(2):149-56.
- Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal Chem. 1956;28(3): 350-6.
- 16. Bernfeld P. Amylase α and β . Methods in enzymology Colswick P, NOK, editors Academic Press Inc, New-York. 1955;1(S):149-54.
- Crisan EV, Sands A. The biology and cultivation of edible mushrooms, Nutritional value. New York: Academic Press. 1978;137-68.
- APHA. Standard methods for examination of water and waste water. 19th ed. American Public Health Association. 1995;113-11.

- Aremu MO, Basu SK, Gyar SD, Goyal A, Bhowmik PK, Datta Banik S. Proximate Composition and Functional Properties of Mushroom Flours from *Ganoderma spp.*, *Omphalotus olearius* (DC.) Sing. and *Hebeloma mesophaeum* (Pers.) Quél. Used in Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Malays J Nutr. 2009;15(2):233-41.
- Anino C, Onyango AN, Imathiu S, Maina J, Onyangore F. Chemical composition of the seed and 'milk' of three common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) varieties. Food Measure. 2019;13(2):1242-9.
- Dué EA, Koffi DM, Digbeu YD. (a) Biochemical and functional properties of two wild edible mushrooms (*Volvariella volvacea* and *Armillaria mellea*) consumed as protein substitutes in South Côte d'ivoire. J Chem Biol Phys Sci. 2016;6(4):1197-206.
- 22. Dué EA, Koffi DM, Digbeu YD. (b) Physicochemical and functional properties of flour from the wild edible mushroom Termitomyces heimii Natarajan harvested in Côte d'Ivoire. Turk J Agric Food Sci Technol. 2016;4(8):651-5.
- 23. Banjo AD, Lawal OA, Songonuga EA. The nutritional value of fourteen species of edible insects in Southwestern Nigeria. Afr J Biotechnol. 2006;5(3): 298-301.
- 24. Osasona AI, Olaofe O. Nutritional and functional properties of Cirina forda larva from Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. Afr J Food Sci. 2010;4(12):775-7.
- Gouveia CSS, Freitas, De Brito JH, Slaski JJ, De Carvalho MAAP. Nutritional and mineral variability in 52 accessions of common bean varieties (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) from Madeira Island. Agric Sci. 2014;5:317-29.
- 26. Los FGB, Zielinski AAF, Wojeicchowski JP, Nogueira A, Demiate IM. Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.): Whole seeds with complex chemical composition. Curr Opin Food Sci. 2018;19:63-71.
- Narasinga-Rao BS, Deosthale YG, Pant KC. Nutritive value of Indian foods. Hyderabad, India: Indian Council of Medical Research, National Institute of Nutrition; 1989. p. 24-48.
- 28. Kan L, Nie S, Hu J, Wang S, Bai Z, Wang J et al. Comparative study on the chemical composition, anthocyanins, tocopherols and carotenoids of selected legumes. Food Chem. 2018;260:317-26.

- 29. Pedrosa MM, Cuadrado C, Burbano C, Muzquiz M, Cabellos B, Olmedilla-Alonso B et al. Effects of industrial canning on the proximate composition, bioactive compounds contents and nutritional profile of two Spanish common dry beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Food Chem. 2015;166:68-75.
- 30. Bronislava B, Lukas T, Egle N. Smallseeded legumes as a novel food source. Variation of nutritional, mineral and phytochemical profiles in the chain: raw seeds-sprouted seeds-microgreens. Molecules. 2019;24:133.
- 31. Pivic NW, Butler JB. A simple unit leaf. Proc Nutr Soc. 1977;12(36):136.
- Omowunmi OO, Oluwatosin AY, Adesola BN. Chemical analysis of the seed oil of *Canavalia ensiformis* linn. for nutritional and industrial qualities. Afr J Sci Natl. 2018;6:66-72.
- Vadivel V. The nutritional and antioxidant contents of wild jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis* L. DC.): An under-exploited legume from south India. Int. J. Rec. Scient. Res. 2019;10:35502-8.
- Nkwocha GA, Anukam KU, Adumanya OC. Evaluation of the nutritional potentials of three species of Canavalia for use in livestock diets. J Sustain Technol. 2021;11(2):86-92.
- 35. NRC. NAS. Recommended dietary allowances. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1989.
- Akpossan RA, Digbeu YD, Koffi M, Kouadio JPEN, Dué EA, Kouamé PL. Protein fractions and functional properties of dried Imbrasia oyemensis larvae Full-Fat and defatted flours. IJBCRR. 2015;5(2):116-26.

- Assiélou B, Dué EA, Koffi MD, Dabonné S, Kouamé PL. Oryctes owariensis larvae as good alternative protein source: nutritional and functional properties. Annu Res Rev Biol. 2015;8(3):1-9.
- 38. Keskin SO, Ali TM, Ahmed J, Shaikh M, Siddiq M, Uebersax MA. Physico-chemical and functional properties of legume protein, starch, and dietary fiber—a review. Leg. Sci. 2022;4:1-15.
- Okomoda VT, Tiamiyu LO, Uma SG. Effects of hydrothermal processing on nutritional value of Canavalia ensiformis and its utilization by Clarias gariepinus (Burchell, 1822) fingerlings. Aquac [rep]. 2016;3:214-9.
- 40. Akande KE, Doma UD, Agu HO, Adamu HM. Major antinutrients found in plant protein sources: their effect on nutrition. Pak J Nutr. 2010;9(8):827-32.
- 41. Cheng ZJ, Hardy RW, Usry JL. Plant protein ingredients with lvsine supplementation reduce dietary protein level in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus diets. and reduce mykiss) ammonia nitrogen and soluble phosphorus excretion. Aquaculture. 2003;218(1-4): 553-65.
- Millar KA, Gallagher E, Burke R, McCarthy S, Barry-Ryan C. Proximate composition and anti-nutritional factors of fava-bean (*Vicia faba*), green-pea and yellow-pea (*Pisum sativum*) flour Comp. Anal. 2019;82.
- 43. WHO/FAO/UNU. Protein and amino acid requirements in human nutrition: report of a joint FAO/WHO/UNU expert consultation. World Health Organ Tech Rep S; 2019b.

© 2022 Kouakou et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92227